U.S. Department of Agriculture
Natural Resources Conservation Service

Save As

ME-CPA-52
October, 2009

ENVIRONMENTAL EVALUATION WORKSHEET

Instructions

A. Client Name:

B. Conservation Plan ID # (as applicable):

Program Authority (optional):

C. Client's Objective(s): (purpose) To repair existing access roads and manage the timber for health and productivity and to provide commercial forest products, primarily those

D. Identification #: (farm, tract, field #, etc, as required)

E. Need for Action:

To enhance health and vigor of these stands, and to modify species composition.To increase the future quality of forest products. To reduce erosion from access roads that exist in riparian zones and improve the quality of waters currently impaired from sedimentation

F. Alternatives

No Action √ if RMS ☐	*Alternative 1* √ if RMS ☒
Low quality beech seedlings and saplings will dominate the understory of these stands, preventing natural germination of desirable species and	Forest Stand Improvement (666), Pest Management (595), Access Road (560)

Resource Concerns & Special Environmental Concerns

In Section "G" below, analyze, record and address concerns identified through the Resource Inventory process. (For *Resource Concerns* see FOTG Section III - Resource Quality Criteria for guidance. For *Special Environmental Concerns* complete and attach applicable Environmental Procedures Guide Sheets for documentation. Items with a "•" may require a federal permit or consultation/coordination between the lead agency and another government agency. In these cases, effects may need to be determined in consultation with another agency. Planning and practice implementation may proceed for practices not involved in consultation.)
Click on the following links for a Resource Concerns/Considerations Checklist or Conservation Practice Physical Effects (CPPE).

H. Effects of Alternatives

G. Concerns and Existing/Benchmark Conditions (Analyze and record the existing/benchmark conditions for each identified condition)	*No Action* Trend short	*No Action* Trend long	*No Action* Amount, Status, Description	*No Action* √ if meets QC	*Alternative 1* Trend short	*Alternative 1* Trend long	*Alternative 1* Amount, Status, Description	*Alternative 1* √ if meets QC
SOIL								
Erosion: Roads, Road Sides,Construction Sites	-	-	Estimated erosion at 100 tons/ yr see gully erosion worksheet	☐	0	+	Estimated erosion at 5 tons/yr see gully erosion worksheet	☒
				☐				☐
				☐				☐
Prime and Important Farmlands	0	0	No effect		0	0	No effect	
WATER								
Surface Water Quality: Excess Suspended Sediment & Turbidity	-	-	Estimated erosion at 100 tons/ yr see gully erosion worksheet	☐	0	+	Estimated erosion at 5 tons/yr see gully erosion worksheet	☒
				☐				☐
				☐				☐
Clean Water Act/ Waters of the U.S.	-	-	Excessive suspended sediments observed in surface water runoff		+	+	Sediments rarely observed after storm events	
Coastal Zone Management Areas	N/A	N/A	Not applicable		N/A	N/A	Not applicable	
Floodplain Management	0	0	No effect		0	0	No effect	
Riparian Area	0	0	No effect		0	0	No effect	
Wetlands	0	0	No effect		0	0	No effect	

G. Concerns and Existing/Benchmark Conditions (Analyze and record the existing/benchmark conditions for each identified condition)	H. Effects of Alternatives							
	No Action				*Alternative 1*			
	Trend		Amount, Status, Description	√ if meets QC	Trend		Amount, Status, Description	√ if meets QC
	short	long			short	long		
AIR								
No air resource concerns exist on this planning unit	0	0	No effect	☒	0	0	No effect	☒
				☐				☐
				☐				☐
Clean Air Act	0	0	No effect		0	0	No effect	
PLANTS								
Condition: Productivity, Health & Vigor	-	-	Refer to forest management plan for details	☐	0	+	Productivity, health and vigor optimized for site conditions	☒
				☐				☐
				☐				☐
Endangered and Threatened Species (Use one ME-ECS-1 Guidesheet for both plants and animals)	0	0	No effect		0	0	No effect	
Invasive Species	-	-	Multiflora rose, knotweed, bittersweet, honeysuckle present		+	+	Noxious weeds present, population levels greatly diminshed	
Natural Areas	0	0	No effect		0	0	No effect	
Riparian Area	0	0	No effect		0	0	No effect	
ANIMALS **WHEP**								
No domestic animal resource concerns exist on this planning unit	0	0	No effect	☒	0	0	No effect	☒
Wild: Habitat Fragmentation	-	-	Stream crossings have barriers to fish passage	☐	+	+	Fish-friendly stream crossings installed	☒
Wild: T & E, Declining or Species of Concern, Essential Habitats	-	-	Spotted turtle, a State of Maine threatened species, has been identified on or near the	☐	+	+	Conservation Activity Plan will be modified to consider and minimize	☒
Endangered and Threatened Species (Use one ME-ECS-1 Guidesheet for both plants and animals)	-	-	Atlantic salmon, a Federal endangered species, has been identified on or near the property -		+	+	Conservation Activity Plan will be modified to consider and minimize impacts to Atlantic	
Essential Fish Habitat	0	0	No effect		0	0	No effect	
Invasive Species	0	0	No effect		0	0	No effect	
Riparian Area	0	0	No effect		0	0	No effect	

G. Concerns and Existing/Benchmark Conditions (Analyze and record the existing/benchmark conditions for each identified condition)	H. Effects of Alternatives					
	No Action			*Alternative 1*		
	Trend		Amount, Status, Description	Trend		Amount, Status, Description
	short	long		short	long	
HUMAN, ECONOMIC AND SOCIAL CONSIDERATIONS						
Cultural Resources	0	0	See attached recommendations	0	0	See attached recommendations
Environmental Justice	0	0	No effect	0	0	No effect
Scenic Beauty	0	0	No effect	0	0	No effect
Land Use	0	0	Managed as woodlot	0	0	No change
Capital	0	0	Minimal capital expended for woodlot use	-	0	Initial outlay for cost of forest management plan
Labor	0	0	Minimal labor expended for woodlot use	-	0	Initial outlay for labor for forest management plan
Management Level	0	0	Minimal	-	0	Increased management based on forestry plan
Profitability	0	0	Slight to moderate profit potential	0	+	Implementation results in increased value from improved woodlot
Risk	0	0	Some risk from wind, pest damage	0	+	Plan for healthier forest provides less risk from wind, pest damage
Social Well-Being	0	0	Forest Products Industry accepted in community	0	0	Maintain Forest Products Industry in community

I. Other Agencies and Broad Public Concerns	*No Action*	*Alternative 1*
Easements, Permissions, or Permits Required and Agencies Consulted	None	None
Identify any additional environmental, resource-protection, or land use laws or regulations or concerns to address:	None	None
J. Mitigation	None	None
K. Preferred Alternative — √ preferred alternative	☐	☒
K. Preferred Alternative — Supporting Reason		Typical practices exist, no extraordinary circumstances exist

L. The information recorded above is based on the best available information:

Signature	Title	Date
	Technical Service Provider	

THE FOLLOWING SECTIONS ARE TO BE COMPLETED BY THE RESPONSIBLE FEDERAL OFFICIAL (RFO).
Sections "M" & "N" do not need to be completed when only Technical Assistance is provided (e.g. development of conservation plans without federally guided implementation.

The RFO is to use the ME-CPA-52 to determine whether there are significant adverse environmental effects or "extraordinary circumstances" that would preclude the applicability of a categorical exclusion or the tiering process. Review definitions below of significance and extraordinary circumstances as defined by context and intensity (40 CFR Part 1508.27)

M. Context (record context of alternatives analysis):	Site specific action, localized impacts are the extent of the affected region

The significance of an action must be analyzed in several contexts such as society as a whole (human, national), the affected region, the affected interests and the locality:

N. Determination of significance or Extraordinary Circumstances

Intensity: Refers to the severity of impact. Impacts may be both beneficial and adverse. A significant affect may exist even if the Federal agency believes that on balance, the effect will be beneficial. Significance cannot be avoided by terming an action temporary or by breaking it down into small component parts.
If you answer ANY of the below questions "yes" then contact the State Resource Conservationist as there may be extraordinary circumstances and significance issues to consider and a site specific NEPA analysis may be required.

YES	NO	
☐	☒	Is the preferred alternative expected to cause significant effects on public health or safety?
☐	☒	Is the preferred alternative expected to significantly effect unique characteristics of the geographic area such as proximity to historic or cultural resources, park lands, prime farmlands, wetlands, wild and scenic rivers or ecologically critical areas?
☐	☒	Are the effects of the preferred alternative on the quality of the human environment likely to be highly controversial?
☐	☒	Does the preferred alterative establish a precedent for future actions with significant impacts or represent a decision in principle about a future consideration?
☐	☒	Is the preferred alternative known or reasonably expected to to have potentially significant environment impacts to the quality of the human environment either individually or cumulatively over time?
☐	☒	Will the preferred alternative likely have a significant adverse effect on ANY of the special environmental concerns? Use the Environmental Procedure Guide Sheets to assist in this determination. This includes, but is not limited to concerns such as cultural historical resources, endangered and threatened species, environmental justice, wetlands, floodplains, coastal zones, essential fish habitat, riparian areas, natural areas, scenic beauty, and invasive species.
☐	☒	Will the preferred alterative threaten a violation of Federal, State, or local law or requirements for the protection of the environment?

O. NEPA Compliance Finding (check one)
The preferred alternative

		Action Required
☒	1. is **not** a federal action subject to NRCS regulations implementing NEPA (7 CFR Part 650)	Document in "P" below. No additional analysis is required.
☐	2. is a federal action that is **categorically excluded** from further environmental analysis and there are **no** extraordinary circumstances.	Document in "P" below. No additional analysis is required.
☐	3. is a federal action that has been **sufficiently analyzed** in an existing published NRCS state, regional or national NEPA document and there are **no predicted significant adverse environmental effects** or extraordinary circumstances.	Document in "P" below. No additional analysis is required.
☐	4. is a federal action that has been **sufficiently analyzed** in another Federal agency's NEPA document that addresses the proposed NRCS action and its' effects **and has been formally adopted by NRCS.** NRCS is required to prepare and publish the agency's own Finding of No Significant Impact for an EA or Record of Decision for an EIS when adopting another agency's EA or EIS document.	Contact the State Resource Conservationist for a list of NEPA documents formally adopted and available for tiering. Document in "P" below. No additional analysis is required.
☐	5. is a federal action that has **NOT** been sufficiently analyzed or may involve predicted significant adverse impacts or extraordinary circumstances and may require an EA or EIS.	Contact the State Resource Conservationist. Further NEPA analysis is required.

P. Rationale Supporting the Finding:	Not a Federal action subject to environmental review as the action under consideration at this point in the process is for development of a plan only, and the Agency has no control of whether the plan will be implemented.

Q. I have considered the effects of the alternatives on the Resource Concerns, Human, Economic and Social Considerations, Special Environmental Concerns, and Extraordinary Circumstances (as outlined in the NECH 610.22)

Signature	**Title**	**Date**