

NATURAL RESOURCES CONSERVATION SERVICE
CONSERVATION PRACTICE STANDARD

FORAGE HARVEST MANAGEMENT (ACRE)

CODE 511

DEFINITION

The timely cutting and removal of forages from the field as hay, green-chop or ensilage.

PURPOSE

- Optimize yield and quality of forage at the desired levels
- Promote vigorous plant re-growth
- Maintain stand life
- Manage for the desired species composition
- Use forage plant biomass as a soil nutrient uptake tool
- Control insects, diseases and weeds
- Maintain and/or improve wildlife habitat

CONDITIONS WHERE PRACTICE APPLIES

This practice applies to all land uses where machine harvested forage crops are grown, **including seeded hayland, native grass/sedge meadows, and rangeland harvested for hay.**

CRITERIA

General Criteria Applicable To All Purposes

Forage will be harvested at a frequency and height that will maintain a desired healthy plant community. Forage harvest recommendations based on state of maturity, moisture content, length of cut, stubble height and harvest interval will be used to meet the following criteria.

Native hay meadows with a high water table throughout the growing season or meadows that receive supplemental water during the growing season may be harvested for hay more than once per year. **Upland range sites will be harvested for hay no more than every other year to allow adequate recovery of plant vigor. Grazing on rangeland harvested for hay is limited to the dormant season in both the harvest and following year.**

If noxious weeds are present in the stand, harvest the hay prior to weed seed maturity to prevent the spread of viable seed. If herbicides are used, follow label directions for the interval of time from chemical application to safe harvest.

Stage of Maturity. Harvest forage at the stage of maturity that provides the desired quality and quantity.

Delay harvest if prolonged or heavy precipitation is forecast that would seriously damage cut forage.

A final hay harvesting hay following a fall killing frost is acceptable provided adequate stubble is left to protect the soil from erosion and over-winter damage.

Moisture Content. Harvest silage/haylage crops within the optimum moisture range for the type of storage structure(s) being utilized.

State Cooperative Extension Service recommendations for optimum moisture content and levels as well as methods and techniques to monitor and/or determine moisture content and levels will be used.

Treat direct cut hay crop silage (moisture content > 70%) with chemical preservatives or add dry feed stuffs to avoid fermentation and seepage losses of digestible dry matter.

NRCS, MT
August 2004

Conservation practice standards are reviewed periodically and updated if needed. To obtain the current version, of this standard contact the Natural Resources Conservation Service.

Note: This type of font (AaBbCcDdEe 123...) indicates NRCS National Standards.
This type of font (AaBbCcDdEe 123...) indicates Montana Supplement.

For optimal dry hay quality, rake hay at 30 to 40 percent moisture and ted or invert swaths when moisture is above 40 percent.

To preserve forage quality and quantity, bale field cured hay at 15 – 20 percent moisture and bale force air dried hay at 20-35 percent moisture.

Length of Cut. When harvested for ensilage forage will be chopped to a size appropriate for type of storage structure (high moisture wrapped or tubed bales) that allows adequate packing to produce the anaerobic conditions necessary to ensure the proper ensiling process.

Contaminants. Forage shall not contain contaminants or debris that can cause illness or death to the animal being fed or rejection of the offered forage.

Additional Criteria to Improve or Maintain Stand Life, Plant Vigor and Forage Species Mix

Stage of Maturity and Harvest Interval. Cut forage plants at a stage of maturity or harvest interval range that will provide adequate food reserves and/or basal or auxiliary tillers or buds for regrowth and/or reproduction to occur without loss of plant vigor.

If applicable, cut reseeding annuals at a stage of maturity and frequency that ensures the production of viable seed or ample carryover of hard seed to maintain desired stand density.

If plants show signs of short-term environmental stress, management will be applied in a manner that encourages the continued health and vigor of the stand.

Stubble Height. Cut forage plants at a height that will promote the vigor and health of the desired species. Cutting heights will provide adequate residual leaf area; adequate numbers of terminal, basal or auxiliary tillers or buds; insulation from extreme heat or cold; and/or unsevered stem bases that store food reserves needed for full, vigorous recovery (see **specification for details**).

Manipulate timing and cutting heights of harvest to ensure germination and establishment of reseeding or seeded annuals.

Additional Criteria for Use as a Nutrient Uptake Tool

Employ a harvest regime that utilizes the maximum amount of available or targeted nutrients.

Additional Criteria to Control Disease, Insect, Weed and Invasive Plant Infestations

Schedule harvest periods to control disease, insect, and weed infestations. When a pesticide is used to control disease, insects or weeds, adhere to the specified days to harvest period stated on the pesticide label. Evaluate pest management options by planning conservation practice standard Pest Management (595).

Lessen incidence of disease, insect damage, and weed infestation by managing for desirable plant vigor. Plan and schedule removal of invasive plants.

Additional Criteria to Improve Wildlife Habitat Values

If client objectives include providing suitable habitat for desired wildlife specie(s) then appropriate harvest schedule(s), cover patterns, and plant height to provide suitable habitat for the desired specie(s) should be maintained.

CONSIDERATIONS

Where applicable coordinate this practice with the current NRCS practice standard for Prescribed Grazing (528).

When nutrients or other soil amendments are applied coordinate this practice with the current NRCS conservation practice standard for Nutrient Management (590) or Waste Utilization (633) as appropriate. An excess or improper balance of nutrients such as nitrogen can produce plant material that causes toxicity in some animals.

To control forage plant diseases, insects, and movement of weeds, clean harvesting equipment after harvest and before storing. Cut forages after dew, rain, or irrigation water on leaves has evaporated.

Care should be taken to produce stored forages of the quality needed for optimum performance of the animal being fed. For instance, immature legume forages can be too low in fiber and lead to metabolic disorders in ruminants and an economic loss to the producer due to lowered animal performance.

Direct cut grass and legume silage can create silage leachate (seepage). Consider the collection, storage, and disposal of this leachate as part of an agricultural waste management system.

In conjunction with harvest options, explore storage and feeding options that will retain acceptable forage quality and minimize digestible dry matter loss. Also consider storage location for large square or round bales with regards to inside vs. outside, along hedgerows, winter/mud considerations etc.

Where weather conditions make it difficult to harvest the desired quality of forage, use mechanical or chemical conditioners and/or ensile.

In regions where rainfall and/or humidity levels cause unacceptable forage quality losses consider green chopping or ensiling the forage to reduce or eliminate field drying time. Other options are: the use of desiccants, preservatives, conditioners, macerating implements, or barn curing techniques to reduce field-drying time. These techniques can improve the timeliness of harvest and preserve forage quality.

To reduce safety hazards, avoid operating harvesting and hauling equipment on field slopes over 25 percent, particularly on cross slope traffic patterns.

When improving wildlife habitat is an objective, consider delaying harvest until after the primary nesting/brooding season.

PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS

Place the detailed specifications in a site-specific job or design sheet or in the practice narrative in the conservation plan.

These plans and specifications shall be consistent with this standard and shall describe the requirement for applying the practice to achieve its intended purpose.

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE

Before forage harvest, clear fields of debris that could damage machinery or if ingested by livestock, lead to sickness (for example, hardware disease) or death.

Operate all forage harvesting equipment at the optimum settings and speeds to minimize loss of leaves.

Set shear-plate on forage chopper to the proper theoretical cut for the crop being harvested. Keep knives well sharpened. Do not use re-cutters or screens unless forage moisture levels fall below recommended levels for optimum chopping action.

Regardless of silage/haylage storage method, ensure good compaction and an airtight seal to exclude oxygen and mold formation.

Fall grazing is not recommended to maintain stand productivity. However, if hay lands are fall grazed, grazing should be delayed until after a killing frost.

REFERENCES:

- Ball, D. M., C. S. Hoveland, & G. D. Lacefield. Southern Forages. 1991. Potash & Phosphate Institute, Norcross, GA.
- Barnes, R. F., D. A. Miller, & C. J. Nelson. Forages, The Science of Grassland Agriculture, Fifth Edition. 1995. Iowa State University Press, Ames, IA.
- Hanson, A. A., D. K. Barnes, & R. R. Hill, Jr. Alfalfa and Alfalfa Improvement. 1988. American Society of Agronomy, Madison, WI.
- Ishler, V. A. Et al. Harvesting and Utilizing Silage. 1991. Penn State University Circular 396. University Park, PA.
- Matches, A. G. Anti-Quality Components of Forages. 1973. Crop Science Society of America Special Pub. No. 4, Madison, WI
- Pitt, R. E. Silage and Hay Preservation. 1990. Northeast Regional Agricultural Engineering Service. Ithaca, NY.
- Serotkin, N., Ed. The Penn State Agronomy Guide, 1995-1996. Pennsylvania State University. 1994. University Park, PA.
- Smith, D. Forage Management in the North, Third Edition. 1975. Kendall/Hunt Publishing Company, Dubuque, IA.
- Taylor, N. L. Clover Science and Technology. 1985. American Society of Agronomy, Madison, WI.

REFERENCES

Fertilizer Guidelines for Montana, Montana State University, Extension Service Bulletin EB 161, January 2003

Montana-Utah-Wyoming Weed Management Handbook, Extension Service of Montana State University, Utah State University, and University of Wyoming

W.O. Thom and R.L. Blevins, Conservation Tillage and Filter Strips Trap Potential Water Contaminants, Extension Service, University of Kentucky, 1996

T.A. Dillaha, J.H. Sherrard, D. Lee, S. Mostaghimi, V.O. Shanholtz, Evaluation of Vegetative Filter Strips as a Best Management Practice for Feed Lots, Journal WPCF, Volume 60, Number 7, July 1988.

J.S. Jacobsen, S.H. Lorbeer, H.A.R. Houlton, and G.R. Carlson, Nitrogen Fertilization of Dryland Grasses in the Northern Great Plains, 1985, *J. Range Management* 49:340-345

Mankin, Lyle R. et al. Kansas State University ASAE Paper No. 032150 2003 AJAE Annual International Meeting. Field Evaluation of Bacteria in a VFS.

USDA, Natural Resources Conservation Service, Field Office Technical Guide, Section IV, Practice Standard 512 Pasture/Hayland Planting, July 1989

USDA, Natural Resources Conservation Service, Field Office Technical Guide, Section IV, Practice Standard 342 Critical Area Planting, March 1976

J.J. Fajardo, J.W. Bauder. Managing Nitrate and Bacteria in Runoff From Livestock Confinement Areas With Vegetative Filter Strips. Montana State University, May 2000.

R.A. Fasching, J.W. Bauder. Non-point Source Pollution Control Using Dryland Vegetative Filter Strips. Montana State University, April 1999.

Dillaha, T.A. and J.H. Sherrard, D. Lee. Long Term Effectiveness and Maintenance of vegetative Filter Strips. Virginia Water Resources Research Center, Bulletin 153, 1986.