MANAGEMENT SYSTEM TEMPLATE

B. CONSERVATION MANAGEMENT SYSTEM OPTIONS WORKSHEET

1.	STATE	Oklahoma
2.	FIELD OFFICE	Anadarko, Clinton, Cordell, Hobart, Sayre
3.	MLRA	78C Central Rolling Red Plains
4.	COMMON RESOURCE AREA (CRA)	078C.40.007
5.	RESOURCE INTERPRETATIONS	*for each resource enter available interp data*
5.1	SOIL	Technical and Nontechnical Interpretations Rangeland Interpretations
5.2	WATER	Water Quality and Quantity Interpretations
5.3	AIR	N/A
5.4	PLANT	Rangeland Interpretations
5.5	ANIMAL	N/A
5.6	HUMAN	N/A
6.	HYDROLOGIC UNIT	11092001080, 11120303010, 020, 11130202010, 11130301070, 090, 100, 110, 120, 11130302010, 020, 030, 040, 050, 060, 070, 080, 090 100, 110, 120, 130, 140, 150, 160
7.	SYSTEM TEMPLATE LABEL	FGFZ1
8.	SYSTEM NAME	Other, Master CMS
9.	PLANNING PHASE	Non-Benchmark
10.	PLANNING LEVEL	Acceptable Management System
11.	NRCS LANDUSE	Headquarters
12.	PLANNED CONSERVATION PRACTICES	*list practices in the system*

1. 342 Critical Area Plantings
2. 362 Diversion
3. 378 Pond
4. 410 Grade Stabilization Structure
5. 561 Heavy Use Protection Area
6.
7.
8.
9.
10.

13.	SYSTEM NARRATIVE	*describe how the practices work together as a system*

This acceptable management system consists of areas that are primarily native grasses, forbs, trees, and shrubs but may also have some introduced vegetation such as bermudagrass. These areas are typically used for grazing and/or loafing incidental to other landuses in the same management unit. The area's size, quality and quantity of forage produced and livestock water supplies limit grazing management. Erosion will be treated with grade stabilization structures, diversions, and vegetation. Surface water quality will be addressed by diverting runoff, restricting livestock access, and providing alternative water sources.. Grazing will not be allowed to degrade the condition of existing vegetation.

14.	RESOURCE CONCERNS	MAGNITUDE/EFFECTS	IMPACTS
	1. Classic Gully Erosion	1. Soil Loss = 0 tons/year	1. Soil Loss Is Reduced 20 tons/year
	2. Surface Water Quality	2. Water Quality is Improved	2. Acres Treated Do Not Contribute To Surface Water Contamination
	3.	3.	3.
	4.	4.	4.
	5.	5.	5.
	6.	6.	6.
	7.	7.	7.
	8.	8.	8.
	9.	9.	9.
	10.	10.	10.

CRA con't	SYSTEM TEMPLATE LABEL cont'd		
17.	QUALITY CRITERIA DOCUMENTATION *List resource concerns, then indicate yes/no*		
	1.Classic Gully Erosion	_X__YES	____NO
	2.Surface Water Quality Contamination (Sediment & Organic)	_X__YES	____NO
	3.	____YES	____NO
	4.	____YES	____NO
	5.	____YES	____NO
	6.	____YES	____NO
	7.	____YES	____NO
	8.	____YES	____NO
	9.	____YES	____NO
	10.	____YES	____NO

Conservation Practice Physical Effects on Resource Concerns
Candidate Practice List

State	Oklahoma	Field Office	Anadarko, Clinton, Cordell, Hobart, Sayre	CRA	078C.40.007	System Template Label	FGFZ
Soil Interpretations	Technical, Non-Technical, and Rangeland						

Resource Concerns / Conservation Practices	Classic Gully Erosion	Surface Water Quality						
342 Critical Area Planting	+ +	+ + +						
362 Diversion	+ +	+						
378 Pond	-	0						
410 Grade Stabilization Structure	+ + +	+ +						
561 Heavy Use Area Protection	+ + +	+						

RATINGS:

Not Applicable	= N/A	Slight	= + or -
Negligible	= 0	Moderate	= + + or --
Facilitating	= F	Significant	= + + + or ---