TABLE OF CONTENTS

SECTION I - WATER EROSION PREDICTION

Page

Estimating Soil Loss Resulting from Water Erosion (Sheet and Rill)

Revised Universal Soil Loss Equation 3

Annual Rainfall Factor "R" (Figure 1) 5
"C" & "K" Factor Zones in South Dakota (Figure 2) 6
Average Annual "K" Factor (Table 1) 7-8
Slope-Effect Factors "LS" (Table 2) 10
Residue Produced By Crops (Table 4) 12
Residue Types (Table 5) 14
Residue Reduction By Type of Activity (Table 6) 15-18
Relationship Of Residue Weight To Percent Residue Cover (Table 7) 19
10-Year Single-Storm Erosion Index "EI" (Figure 3) 22
Cover Management Condition (Table 8) 28
Guidelines For Selecting Ridge Heights (Table 9) 29
Contouring "P" Subfactor Value Adjusted For Ridge/Furrow Grade (Table 11) 30-31
Contour Stripcropping Practice Subfactor (Table 12) 32
Field Stripcropping Practice Subfactor (Table 13) 33
Buffer Stripcropping Practice Subfactor (Table 14) 34
Buffer Strip System (Figure 31) 35
Terrace Subfactor (Table 15) 36
Example "P" Factor Computations 36-41

Estimating Other Erosion

Ephemeral Gully and Gully Erosion 42-43

Appendix A 44
"C" Factor Yields (Table 3A) 44-46
"C" Factors for Cropland (Table 3) (unnumbered)
EI Area 47
EI Area 53.1
EI Area 85
EI Area 86C
EI Area 86D
EI Area 86E
EI Area 87A/B
EI Area 87C
EI Area 87E
Contour "P" Subfactors For On-Grade Condition (Table 10) 47-82
"P" Factor Critical Slope Length (Figures 4-23) (Figures 24-27 reserved) 83-106
"P" Factor Stripcropping and Contour Adjustment When Critical Length is Exceeded (Figure 28-30) 107-109

INTRODUCTION

SECTION I - WATER EROSION PREDICTION

This section describes use of the Revised Universal Soil Loss Equation (RUSLE) in South Dakota. The RUSLE is the management tool used in conservation planning to estimate soil losses by sheet and rill erosion on cropland, pastureland, rangeland, woodland, idle land, and in some instances, urban areas. The equations quantify the effects of natural factors, cultural management, and cropping practices in soil loss.

The purpose of the RUSLE is to predict long-term average soil losses from specific field areas under specified cropping and management systems. Because of unpredictable short-time fluctuations in the values of influential variables, they are less accurate in predicting specific events than for predicting average soil losses over the entire cropping sequence.

The effectiveness of a particular land treatment alternative can be evaluated when the predicted soil loss for that treatment is compared with the soil loss tolerance "T" for the specific soil.

T = soil loss tolerance. "T" is not part of RUSLE but is used with RUSLE to establish a benchmark for evaluating the predicted erosion rate from an existing or planned conservation system. "T" is the average annual erosion rate that can occur with little or no long-term degradation of the soil resource on the field. When the computed soil erosion rate is less than the "T" value, control of sheet and rill erosion is assumed to be adequate. When the computed soil erosion rate exceeds the "T" value, sheet and rill erosion is considered to be excessive and additional conservation treatment is needed. Soil loss tolerance values ("T") are assigned to each soil map unit by the Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS).

By using the RUSLE, numerous crop and tillage alternatives can be developed for a particular field or farm. These alternatives can be compared on the basis of predicted soil loss and they can also be evaluated for effectiveness using "T." This allows the operator to select his or her system based on the effectiveness to reduce soil loss, feasibility, and economics.

Occasionally, small portions of fields identified during the planning process cannot be completely treated to meet "T" due to topography or physical limitations. In these situations, when water courses or sensitive areas are located down slope, either offsite protection from siltation must be provided or additional land treatment must be utilized to minimize siltation. Some practices, such as crop residue management hay in rotation and the use of cover crops, may compensate for the lack of other treatment.

Even when meeting "T," cropland immediately upslope (above) water courses or sensitive areas may require additional treatment during periods of low residue or crop cover. This is especially true during the part of a crop sequence when crops with high "C" values are utilized. This additional treatment could include the use and management of crop residues, cover crops, intercropping, or by allowing a natural or seeded filter strip between the cropland and the water course or other sensitive area.

In summary, RUSLE is an important tool in developing conservation plans which keep soil losses to acceptable levels which will be sustainable over time. This tool is also important in treating surface water quality problems where nonpoint source sediment is an identified problem.

PREDICTING RAINFALL EROSION LOSSES
Revised Universal Soil Loss Equation

RUSLE replaces the Universal Soil Loss Equation (USLE) for predicting soil loss from interrill (sheet) and rill erosion caused by rainfall and associated overland flow. RUSLE retains the equation structure of USLE, but each of its factor relationships has been either updated with recent data or new relationships have been derived based on modern erosion theory and data.

RUSLE is an erosion prediction model that enables conservation planners to predict the long-term average annual rate of interrill (sheet) and rill soil erosion on a landscape as described by the factor values assigned by the planner. The factors represent the effect of climate, soil, topography, conservation practice, and land use on interrill (sheet) and rill erosion. Based on assigned factor values for site specific conditions, RUSLE computes soil erosion rates to guide planning conservation systems for individual fields by evaluating the impact of present and/or planned land use and management.

The soil loss computed by RUSLE is the rate of soil erosion from the landscape profile represented by the particular RUSLE computation; not the amount of sediment leaving a field or watershed. A landscape profile is defined by a slope length. Erosion rate varies along the slope profile and the erosion rate at the end of the slope length is greater than the average erosion rate for the entire slope length. The calculated soil loss is an average erosion rate for the landscape profile.

The DOS computer version of RUSLE (version 1.05) was used to develop the values in these erosion prediction tables. To compute the average annual erosion rate on a field slope, select appropriate values from the figures and tables for R, K, LS, C, and P and multiply the values together.

The equation is expressed as follows: A = RKLSCP

A = the predicted average annual soil loss from interrill (sheet) and rill erosion from rainfall and associated overland flow. Units for factor values are usually selected so that "A" is expressed in tons per acre per year.

R = the factor for climatic erosivity. "R" factor values represent the average storm EI value from a 22-year record period. "R" accounts for the amount of rainfall and the peak intensity sustained over an extended period of time and is the number of rainfall erosion index (EI) units in an average years rainfall.

K = the factor for soil erodibility. "K" values represent the susceptibility of soil to erosion and the amount and rate of runoff, as measured under the standard plot condition. "K" is a measure of the soil loss rate per erosion unit for a specified soil as measured on a unit plot. The unit plot is an erosion plot 72.6 feet long on a uniform 9 percent slope managed in continuous clean till fallow.

L = the factor for slope length. "L" represents the effect of slope length on erosion. "L" is the ratio of soil loss from the field slope length to that from a plot slope 72.6 feet long under otherwise identical conditions. Slope length is the distance from the origin of overland flow along its flow path to the location of either concentrated flow or deposition. Computed soil loss values are not as sensitive to slope length as to slope steepness, thus differences in slope length of + or - 10 percent are not important on most slopes. This is especially true in flatter landscapes.

S = the factor for slope steepness. "S" represents the effect of slope steepness on erosion. "S" is the ratio of soil erosion from the field slope gradient to that from a nine percent slope under otherwise identical conditions. Computed soil erosion rates are more sensitive to slope steepness than to slope length.

LS = The slope length "L" and steepness "S" factors are combined into the "LS" factor in the RUSLE equation. An "LS" value represents the relationship of the actual field slope condition to the unit plot. An "LS" value of 1.0 represents the unit plot condition of 72.6 feet in length and 9 percent slope steepness.

C = the factor for cover and management. "C" represents the effect of plants, soil cover, soil biomass, and soil disturbing activities on soil erosion. "C" is the ratio of soil loss from an area with specified cover and management to that from an identical area under tilled continuous fallow management.

P = the factor for support practices. "P" represents the impact of support practices on erosion rates. "P" is the ratio of soil loss from an area with supporting practices in place to that from an identical area without any supporting practices. Supporting practices include contour farming, cross-slope farming, buffer strips, stripcropping, and terraces.

I. RAINFALL AND RUNOFF FACTOR (R)

The numerical values for "R" in South Dakota range from 35 in northwest South Dakota to 125 in southeast South Dakota. Values are assigned for each county in South Dakota. Use **Figure 1** below to select the appropriate "R" value for the geographic location of the land being evaluated.

Figure 1

Annual Rainfall Factor "R"
RUSLE



II. SOIL ERODIBILITY FACTOR (K)

Soil erodibility varies during the year. Erodibility tends to be high early in the spring during and immediately following thawing and during periods when the soil tends to be wetter than during other periods. "K" values tend to be lower in the fall. RUSLE accounts for these variations and an adjusted "K" has been computed for locations where climate has a significant impact.

"K" factor adjustments for all counties are made by:

1. Obtain the assigned "K" factor for the soil map unit from the Interpretative Groupings Table found in Section II - Soil Legends of the SDTG.

2. Use **Figure 1** (Annual rainfall Factor "R" RUSLE) to determine the appropriate "R" for the county and **Figure 2** (RUSLE "C" & "K" Factor Zones in South Dakota) to determine the appropriate RUSLE "K" Factor Zone.

3. From **Table 1** (Average Annual "K" Factors) find the adjusted "K" factor that corresponds to the "K" factor determined from step 1. This is the "K" factor to use in RUSLE computations. These adjusted "K" factor values are for use only in RUSLE hand calculations.

Figure 2

RUSLE "C" & "K" Factor Zones in South Dakota



TABLE 1
AVERAGE ANNUAL K FACTORS
Climatic Zone: 47 (Wibaux, MT)

R\K	.02	.15	.17	.20	.24	.28	.32	.37	.43
35	.02	.17	.20	.22	.26	.32	.35	.40	.49

TABLE 1
AVERAGE ANNUAL K FACTORS
Climatic Zone: 53.1 (Redbird, WY)

R\K	.02	.15	.17	.20	.24	.28	.32	.37	.43
40	.02	.17	.20	.24	.28	.32	.37	.43	.49

TABLE 1
AVERAGE ANNUAL K FACTORS
Climatic Zone: 85 (Rapid City AP, SD)

R\K	.02	.15	.17	.20	.24	.28	.32	.37	.43
35	.02	.17	.20	.22	.28	.32	.37	.43	.49
40	.02	.17	.20	.22	.28	.32	.37	.43	.49
45	.02	.17	.20	.22	.28	.32	.37	.43	.49

TABLE 1
AVERAGE ANNUAL K FACTORS
Climatic Zone: 86C (Bismarck, ND)

R\K	.02	.15	.17	.20	.24	.28	.32	.37	.43
35	.02	.15	.17	.22	.26	.30	.35	.40	.46
40	.02	.15	.17	.22	.26	.30	.35	.40	.46
45	.02	.15	.17	.22	.26	.30	.35	.40	.46
50	.02	.15	.17	.22	.26	.30	.35	.40	.46
55	.02	.15	.17	.22	.26	.30	.35	.40	.46

Zone 86D

R\K	.02	.15	.17	.20	.24	.28	.32	.37	.43
55	.02	.17	.17	.22	.26	.30	.35	.40	.46
60	.02	.17	.17	.22	.26	.30	.35	.40	.46
65	.02	.15	.17	.20	.24	.30	.32	.40	.46
70	.02	.15	.17	.20	.24	.28	.32	.37	.43
75	.02	.15	.17	.20	.24	.28	.32	.37	.43

TABLE 1
AVERAGE ANNUAL K FACTORS
Climatic Zone: 86E (Fergus Falls, MN)

R\K	.02	.15	.17	.20	.24	.28	.32	.37	.43
80	.02	.15	.17	.20	.24	.28	.32	.37	.43
85	.02	.15	.17	.20	.24	.28	.32	.37	.43
90	.02	.15	.17	.20	.22	.26	.30	.35	.40

TABLE 1
AVERAGE ANNUAL K FACTORS
Climatic Zone: 87A\B (Valentine, NE)

R\K	.02	.15	.17	.20	.24	.28	.32	.37	.43
50	.02	.17	.20	.24	.28	.32	.37	.43	.49
55	.02	.17	.20	.24	.28	.32	.37	.43	.49
60	.02	.17	.20	.24	.28	.32	.37	.43	.49
65	.02	.17	.20	.24	.28	.32	.37	.43	.49
70	.02	.17	.20	.22	.26	.32	.35	.43	.49

TABLE 1
AVERAGE ANNUAL K FACTORS
Climatic Zone: 87C\D (Norfolk, NE)

R\K	.02	.15	.17	.20	.24	.28	.32	.37	.43
120	.02	.15	.15	.20	.22	.26	.30	.35	.40
125	.02	.15	.15	.17	.22	.26	.30	.35	.40

TABLE 1
AVERAGE ANNUAL K FACTORS
Climatic Zone: 87E (Mitchell, SD)

R\K	.02	.15	.17	.20	.24	.28	.32	.37	.43
75	.02	.17	.20	.22	.28	.32	.37	.43	.49
80	.02	.17	.20	.22	.26	.30	.35	.40	.49
85	.02	.17	.17	.22	.26	.30	.35	.40	.46
90	.02	.15	.17	.20	.26	.30	.35	.40	.46
95	.02	.15	.17	.20	.24	.28	.32	.37	.43
100	.02	.15	.17	.20	.24	.28	.32	.37	.43
105	.02	.15	.17	.20	.24	.28	.30	.35	.43
110	.02	.15	.15	.20	.22	.26	.30	.35	.40
115	.02	.15	.15	.17	.22	.26	.30	.35	.40

III. SLOPE LENGTH AND SLOPE STEEPNESS (LS)

Slope length in feet and slope steepness in percent are combined into the "LS" factor using Table 3. **Table 2** is used for **Cropped Agricultural Land** with moderately consolidated soil conditions and moderate to little cover.

Table 2: Cropped Agricultural Land

"LS" values for the topographic factor for land with a moderate ratio of rill to interrill erosion. This includes land in agricultural crop rotations and other land uses with moderately consolidated soil conditions and moderate to little cover.

%	Horizontal slope length (ft)																
Slope	<3	6	9	12	15	25	50	75	100	150	200	250	300	400	600	800	1000
0.2	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.06	0.06	0.06
0.5	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.09	0.09	0.09	0.09	0.09	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10
1.0	0.11	0.11	0.11	0.11	0.11	0.12	0.13	0.14	0.14	0.15	0.16	0.17	0.17	0.18	0.19	0.20	0.20
2.0	0.17	0.17	0.17	0.17	0.17	0.19	0.22	0.25	0.27	0.29	0.31	0.33	0.35	0.37	0.41	0.44	0.47
3.0	0.22	0.22	0.22	0.22	0.22	0.25	0.32	0.36	0.39	0.44	0.48	0.52	0.55	0.60	0.68	0.75	0.80
4.0	0.26	0.26	0.26	0.26	0.26	0.31	0.40	0.47	0.52	0.60	0.67	0.72	0.77	0.86	0.99	1.10	1.19
5.0	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.37	0.49	0.58	0.65	0.76	0.85	0.93	1.01	1.13	1.33	1.49	1.63
6.0	0.34	0.34	0.34	0.34	0.34	0.43	0.58	0.69	0.78	0.93	1.05	1.16	1.25	1.42	1.69	1.91	2.11
8.0	0.42	0.42	0.42	0.42	0.42	0.53	0.74	0.91	1.04	1.26	1.45	1.62	1.77	2.03	2.47	2.83	3.15
10.0	0.46	0.48	0.50	0.51	0.52	0.67	0.97	1.19	1.38	1.71	1.98	2.22	2.44	2.84	3.50	4.06	4.56
12.0	0.47	0.53	0.58	0.61	0.64	0.84	1.23	1.53	1.79	2.23	2.61	2.95	3.26	3.81	4.75	5.56	6.28
14.0	0.48	0.58	0.65	0.70	0.75	1.00	1.48	1.86	2.19	2.76	3.25	3.69	4.09	4.82	6.07	7.15	8.11
16.0	0.49	0.63	0.72	0.79	0.85	1.15	1.73	2.20	2.60	3.30	3.90	4.45	4.95	5.86	7.43	8.79	10.02
20.0	0.52	0.71	0.85	0.96	1.06	1.45	2.22	2.85	3.40	4.36	5.21	5.97	6.68	7.97	10.23	12.20	13.99
25.0	0.56	0.80	1.00	1.16	1.30	1.81	2.82	3.65	4.39	5.69	6.83	7.88	8.86	10.65	13.80	16.58	19.13
30.0	0.59	0.89	1.13	1.34	1.53	2.15	3.39	4.42	5.34	6.98	8.43	9.76	11.01	13.30	17.37	20.99	24.31
40.0	0.65	1.05	1.38	1.68	1.95	2.77	4.45	5.87	7.14	9.43	11.47	13.37	15.14	18.43	24.32	29.60	34.48
50.0	0.71	1.18	1.59	1.97	2.32	3.32	5.40	7.17	8.78	11.66	14.26	16.67	18.94	23.17	30.78	37.65	44.02
60.0	0.76	1.30	1.78	2.23	2.65	3.81	6.24	8.33	10.23	13.65	16.76	19.64	22.36	27.45	36.63	44.96	52.70

IV. COVER AND MANAGEMENT (C)

There are nine RUSLE "C" & "K" factor zones in South Dakota as shown in **Figure 2.** Each zone is represented by the climatic data base for a selected city. Those cities are: Wibaux, MT; Redbird, WY; Rapid City, SD; Fergus Falls, MN; Bismarck, ND; Eureka, SD; Valentine, NE; Norfolk, NE; and Mitchell, SD. "C" factor tables have been generated for each of these zones.

"C" factors are shown in **Table 3 (Appendix A)** in a family of tables that display the crop to be planted and the preceding crop. "C" factors are displayed by tillage type for several levels of residue cover after planting. Tables are available for three yield groups: high, average, and low. Yield information is presented in Table 3A (Appendix A).

"C" factor tables contained in the SDTG are relevant to each individual location throughout South Dakota. Table 3 will change from location to location depending on the climatic station used to generate the table.

Crop rotation "C" factors can be constructed by selecting the appropriate "C" factor for each crop in the rotation, adding these "C" factors together, and dividing the sum of "C" factors by the number of years in the rotation.

The residue cover value necessary for "C" factor development can be determined by estimating residue production and reduction for planning purposes or precisely measured in the field. Tables 4, 5, and 6 can be used to estimate residue quantities for planning purposes. Average crop yields and the corresponding residue value from Table 4 can be used to determine initial residue production at harvest. Estimations of residue reduction can be determined with Tables 5 and 6. The values in Table 6 were developed jointly by NRCS and the Equipment Manufacturers Institute, February 1992.

Each tillage or planting operation leaves a percent of the residue that was present just prior to that operation. The numbers in Table 6 represent the remaining percentages.

Crop residue has been generally classified as being either nonfragile or fragile in Table 5. This is a subjective classification based in part on the ease in which crop residues are decomposed by the elements or buried by tillage operations. Plant characteristics such as composition and size of leaves and stems; density of the residue and relative quantities produced were considered.

TABLE 4

RESIDUE PRODUCED BY CROP

Crop		Estimated Air Dry Residue Produced	
Barley		72	lbs./bu. grain
Buckwheat		1.5	lbs./lb. grain
Corn		56	lbs./bu. grain
Corn Silage Stubble		21	lbs. residue/ inch of stubble height/10,000 plants/acre
Dry Edible Beans		2.2	lbs./lb. grain
Field Peas (Dry)		1.2	lbs./lb. grain
Flax		80	lbs./bu. grain
Lentil		1.2	lbs./lb. grain
Millet		80	lbs./bu. grain
Oats		64	lbs./bu. grain
Potatoes		6	lbs./cwt.
Rape Seed		2	lbs./lb. grain
Rye		84	lbs./bu. grain
Rye (fall growth)		175-500	lbs./ac.
Safflower		1.5	lbs./lb. grain
Sorghum (Grain)		56	lbs./bu. grain
	Plant population/ac		
Sorghum silage stubble	<58,000	32	lbs./inch/10,000 plants/acre
	>58,000	186	lbs./inch of stubble height
Soybeans		75	lbs./bu. grain
Spring wheat		78	lbs/bu. grain
Sunflowers		2.2	lbs./lb. grain
Winter wheat		102	lbs./bu. grain
Winter wheat (fall growth)		175-260	lbs./ac.

Many factors affect the amount of residue left after a pass with a tractor and tillage or planting machine. Residue levels are sensitive to depth and speed of equipment operation and to row spacing. When selecting values from the ranges in Table 9 for a specific machine, consider the following general rules of thumb. (1) At shallower operating depths greater amounts of residue are left on the surface, while at deeper operating depths more residue is buried. (2) Slower operating speeds tend to leave more residue on the surface, while at faster speeds more residue is buried. Under some conditions field cultivators and other finishing tools with field cultivator gangs and some planters and drills may return as much as 20 percent of the residue incorporated at shallow depths by recent previous operations. Excess wheel slippage caused by improper ballasting of tractor tires can destroy valuable residue in the wheel tracks. Higher retention values should be used when dealing with residue in excess of 2000 lbs. and the lower values when residue amounts are less than 2000 lbs.

Residue Estimation For Planning

The basic steps for estimating residue reduction are as follows:

1. Determine the total amount of residue produced using average crop yield and values provided in Table 4.

2. Convert the calculated residue value from item 1 to percent residue cover using Figure 3 or Table 7.

3. Using Tables 5 and 6 determine the residue value for the period of interest in percent residue cover.

Again, the values in Table 6 should only be used as a guide in conservation planning. Residue amounts left by each operation should be measured in the field to make necessary adjustments to table values.

TABLE 5

RESIDUE TYPES

Nonfragile	Fragile
Alfalfa or legume hay	Canola/rapeseed
Barley*	Dry beans
Buckwheat	Dry peas
Corn	Fall seeded cover crops
Flaxseed	Lentils
Forage Silage	Mustard
Grass Hay	Potatoes
Millet	Safflower
Oats*	Soybeans
Pasture	Sugar Beets
Popcorn	Sunflowers
Rye*	Vegetables
Sorghum	
Speltz*	
Triticale*	
Wheat*	

*If a combine is used with a straw chopper or otherwise cuts straw into small pieces in harvesting small grain then the residue should be considered as being fragile.

TABLE 6

RESIDUE REDUCTION BY TYPE OF ACTIVITY

Implement	Percent Residue Remaining Nonfragile Percent	Fragile Percent
Drills		
Hoe opener drills	50-80	40-60
Semi-deep furrow drill or press drill (7"-12" spacing)	70-90	50-80
Deep furrow drill with >12" spacing	60-80	50-80
Single disk opener drills	85-100	75-85
Double disk opener drills (conventional)	80-100	60-80
No-till drills and drills with the following attachments in standing stubble:		
Smooth no-till coulters	85-95	70-85
Ripple or bubble coulters	80-85	65-85
Fluted coulters	75-80	60-80
No-till drills and drills with the following attachments in flat residues:		
Smooth no-till coulters	65-85	50-70
Ripple or bubble coulters	60-75	45-65
Fluted coulters	55-70	40-60
Air Seeders: Refer to appropriate field cultivator or chisel plow depending on the type of ground engaging device used.		
Air drills: Refer to corresponding type of drill opener.		
Row Planters		
Conventional planters with:		
Runner openers	85-95	80-90
Staggered double disk openers	90-95	85-95
Double disk openers	85-95	75-85
No-till planters with:		
Smooth coulters	85-95	75-90
Ripple coulters	75-90	70-85
Fluted coulters	65-85	55-80
Strip till planters with:		
2 or 3 Fluted coulters	60-80	50-75
Row cleaning devices (8"-14" wide bare strip using brushes, spikes furrowing disks, or sweeps)	60-80	50-60
Ridge till planter	40-60	20-40

TABLE 6

RESIDUE REDUCTION BY TYPE OF ACTIVITY

Implement	Percent Residue Remaining Nonfragile Percent	Fragile Percent
Climatic Effects		
Over winter weathering:**		
Following summer harvest	70-90	65-85
Following fall harvest	80-95	70-80
Field Cultivators (Including leveling attachments)		
Used as the primary tillage operation:		
Sweeps 12"-20"	60-80	55-75
Sweeps or shovels 6"-12"	35-75	50-70
Duckfoot points	35-60	30-55
Field cultivators as secondary operation following chisel or disk:		
Sweeps 12"-20"	80-90	60-75
Sweeps or shovels 6"-12"	70-80	50-60
Duckfoot points	60-70	35-50
Finishing Tools		
Combination finishing tools with:		
Disks, shanks, and leveling attachments	50-70	30-50
Spring teeth and rolling basket	70-90	50-70
Harrows:		
Springtooth (coil tine)	60-80	50-70
Spike tooth	70-90	60-80
Flex-tine tooth	75-90	70-85
Roller harrow (cultipacker)	60-80	50-70
Packer roller	90-95	90-95
Rotary tiller:		
Secondary operation 3" deep	40-60	20-40
Primary operation 6" deep	15-35	5-15
Rodweeders		
Plain rotary rod	80-90	50-60
Rotary rod with semi-chisels or shovels	70-80	60-70
Strip Tillage Machines		
Rotary tiller, 12" tilled on 40" rows	60-75	50-60

**In northern climates with long periods of snow cover and frozen conditions, weathering may reduce residue levels only slightly; while in warmer climates, weathering losses may reduce residue levels significantly.

TABLE 6

RESIDUE REDUCTION BY TYPE OF ACTIVITY

Implement	Percent Residue Remaining Nonfragile Percent	Fragile Percent
Row Cultivators (30" and wider)		
Single sweep per row	75-90	55-70
Multiple sweeps per row	75-85	55-65
Finger wheel cultivator	65-75	50-60
Rolling disk cultivator	45-55	40-50
Ridge till cultivator	20-40	5-25
Unclassified Machines		
Anhydrous applicator	75-85	45-70
Anhydrous applicator with closing disks	60-75	30-50
Subsurface manure applicator	60-80	40-60
Rotary Hoe	85-90	80-90
Bedders, listers, & hippers	15-30	5-20
Furrow diker	85-95	75-85
Mulch treader	70-85	60-75
Plows		
Moldboard plow	0-10	0-5
Moldboard plow-uphill furrow (Pacific Northwest Region only)	30-40	---
Disk plow	10-20	5-15
Machines which fracture soil		
Paratill/paraplow "V" ripper/subsoiler	80-90	75-85
12"-14" deep 20" spacing	70-90	60-80
Combination tools:		
Subsoil-chisel	50-70	40-50
Disk-subsoiler	30-50	10-20
Chisel Plows with		
Sweeps	70-85	50-60
Straight chisel spike points	40-80	30-60
Twisted points or shovels	35-70	20-40
Combination Chisel Plows Coulter chisel plows with:		
Sweeps	60-80	40-50
Straight chisel spike points	30-60	25-40
Twisted points or shovel	25-60	10-30
Disk chisel plows with:		
Sweeps	60-70	30-50
Straight chisel spike points	30-60	25-40
Twisted points or shovels	20-50	10-30

TABLE 6

RESIDUE REDUCTION BY TYPE OF ACTIVITY

Implement	Percent Residue Remaining Nonfragile Percent	Fragile Percent
Undercutters Stubble-mulch sweep or blade plows with:		
Sweep/"V"-blade >30" wide	75-95	60-80
Sweeps 20"-30" wide	70-90	50-75
Disk Harrows		
Offset		
Heavy plowing >10" spacing	25-50	10-25
Primary cutting >9" spacing	30-60	20-40
Finishing 7"-9" spacing	40-70	25-40
Tandem		
Heavy plowing >10" spacing	25-50	10-25
Primary cutting >9" spacing	30-60	20-40
Finishing 7"-9" spacing	40-70	25-40
Light tandem disk after harvest, before other tillage	70-80	40-50
One-way disk with:		
12-16" blade	40-50	20-40
18-30" blades	20-40	10-30
Single gang disk	50-70	40-60

TABLE 7
RELATIONSHIP OF RESIDUE WEIGHT TO PERCENT RESIDUE COVER

% Cover	Alfalfa, Bromegrass, Rye	Wheat, Oats Soybeans	Corn	Sorghum	Sunflower
	lbs/ac[1/]				
5	95	85	135	145	215
10	190	180	275	295	440
15	295	275	430	450	675
20	405	380	585	620	930
25	525	490	755	800	1200
30	650	605	940	990	1485
35	785	730	1135	1195	1795
40	930	865	1345	1420	2130
45	1085	1015	1575	1660	2490
50	1260	1175	1825	1925	2890
55	1450	1355	2100	2220	3325
60	1665	1555	2410	2545	3820
65	1910	1780	2765	2915	4375
70	2190	2040	3170	3345	5015
75	2520	2350	3650	3850	5775
80	2925	2730	4235	4470	6705
85	3450	3215	4990	5270	7905
90	4185	3905	6060	6395	9595

1/ Values listed for 30, 60, and 90 percent cover vary slightly from those listed in the RUSLE database due to rounding.

V. SUPPORT PRACTICE FACTOR (P)

A series of tables and charts are required to determine the appropriate "P" factor to credit the support practices (contour farming, cross-slope farming, buffer strips, strip cropping, and terraces) planned or applied to the field.

The "P" factor value used in the RUSLE equation is a combination of subfactors determined to represent the actual field conditions. After determining the contour on-grade "P" factor, adjustments with subfactors are made as appropriate. These adjustments may increase "P" due to ridge/furrow grade and/or when actual field slope length exceeds the critical slope length for effectiveness of contouring. Strip cropping, buffer strip, and terrace "P" subfactors reduce the "P" factor for on-grade contouring or as adjusted for ridge/furrow grade.

Determine the appropriate "P" factor using the instructions and tables presented in this section. Contents in this section include:

Page	22	10-Year Frequency, Single Storm "EI" Map
Pages	21-23	Subfactors for Contouring Procedures
Pages	24-26	Subfactors for Stripcropping Procedures
Page	27	Subfactors for Terraces Procedures
Page	28	Table 8 - Cover Management Conditions
Page	29	Table 9 - Guidelines for Selecting Ridge Height
Pages	47-82	Table 10 (Appendix A) - Subfactor Tables for On-Grade Contouring
Pages	30-31	Table 11 - Adjustment for Furrow Grade
Page	32	Table 12 - Contour Stripcropping Subfactor Tables
Page	33	Table 13 - Field Stripcropping Subfactor Tables
Page	34	Table 14 - Buffer Strip Subfactor Tables
Page	36	Table 15 - Terrace Subfactor Tables
Pages	36-41	Example "P" Factor Computations
Pages	83-106	Figures 4-23 - Critical Slope Length Determination (Figures 24-27 Reserved)
Pages	107-109	Figures 28-30 - Stripcropping and Contour Adjustment When Critical Length is Exceeded

RUSLE "P" SUBFACTOR VALUES FOR CONTOURING

Step 1. Gather required information.

1. Identify the hydrologic soil group for the soil map unit(s) on the selected landscape profile.

2. Determine the slope length "L" and slope steepness "S" of the landscape profile, and grade along the ridges/furrows that result from tillage, planting and/or row cultivation operations.

3. Identify the 10-year storm erosivity (10-yr. "EI") value for the site from the map in **Figure 3.**

4. Select the Cover-Management Condition from **Table 8**, "Cover Management Conditions."

5. Select the appropriate ridge height using the guidelines shown in **Table 9.**

Step 2. Determine the "P" subfactor for contouring on-grade.

1. With 10-year "EI" value, ridge height, hydrologic soil group, and cover-management condition, select the appropriate part of **Table 10**, "RUSLE Contour "P" Subfactor Tables for On-Grade Condition."

2. Enter the selected table proceeding across the row for the hydrologic soil group and read the value in the column for the slope steepness. This value is the "P" subfactor value for contouring on-grade.

Step 3. Adjust contouring "P" subfactor for ridge/furrow grade.

1. Calculate the ratio of the field average ridge/furrow grade to the landscape profile slope used to describe the field topographic factor and round to the nearest 0.1. For ratio values <0.05, go to **Step 4** as no adjustment is required for off-grade contouring.

2. For ratio values of >0.05, go to **Table 11,** "Contouring "P" Subfactor Value Adjusted for Furrow Grade."

3. In the left column of **Table 11,** locate the "P" factor value for on-grade contouring obtained from **Step 2** above. If the "P" factor value is an odd number, round up or down to the nearest even number listed in **Table 11**. Round in the opposite direction from that used when rounding the ridge/furrow grade to landscape profile slope ratio to the nearest 0.1.

On the located row, move right to the column for the appropriate ratio of ridge/furrow grade to slope steepness of the landscape profile. This value is the RUSLE "P" subfactor value for off-grade contouring where the slope is less than the critical slope. Beyond the critical slope length, the practice effectiveness decreases quickly with increasing slope length.

Figure 3

10-Year Frequency, Single Storm EI Maps



Step 4. Determine the critical slope length.

1. In South Dakota, exceeding the critical slope length is usually only a concern with cover management codes 5, 6, or 7.

2. Refer to **Figures 4-23** and select the applicable figure for the hydrologic soil group, and Cover-Management Condition.

3. Enter the selected figure at the landscape profile slope percent on the horizontal axis and project a vertical line up to intersect the 10-year "EI" value for the site. From that intersection project a horizontal line to the left and read the critical slope length. This is the critical slope length or the maximum slope length for which the previously determined "P" subfactor value applies. Use the previously determined "P" subfactor value for slopes less than the critical slope length.

4. Stripcropping increases the effectiveness of contouring. When used in conjunction with contouring, increase the critical slope length by multiplying the slope length from 3 above by 1.5.

Step 5. Adjust the contouring "P" subfactor where the landscape profile exceeds the critical slope length.

1. Where landscape profile slope length exceeds the critical slope length, calculate the slope actual length to critical slope length ratio by dividing the landscape profile slope length by the critical slope length. Increase the critical slope length before making this calculation if stripcropping applies.

2. Use the same rill/interrill ratio as previously used in determining the topographic "LS" factor at the site. Use **medium** for cultivated cropland and other land uses with moderately consolidated soil conditions.

3. Go to **Figures 28-30**. Select the appropriate figure for the land use rill/interrill ratio and the site slope steepness.

4. From the actual slope length/critical slope length ratio on the horizontal axis of the selected figure, project a vertical line to intersect the "P" subfactor value determined in **Step 2** or **3** above. From that intersection project a horizontal line to the left and read the effective "P" subfactor value. This subfactor value is the corrected "P" subfactor value for contouring and applies to the entire landscape profile slope length.

Step 6. Compute rotational contouring "P" subfactor where cover-management conditions and/or ridge heights change from year to year during the life of a crop rotation.

1. Calculate the contour "P" subfactor for each year in the crop rotation following the appropriate **Steps 1-5** above.

2. Add the contour "P" subfactor values for all years in the rotation and divide by the total years in the rotation to determine a weighted average annual contour "P" subfactor value.

RUSLE "P" SUBFACTOR VALUES FOR STRIPCROPPING

Step 1. Gather required information. Note that some of the information is the same as used for evaluating contouring.

1. Identify the hydrologic soil group for the soil map unit(s) on the selected landscape profile.

2. Determine the slope length "L" and slope steepness "S" of the landscape profile and grade along the ridges/furrows that result from tillage, planting, and/or row cultivation operations.

3. Identify the 10-year storm erosivity (10-yr. "EI") value for the site from the map in **Figure 3.**

4. Determine the number of strips that can be laid across the landscape profile slope length "L." A minimum of two full strip widths must fit on the slope.

5. For a strip pair, select the Cover-Management Conditions that will be opposite each other during the life of the crop rotation using **Table 8**, "Cover-Management Conditions." For sod based rotations, it is also important whether or not hay is established by direct seeding or seeding is done with a nurse crop. The seeding year with a nurse crop introduces a third cover-management condition.

6. Determine whether the support practice is:

   A. Contour stripcropping layout with strip boundaries as close to level as possible. Sediment retarding strips and erosion prone strips on contour layouts switch positions on the landscape profile during the crop rotation.

   B. A field stripcropping layout with strips boundaries markedly off-contour. Sediment retarding strips and erosion prone strips on field stripcropping layouts switch positions on the landscape profile during the life of the crop rotation.

   C. Buffer strip layout with narrow sod cross slope strips alternating with wider tilled strips. Sod strips are maintained in a permanent location.

7. For buffer strip layout, determine the percentage of landscape profile to be occupied by buffer strips at least 15 feet wide. **Table 14** is set up for 10 and 20 percent of the landscape profile. **Table 14** presents these as crop strip to buffer strip ratios of 9:1 and 4:1 respectively. Note from **Table 14** that there is little to be gained by going to 20 percent from a sheet and rill erosion standpoint. The minimum landscape profile length that can benefit from buffer strips is 150 feet.

Step 2. Determine the "P" subfactor for stripcropping.

1. Determine the type of stripcropping layout, number of strips on the slope length "L," cover-management condition pairings, and for buffer strips the percent of slope length "L" occupied by buffer strips. Select the appropriate table:

   A. **Table 12** for Contour Stripcropping Subfactors

   B. **Table 13** for Field Stripcropping Subfactors

   C. **Table 14** for Buffer Strip Subfactors

2. Locate the stripcropping subfactor value at the intersection of number of strips and the Cover-Management Conditions of the strips. For buffer strips, enter the correct column for ratio of cultivated crop strip to buffer strip. The value is the stripcropping "P" subfactor for slopes where the landscape profile slope length does not exceed the critical slope length.

Step 3. Determine critical slope length.

1. Refer to **Figures 4-23** and select the applicable figure for the hydrologic soil group and Cover-Management Condition.

   Use the most erosive cover-management condition of the opposing strip pairs on the slope to determine the critical slope length for stripcropping.

2. Enter the selected figure at the profile slope on the horizontal axis and project a vertical line up to intersect the 10-year "EI" value for the site. From that intersection project a horizontal line to the left and read the critical length. Stripcropping increases the effectiveness of the contouring. Therefore, adjust the critical slope length from the figure by multiplying the value by 1.5.

3. The adjusted critical length is the maximum slope length for which the previously determined stripcropping "P" subfactor value applies. Use the previously determined stripcropping "P" subfactor value where the landscape slope is equal to or less than the adjusted critical slope length.

Step 4. Adjust the stripcropping "P" subfactor where the landscape profile exceeds the critical slope length.

1. Where landscape profile slope length exceeds the critical slope length, calculate the slope actual length to critical slope length ratio by dividing the landscape profile slope length by the critical slope length. Increase the critical slope length before making this calculation for stripcropping.

2. Use the same rill/interrill ratio as previously used in determining the topographic "LS" factor at the site. Use **medium** for cultivated cropland and other land uses with moderately consolidated soil conditions.

3. Go to **Figures 28-30**. Select the appropriate figure for the land use rill/interrill ratio and the site slope steepness.

4. From the actual slope length/critical slope length ratio on the horizontal axis of the selected figure, project a vertical line to intersect the "P" subfactor value determined in **Step 2** above. From that intersection project a horizontal line to the left and read the effective "P" subfactor value. This subfactor value is the corrected "P" subfactor value for stripcropping and applies to the entire landscape profile slope length.

Step 5. Multiply the contour "P" subfactor times the stripcropping "P" subfactor to get the composite "P" factor for the sheet and rill erosion conservation management subsystem.

1. When the critical slope is not exceeded for stripcropping, use the unadjusted "L" for slope length contour "P" subfactor value determined earlier using the contour "P" subfactor instructions. Take the "P" subfactor for stripcropping times the contour "P" subfactor to get the composite "P" factor for the conservation management subsystem.

2. When the critical slope is exceeded for stripcropping, adjust the contour "P" subfactor value using the ratio determined by dividing the total slope length by the critical slope length for stripcropping. Go to Figures 28-30, enter appropriate figure with this ratio and determine adjusted contour "P" subfactor. Take this adjusted contour "P" subfactor times the adjusted "P" subfactor for stripcropping to get the composite "P" factor for the conservation management subsystem.

RUSLE "P" SUBFACTOR VALUES FOR TERRACING

Step 1. Gather required information.

1. Determine the slope steepness of the landscape profile. Will it change with construction of terrace? If yes, determine new slope steepness.

2. Determine what supporting conservation practice will accompany the terraces, contouring, cross-slope farming, buffer strips, or contour stripcropping.

3. Decide whether terrace will have an open or closed outlet.

4. If the terrace has an open outlet, determine the channel grade of terrace at outlet end. The terrace outlet for this determination is defined as the lesser of the 300 feet or 1/3 of the terrace closest to the outlet. If channel grade is 0.8 or greater, the practice factor equals 1.0. In this case, skip **Step 2** below and proceed with **Step 3** below.

Step 2. Determine terrace "P" subfactor.

1. From **Table 15** select the appropriate horizontal spacing interval. Read across the row to the selected outlet type. If an open outlet is used, then select the terrace channel grade range column that describes the design terrace channel grade. Read the "P" subfactor value at the row-column intersection.

Step 3. If terrace horizontal spacing interval is less than landscape profile slope length, recalculate the "LS" value to reflect a shorter sheet and rill erosion flow length.

1. If significant earth moving will cause a change in landscape profile slope, recompute landscape profile slope steepness and length and record for use in **Step 2.**

2. Determine new "LS" value from appropriate "LS" table. For cropped agricultural land use **Table 2.**

Step 4. Determine composite "P" factor for terracing when used in combination with contouring alone or with contouring and stripcropping.

1. When terraces are used in conjunction with contouring or cross-slope farming, multiply terrace "P" subfactor times the previously determined contouring "P" subfactor to get the composite "P" factor.

2. When terraces are used in conjunction with contouring, buffer strips, or stripcropping, multiply all applicable "P" subfactors together to get the composite "P" factor.

TABLE 8 - COVER MANAGEMENT CONDITIONS

Select the cover management condition that best describes the land surface condition during spring seedbed preparation and planting when rainfall and runoff are most erosive and the soil is most susceptible to erosion. Use the following descriptions of cropland cover-management conditions for estimating P-factor values.

Cover-Management Condition	Description
Code 1. Established Grass/Legume Cover.	The grass cover is dense and runoff is very slow, the slowest under any vegetative condition. When mowed and baled, this condition is condition 2.
Code 2. Established Hay Under Harvest Management.	Hay is a mixture of grass and legume just before cutting. The vegetative cover is a good grass/legume stand and is harvested for hay. When harvested this cover condition becomes a condition 4 until regrowth occurs.
Code 3. Heavy cover **and/or** very rough.	Ground cover for this condition is about 65 to 95 percent as with no-till planting. Roughness depressions would have the appearance of being 7 inches deep and deeper.
Code 4. Moderate cover **and/or** rough.	The ground cover for this condition is about 40 to 65 percent. Roughness depressions would have the appearance of being about 4 to 6 inches deep.
Code 5. Light cover **and/or** moderate roughness.	Ground surface cover is between 10 to 40 percent. Roughness depressions would have the appearance of being on the order of 2 to 3 inches deep.
Code 6. No cover **and/or** minimal roughness.	This condition is very much like the condition typically found in row cropped fields after the field has been planted and exposed to a moderately intense rainfall. Ground cover is less than about 10 percent and the roughness is characteristic of a good seedbed for corn or soybeans. The surface is rougher than that of a finely pulverized seedbed for seeding vegetables or grass.
Code 7. Clean-tilled, smooth, fallow.	This condition is essentially bare, with a cover of 5 percent or less. The soil has not had a crop grown on it in the last 6 months or more. Much of the residual effects of previous cropping has disappeared. The surface is smooth, much like the surface that develops on a very finely pulverized seedbed exposed to several intense rainfalls. This condition is found in fallow and vegetable fields, or in newly seeded lawns.

TABLE 9 - GUIDELINES FOR SELECTING RIDGE HEIGHTS

Select the ridge height that best describes the condition during the spring seedbed preparation and planting when rainfall and runoff are most erosive and the soil is most susceptible to erosion.

1. VERY LOW (0.5 - 2 in.) RIDGES

-Plants not closely spaced but with a slight ridge height
-No-till planted row crops
-Fields that have been rolled, pressed, or dragged after planting
-Spring planted conventionally drilled crops
-Direct seeded forage crops that leave a very low ridge

2. LOW (2 - 3 in.) RIDGES

-No-till drilled crops
-Mulch tilled row crops
-Clean tilled row crops with no row cultivation
-Transplanted crops, widely spaced

3. MODERATE (3 - 4 in.) RIDGES)

-Clean tilled row crops with row cultivation
-High yielding winter small grain crops when erosive rains are concentrated in the late spring after plants have developed a stiff, upright stem
-Transplanted crops that are closely spaced and/or in narrow rows

4. HIGH (4 - 6 in.) RIDGES

-Ridge tilled crops with high (4-6") ridges during periods of erosive rain

5. VERY HIGH (Greater than 6 in.) RIDGES

-Ridge tilled crops with very high (6+") ridges during periods of erosive rains
-Hipping, bedding, or ridging with very high ridges during periods of erosive rains

TABLE 11 - CONTOURING "P" SUBFACTOR VALUE ADJUSTED FOR RIDGE/FURROW GRADE

On Grade Contouring "P" SubFactor Value	Ratio of Furrow Grade to Profile Grade									
	0.1	0.2	0.3	0.4	0.5	0.6	0.7	0.8	0.9	1.0
0.04	0.34	0.47	0.57	0.65	0.72	0.78	0.84	0.90	0.95	1.00
0.06	0.36	0.48	0.57	0.65	0.72	0.79	0.85	0.90	0.95	1.00
0.08	0.37	0.49	0.58	0.66	0.73	0.79	0.85	0.90	0.95	1.00
0.10	0.38	0.50	0.59	0.67	0.74	0.80	0.85	0.90	0.95	1.00
0.12	0.40	0.51	0.60	0.68	0.74	0.80	0.86	0.91	0.95	1.00
0.14	0.41	0.52	0.61	0.68	0.75	0.81	0.86	0.91	0.96	1.00
0.16	0.43	0.54	0.62	0.69	0.75	0.81	0.86	0.91	0.96	1.00
0.18	0.44	0.55	0.63	0.70	0.76	0.82	0.87	0.91	0.96	1.00
0.20	0.45	0.56	0.64	0.71	0.77	0.82	0.87	0.92	0.96	1.00
0.22	0.47	0.57	0.65	0.71	0.77	0.82	0.87	0.92	0.96	1.00
0.24	0.48	0.58	0.66	0.72	0.78	0.83	0.88	0.92	0.96	1.00
0.26	0.49	0.59	0.67	0.73	0.78	0.83	0.88	0.92	0.96	1.00
0.28	0.51	0.60	0.67	0.74	0.79	0.84	0.88	0.92	0.96	1.00
0.30	0.52	0.61	0.68	0.74	0.79	0.84	0.89	0.93	0.96	1.00
0.32	0.54	0.62	0.69	0.75	0.80	0.85	0.89	0.93	0.97	1.00
0.34	0.55	0.64	0.70	0.76	0.81	0.85	0.89	0.93	0.97	1.00
0.36	0.56	0.65	0.71	0.76	0.81	0.86	0.90	0.93	0.97	1.00
0.38	0.58	0.66	0.72	0.77	0.82	0.86	0.90	0.93	0.97	1.00
0.40	0.59	0.67	0.73	0.78	0.82	0.86	0.90	0.94	0.97	1.00
0.42	0.60	0.68	0.74	0.79	0.83	0.87	0.91	0.94	0.97	1.00
0.44	0.62	0.69	0.75	0.79	0.84	0.87	0.91	0.94	0.97	1.00
0.44	0.63	0.70	0.76	0.80	0.84	0.88	0.91	0.94	0.97	1.00
0.48	0.64	0.71	0.76	0.81	0.85	0.88	0.92	0.95	0.97	1.00
0.50	0.66	0.72	0.77	0.82	0.85	0.89	0.92	0.95	0.97	1.00
0.52	0.67	0.73	0.78	0.82	0.86	0.89	0.92	0.95	0.98	1.00
0.54	0.69	0.75	0.79	0.83	0.87	0.90	0.92	0.95	0.98	1.00
0.56	0.70	0.76	0.80	0.84	0.87	0.90	0.93	0.95	0.98	1.00
0.58	0.71	0.77	0.81	0.85	0.88	0.91	0.93	0.96	0.98	1.00
0.60	0.73	0.78	0.82	0.85	0.88	0.91	0.93	0.96	0.98	1.00
0.62	0.74	0.79	0.83	0.86	0.89	0.91	0.94	0.96	0.98	1.00
0.64	0.75	0.80	0.84	0.87	0.89	0.92	0.94	0.96	0.98	1.00
0.66	0.77	0.81	0.85	0.88	0.90	0.92	0.94	0.96	0.98	1.00
0.68	0.78	0.82	0.86	0.88	0.91	0.93	0.95	0.97	0.98	1.00
0.70	0.79	0.83	0.86	0.89	0.91	0.93	0.95	0.97	0.98	1.00
0.72	0.81	0.85	0.87	0.90	0.92	0.94	0.95	0.97	0.99	1.00
0.74	0.82	0.86	0.88	0.90	0.92	0.94	0.96	0.97	0.99	1.00
0.76	0.84	0.87	0.89	0.91	0.93	0.95	0.96	0.97	0.99	1.00
0.78	0.85	0.88	0.90	0.92	0.94	0.95	0.96	0.98	0.99	1.00
0.80	0.86	0.89	0.91	0.93	0.94	0.95	0.97	0.98	0.99	1.00

TABLE 11 (cont.) - CONTOURING "P" SUBFACTOR VALUE ADJUSTED FOR RIDGE/FURROW GRADE

On Grade Contouring "P" SubFactor Value	Ratio of Furrow Grade to Profile Grade									
	0.1	0.2	0.3	0.4	0.5	0.6	0.7	0.8	0.9	1.0
0.82	0.88	0.90	0.92	0.93	0.95	0.96	0.97	0.98	0.99	1.00
0.84	0.89	0.91	0.93	0.94	0.95	0.96	0.97	0.98	0.99	1.00
0.86	0.90	0.92	0.94	0.95	0.96	0.97	0.98	0.99	0.99	1.00
0.88	0.92	0.93	0.95	0.96	0.96	0.97	0.98	0.99	0.99	1.00
0.90	0.93	0.94	0.95	0.96	0.97	0.98	0.98	0.99	0.99	1.00
0.92	0.95	0.96	0.96	0.97	0.98	0.98	0.99	0.99	1.00	1.00
0.94	0.96	0.97	0.97	0.98	0.98	0.99	0.99	0.99	1.00	1.00
0.96	0.97	0.98	0.98	0.99	0.99	0.99	0.99	1.00	1.00	1.00
0.98	0.99	0.99	0.99	0.99	0.99	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00

TABLE 12 CONTOUR STRIPCROPPING PRACTICE "P" SUBFACTOR TABLE

Tables based on an average row gradient of 0.5 percent, low ridge height (2-3 inches), 12 percent RUSLE slope gradient with the number of strips listed spanning 100 percent of the RUSLE slope length, and only 2 cover-management conditions being on the RUSLE slope at any given time.

CONTOUR STRIPCROPPING "P" SUBFACTOR VALUES FOR SOD BASED ROTATIONS[1/]

	CLEAR, SPRING SEEDED HAY[2/]					WITH SMALL GRAIN SEEDING[3/]			
CMC*	2-3	2-4	2-5	2-6	2-7	2-(4,5)	2-(5,5)	2-(6,5)	2-(7,5)
NUMBER STRIPS									
2	1.0	.86	.82	.78	.77	.84	.81	.79	.77
4	1.0	.81	.72	.69	.66	.77	.71	.69	.67

CONTOUR STRIPCROPPING "P" SUBFACTOR VALUES FOR RESIDUE-SURFACE ROUGHNESS OR SMALL GRAIN BASED ROTATIONS[4/]

	HIGH RES., VERY ROUGH FALLOW[5/]				MOD. RES., ROUGH FALLOW & SMALL GRAIN[6/]				
CMC*	3-4	3-5	3-6	3-7	4-5	4-6	4-7	5-6	5-7
NUMBER STRIPS									
2	.97	.87	.81	.79	.92	.85	.81	.91	.86
4	.95	.83	.75	.70	.88	.78	.73	.87	.80

* COVER-MANAGEMENT CONDITION PAIRINGS

1/ Rotations where cross-slope sod strips are alternated with cross-slope cultivated strips down the slope. Sediment deposition is induced by the sod.

2/ Sod-based rotations where hay crop is established in the spring without a nurse or companion crop of small grain. Half of the strips are always in hay, which is condition 2.

3/ Sod-based rotations where a companion crop of small grain is sown with hay seed, or hay crop is sown in stubble after small grain harvest. Half of the strips are always in hay.

4/ Rotations where cross-slope strips of contrasting residue amounts or surface roughness are alternated down the slope or strips of small grain alternate with clean tilled row crops. Sediment deposition is induced by a strip that is either rougher surfaced or more residue covered or has standing small grain or small grain stubble. Seasonal shifts in location of the sediment trapping versus sediment producing strip during the cropping year are acceptable as long as the contrasting cover strip types alternate at all times.

5/ Rotations where strips with greater than 75 percent residue cover or roughness depressions 7 inches or deeper alternate with strips of lesser cover or shallower tillage depressions at all times.

6/ Rotations where strips with greater than 40 percent but less than 75 percent residue cover or surface roughness depressions, 4-6 inches deep, or strips of growing small grain or small grain stubble, alternate with strips of lesser cover or shallower tillage depressions at all times.

TABLE 13
FIELD STRIPCROPPING PRACTICE "P" SUBFACTOR TABLE

STRIPCROPPING "P" SUBFACTOR VALUES FOR SOD BASED ROTATIONS[1/] CLEAR, SPRING SEEDED HAY[2/] WITH SMALL GRAIN SEEDING[3/]										
CMC*	2-3	2-4	2-5	2-6	2-7	2-(4,5)	2-(5,5)	2-(6,5)	2-(7,5)	
NUMBER STRIPS										
2	1.0	.91	.88	.86	.85	.89	.87	.86	.86	
4	1.0	.83	.81	.80	.79	.82	.81	.80	.79	

STRIPCROPPING "P" SUBFACTOR VALUES FOR SMALL GRAIN BASED ROTATIONS[4/] HIGH RES., VERY ROUGH FALLOW[5/] MOD. RES., ROUGH FALLOW & SMALL GRAIN[6/]									
CMC*	3-4	3-5	3-6	3-7	4-5	4-6	4-7	5-6	5-7
NUMBER STRIPS									
2	.97	.92	.88	.87	.95	.90	.89	.94	.92
4	.95	.88	.84	.82	.91	.86	.84	.92	.89

* COVER-MANAGEMENT CONDITION PAIRINGS

Tables based on an average row gradient of 3.0 percent, low ridge height (2-3 inches), 12 percent RUSLE slope gradient with the number of strips listed spanning 100 percent of the RUSLE slope length, and only 2 cover-management conditions being on the RUSLE slope at any given time.

1/ Rotations where cross-slope sod strips are alternated with cross-slope cultivated strips down the slope. Sediment deposition is induced by the sod.

2/ Sod-based rotations where hay crop is established in the spring without a nurse or companion crop of small grain. Half of the strips are always in hay which is condition 2.

3/ Sod-based rotations where a companion crop of small grain is sown with hay seed or hay crop is sown in stubble after small grain harvest. Half of the strips are always in hay.

4/ Rotations where cross-slope strips of contrasting residue amounts or surface roughness are alternated down the slope or strips of small grain alternate with clean tilled row crops. Sediment deposition is induced by a strip that is either rougher surfaced or more residue covered or has standing small grain or small grain stubble. Seasonal shifts in location of the sediment trapping versus sediment producing strip during the cropping year are acceptable as long as the contrasting cover strip types alternate at all times.

5/ Rotations where strips with greater than 75 percent residue cover or roughness depressions 7 inches or deeper alternate with strips of lesser cover or shallower tillage depressions at all times.

6/ Rotations where strips with greater than 40 percent but less than 75 percent residue cover or surface roughness depressions, 4-6 inches deep, or strips of growing small grain or small grain stubble, alternate with strips of lesser cover or shallower tillage depressions at all times.

TABLE 14 BUFFER STRIPCROPPING PRACTICE "P" SUBFACTOR TABLES

CMC*	3-1		4-1		5-1		6-1		7-1	
CBSR[1/]	9:1	4:1	9:1	4:1	9:1	4:1	9:1	4:1	9:1	4:1
NO. OF STRIPS										
2	.90	.77	.89	.77	.90	.77	.90	.78	.92	.79
3	.72	.70	.72	.70	.72	.70	.73	.70	.75	.70
4	.74	.64	.71	.64	.73	.64	.74	.65	.80	.67
5	.65	.64	.65	.64	.65	.64	.68	.64	.73	.64

* COVER-MANAGEMENT CONDITION PAIRINGS, **UNHARVESTED BUFFERS**

CMC**	3-2		4-2		5-2		6-2		7-2	
CBSR[1/]	9:1	4:1	9:1	4:1	9:1	4:1	9:1	4:1	9:1	4:1
NO. OF STRIPS										
2	.99	.99	.93	.86	.92	.82	.92	.80	.94	.82
3	.98	.98	.82	.82	.78	.77	.76	.74	.78	.73
4	.98	.98	.81	.76	.79	.72	.78	.70	.83	.72
5	.98	.98	.75	.73	.74	.70	.73	.69	.77	.70

** COVER-MANAGEMENT CONDITION PAIRINGS, **HARVESTED BUFFERS**

Tables based on an average row gradient of 0.5 percent, low ridge height (2-3 inches), 12 percent RUSLE slope gradient with the number of strips listed spanning 100 percent of the RUSLE slope length, a continuous cover-management condition on all cultivated crop strips, and the position of the buffer/crop strips on the slope as shown below. Use upper table for buffer strips that are left in an unharvested condition, condition 1. They may be mowed for maintenance purposes. Use lower table for buffer strips that are mowed and harvested for forage, condition 2.

1/ CROP-BUFFER STRIP RATIOS - Ratio of cultivated crop strip to perennial sod (buffer) strip; 9-1 ratio means 10 percent of the RUSLE slope length is in buffer strip(s); 4-1 ratio is 20 percent of the RUSLE slope length in buffer strip(s).

Figure 31 - BUFFER STRIP SYSTEMS