

Practice: 102 - Comprehensive Nutrient Management Plan

Scenario: #1 - Non-Dairy Operation Less Than 300 AU with Land Application

Scenario Description:

A Comprehensive Nutrient Management Plan (CNMP) will be developed to address resource concerns on a small non-dairy Animal Feeding Operation (AFO) of less than 300 animal units (AU)--primarily swine, poultry, and beef AFOs. The producer may export (material transferred to another owner with written documentation of the transfer) modest amounts of the manure or organic products from the farm. For operations where manure is both applied to land the AFO owner/operator controls and exported offsite, guidance to determine appropriate CNMP CAP scenario selection shall be provided by NRCS at the state level. The producer has an animal production area, farms cropland and applies most nutrients. The CNMP is a conservation plan that addresses resource concerns on the AFO production area and land application areas. Production area components of the plan must include animal confinement facilities, feeding and lounging lots, animal mortality facilities, and manure containment and storage facilities. Land application components of the plan must include all lands under the control of the AFO owner or operator where waste materials are being applied. Planned practices on the production area and land application areas must result in meeting NRCS quality criteria for water quality and soil erosion. Any applicable air emission and negative air quality impacts occurring as a result of planned CNMP activities, or existing on-farm activities must be mitigated in the CNMP if feasible. The CNMP meets the AFO owner/operator's production objectives.

Before Situation:

The owner/operator of a small sized non-dairy AFO has not received a written Comprehensive Nutrient Management Plan (CNMP) that addresses all resource concerns present on the facility production area and land waste application areas. Various levels of management and conservation implementation has occurred on the farm. Little documentation of the systems used and practices installed exists. The producer may or may not have a conservation plan or a nutrient management plan. Partial implementation of CNMP-related practices for the AFO has potentially occurred. Resource concerns on the AFO production area and land waste application areas remain to be addressed through the development of a complete CNMP including management and conservation practices for proper manure/wastewater storage and handling, proper disposal of animal mortality, treatment of land application areas to reduce soil erosion to sustainable levels, and application of waste nutrients at an agronomic rate that meets application crop needs and does not exceed site risk analysis assessment condition. Negative air quality impacts and farmstead safety and security issues may remain on the AFO, and recordkeeping methods for crop yields, inspection and monitoring of the existing CNMP-related practices, and manure application and imports/exports may need further improvement.

After Situation:

A certified Technical Services Provider (TSP) has delivered, to the AFO owner/operator, a comprehensive conservation plan meeting CNMP CAP criteria (NI_190_304 - Part 304 - Comprehensive Nutrient Management Plan Technical Criteria) that describes management and conservation practice solutions to all identified resource concerns on the small-sized non dairy AFO production area and land application areas. Management and conservation practices in the CNMP document delivered to the client ensure that, if implemented, the AFO will properly, within applicable NRCS standards and specifications, store, handle, and contain manure and wastewater materials generated by the AFO; dispose of AFO mortality; implement conservation practices to reduce soil erosion on land application areas to sustainable levels; land apply waste material nutrients in a manner that meets NRCS 590 Nutrient Management standard technical criteria. Alternatives presented within the CNMP have been made to mitigate, if feasible, negative air quality impacts and improve farmland safety and security. Accurate recordkeeping documents for crop yields, operation and maintenance of existing and new CNMP-related practices, manure application, AFO manure imports and exports, and other information relevant to the management and compliance of the AFO with state and/or local rules and regulations are included in the CNMP. If the CNMP is not implemented all identified resource concerns will still exist.

Scenario Feature Measure: Number

Scenario Unit: Number

Scenario Typical Size: 1

Scenario Cost: \$7,897.43

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$7,897.43

Cost Details (by category):

| Component Name | ID | Component Description | Unit | Price (\$/unit) | Quantity | Cost |
|---------------------------------|------|--|------|-----------------|----------|----------|
| <i>Labor</i> | | | | | | |
| CAP Labor, small surveying crew | 1296 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to perform surveying and mapping duties, usually under the direction of an engineer, surveyor, cartographer, or photogrammetrist to obtain data used for construction, mapmaking, boundary location, mining, or other purposes. May calculate mapmaking information and create maps from source data, such as surveying notes, aerial photography, satellite data, or other maps to show topographical features, political boundaries, and other features. Cost associated with this component includes two man field crew, equipment, vehicle, overhead, and miscellaneous supplies. | Hour | \$103.63 | 7 | \$725.41 |

Labor

| | | | | | | |
|--|------|---|------|---------|----|------------|
| Cap Labor, Survey and Mapping Technician | 1591 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to perform surveying and mapping duties, usually under the direction of an engineer, surveyor, cartographer, or photogrammetrist to obtain data used for construction, mapmaking, boundary location, mining, or other purposes. May calculate mapmaking information and create maps from source data, such as surveying notes, aerial photography, satellite data, or other maps to show topographical features, political boundaries, and other features. May verify accuracy and completeness of maps. | Hour | \$46.00 | 10 | \$460.00 |
| Cap Labor, conservation scientist | 1300 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to manage, improve, and protect natural resources to maximize their use without damaging the environment. Interprets resource information and assess resource conditions to provide conservation practice alternatives to producers to make decisions on the treatment of their soil, water, air, plant, animal, and energy resources. May instruct farmers, agricultural production managers, or ranchers in best ways to use crop rotation, contour plowing, or terracing to conserve soil and water; in the number and kind of livestock and forage plants best suited to particular ranges; and in range and farm improvements, such as fencing and reservoirs for stock watering. | Hour | \$53.09 | 43 | \$2,282.87 |
| CAP Labor, professional engineer | 1297 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to apply knowledge of engineering technology and biological science to agricultural problems concerned with power and machinery, electrification, structures, soil and water conservation, and processing of agricultural products. Cost associated with this component includes overhead and benefits (market price). | Hour | \$80.53 | 55 | \$4,429.15 |

Practice: 102 - Comprehensive Nutrient Management Plan

Scenario: #2 - Dairy Operation Less Than 300 AU with Land Application

Scenario Description:

A Comprehensive Nutrient Management Plan (CNMP) will be developed to address resource concerns on a small Dairy Animal Feeding Operation (AFO) of less than 300 animal units (AU). The producer may export (material transferred to another owner with written documentation of the transfer) modest amounts of the manure or organic products from the farm. For operations where manure is both applied to land the AFO owner/operator controls and exported offsite, guidance to determine appropriate CNMP CAP scenario selection shall be provided by NRCS at the state level. The producer has an animal production area, farms cropland and applies most nutrients. The CNMP is a conservation plan that addresses resource concerns on the AFO production area and land application areas. Production area components of the plan must include animal confinement facilities, feeding and lounging lots, animal mortality facilities, and manure containment and storage facilities. Land application components of the plan must include all lands under the control of the AFO owner or operator where waste materials are being applied. Planned practices on the production area and land application areas must result in meeting NRCS quality criteria for water quality and soil erosion. Any applicable air emission and negative air quality impacts occurring as a result of planned CNMP activities, or existing on-farm activities must be mitigated in the CNMP if feasible. The CNMP meets the AFO owner/operator's production objectives.

Before Situation:

The owner/operator of a small sized dairy AFO has not received a written Comprehensive Nutrient Management Plan (CNMP) that addresses all resource concerns present on the facility production area and land waste application areas. Various levels of management and conservation implementation has occurred on the farm. Little documentation of the systems used and practices installed exists. The producer may or may not have a conservation plan or a nutrient management plan. Partial implementation of CNMP-related practices for the AFO has potentially occurred. Resource concerns on the AFO production area and land waste application areas remain to be addressed through the development of a complete CNMP including management and conservation practices for proper manure/wastewater storage and handling, proper disposal of animal mortality, treatment of land application areas to reduce soil erosion to sustainable levels, and application of waste nutrients at an agronomic rate that meets application crop needs and does not exceed site risk analysis assessment condition. Negative air quality impacts and farmstead safety and security issues may remain on the AFO, and recordkeeping methods for crop yields, inspection and monitoring of the existing CNMP-related practices, and manure application and imports/exports may need further improvement.

After Situation:

A certified Technical Services Provider (TSP) has delivered, to the AFO owner/operator, a comprehensive conservation plan meeting CNMP CAP criteria (NI_190_304 - Part 304 - Comprehensive Nutrient Management Plan Technical Criteria) that describes management and conservation practice solutions to all identified resource concerns on the small-sized Dairy AFO production area and land application areas. Management and conservation practices in the CNMP document delivered to the client ensure that, if implemented, the AFO will properly, within applicable NRCS standards and specifications, store, handle, and contain manure and wastewater materials generated by the AFO; dispose of AFO mortality; implement conservation practices to reduce soil erosion on land application areas to sustainable levels; land apply waste material nutrients in a manner that meets NRCS 590 Nutrient Management standard technical criteria. Alternatives presented within the CNMP have been made to mitigate, if feasible, negative air quality impacts and improve farmland safety and security. Accurate recordkeeping documents for crop yields, operation and maintenance of existing and new CNMP-related practices, manure application, AFO manure imports and exports, and other information relevant to the management and compliance of the AFO with state and/or local rules and regulations are included in the CNMP. If the CNMP is not implemented all identified resource concerns will still exist.

Scenario Feature Measure: Number

Scenario Unit: Number

Scenario Typical Size: 1

Scenario Cost: \$9,920.56

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$9,920.56

Cost Details (by category):

| Component Name | ID | Component Description | Unit | Price (\$/unit) | Quantity | Cost |
|----------------|----|-----------------------|------|-----------------|----------|------|
| <i>Labor</i> | | | | | | |

Labor

| | | | | | | |
|--|------|---|------|----------|----|------------|
| Cap Labor, conservation scientist | 1300 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to manage, improve, and protect natural resources to maximize their use without damaging the environment. Interprets resource information and assess resource conditions to provide conservation practice alternatives to producers to make decisions on the treatment of their soil, water, air, plant, animal, and energy resources. May instruct farmers, agricultural production managers, or ranchers in best ways to use crop rotation, contour plowing, or terracing to conserve soil and water; in the number and kind of livestock and forage plants best suited to particular ranges; and in range and farm improvements, such as fencing and reservoirs for stock watering. | Hour | \$53.09 | 46 | \$2,442.14 |
| CAP Labor, professional engineer | 1297 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to apply knowledge of engineering technology and biological science to agricultural problems concerned with power and machinery, electrification, structures, soil and water conservation, and processing of agricultural products. Cost associated with this component includes overhead and benefits (market price). | Hour | \$80.53 | 75 | \$6,039.75 |
| CAP Labor, small surveying crew | 1296 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to perform surveying and mapping duties, usually under the direction of an engineer, surveyor, cartographer, or photogrammetrist to obtain data used for construction, mapmaking, boundary location, mining, or other purposes. May calculate mapmaking information and create maps from source data, such as surveying notes, aerial photography, satellite data, or other maps to show topographical features, political boundaries, and other features. Cost associated with this component includes two man field crew, equipment, vehicle, overhead, and miscellaneous supplies. | Hour | \$103.63 | 9 | \$932.67 |
| Cap Labor, Survey and Mapping Technician | 1591 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to perform surveying and mapping duties, usually under the direction of an engineer, surveyor, cartographer, or photogrammetrist to obtain data used for construction, mapmaking, boundary location, mining, or other purposes. May calculate mapmaking information and create maps from source data, such as surveying notes, aerial photography, satellite data, or other maps to show topographical features, political boundaries, and other features. May verify accuracy and completeness of maps. | Hour | \$46.00 | 11 | \$506.00 |

Practice: 102 - Comprehensive Nutrient Management Plan

Scenario: #3 - Non-Dairy Operation Greater Than or Equal to 300 AU and Less Than 700 AU with Land Application

Scenario Description:

A Comprehensive Nutrient Management Plan (CNMP) will be developed to address resource concerns on a medium non-dairy Animal Feeding Operation (AFO) of greater than or equal to 300 and less than 700 animal units (AU).--primarily swine, poultry, and beef AFOs. The producer may export (material transferred to another owner with written documentation of the transfer) modest amounts of the manure or organic products from the farm. For operations where manure is both applied to land the AFO owner/operator controls and exported offsite, guidance to determine appropriate CNMP CAP scenario selection shall be provided by NRCS at the state level. The producer has an animal production area, farms cropland and applies most nutrients. The CNMP is a conservation plan that addresses resource concerns on the AFO production area and land application areas. Production area components of the plan must include animal confinement facilities, feeding and lounging lots, animal mortality facilities, and manure containment and storage facilities. Land application components of the plan must include all lands under the control of the AFO owner or operator where waste materials are being applied. Planned practices on the production area and land application areas must result in meeting NRCS quality criteria for water quality and soil erosion. Any applicable air emission and negative air quality impacts occurring as a result of planned CNMP activities, or existing on-farm activities must be mitigated in the CNMP if feasible. The CNMP meets the AFO owner/operator's production objectives.

Before Situation:

The owner/operator of a medium sized non-dairy AFO has not received a written Comprehensive Nutrient Management Plan (CNMP) that addresses all resource concerns present on the facility production area and land waste application areas. Various levels of management and conservation implementation has occurred on the farm. Little documentation of the systems used and practices installed exists. The producer may or may not have a conservation plan or a nutrient management plan. Partial implementation of CNMP-related practices for the AFO has potentially occurred. Resource concerns on the AFO production area and land waste application areas remain to be addressed through the development of a complete CNMP including management and conservation practices for proper manure/wastewater storage and handling, proper disposal of animal mortality, treatment of land application areas to reduce soil erosion to sustainable levels, and application of waste nutrients at an agronomic rate that meets application crop needs and does not exceed site risk analysis assessment condition. Negative air quality impacts and farmstead safety and security issues may remain on the AFO, and recordkeeping methods for crop yields, inspection and monitoring of the existing CNMP-related practices, and manure application and imports/exports may need further improvement.

After Situation:

A certified Technical Services Provider (TSP) has delivered, to the AFO owner/operator, a comprehensive conservation plan meeting CNMP CAP criteria (NI_190_304 - Part 304 - Comprehensive Nutrient Management Plan Technical Criteria) that describes management and conservation practice solutions to all identified resource concerns on the medium-sized non dairy AFO production area and land application areas. Management and conservation practices in the CNMP document delivered to the client ensure that, if implemented, the AFO will properly, within applicable NRCS standards and specifications, store, handle, and contain manure and wastewater materials generated by the AFO; dispose of AFO mortality; implement conservation practices to reduce soil erosion on land application areas to sustainable levels; land apply waste material nutrients in a manner than meets NRCS 590 Nutrient Management standard technical criteria. Alternatives presented within the CNMP have been made to mitigate, if feasible, negative air quality impacts and improve farmland safety and security. Accurate recordkeeping documents for crop yields, operation and maintenance of existing and new CNMP-related practices, manure application, AFO manure imports and exports, and other information relevant to the management and compliance of the AFO with state and/or local rules and regulations are included in the CNMP. If the CNMP is not implemented all identified resource concerns will still exist.

Scenario Feature Measure: Number

Scenario Unit: Number

Scenario Typical Size: 1

Scenario Cost: \$10,046.06

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$10,046.06

Cost Details (by category):

| Component Name | ID | Component Description | Unit | Price (\$/unit) | Quantity | Cost |
|----------------|----|-----------------------|------|-----------------|----------|------|
| <i>Labor</i> | | | | | | |

Labor

| | | | | | | |
|--|------|---|------|----------|----|------------|
| Cap Labor, conservation scientist | 1300 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to manage, improve, and protect natural resources to maximize their use without damaging the environment. Interprets resource information and assess resource conditions to provide conservation practice alternatives to producers to make decisions on the treatment of their soil, water, air, plant, animal, and energy resources. May instruct farmers, agricultural production managers, or ranchers in best ways to use crop rotation, contour plowing, or terracing to conserve soil and water; in the number and kind of livestock and forage plants best suited to particular ranges; and in range and farm improvements, such as fencing and reservoirs for stock watering. | Hour | \$53.09 | 54 | \$2,866.86 |
| Cap Labor, Survey and Mapping Technician | 1591 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to perform surveying and mapping duties, usually under the direction of an engineer, surveyor, cartographer, or photogrammetrist to obtain data used for construction, mapmaking, boundary location, mining, or other purposes. May calculate mapmaking information and create maps from source data, such as surveying notes, aerial photography, satellite data, or other maps to show topographical features, political boundaries, and other features. May verify accuracy and completeness of maps. | Hour | \$46.00 | 12 | \$552.00 |
| CAP Labor, professional engineer | 1297 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to apply knowledge of engineering technology and biological science to agricultural problems concerned with power and machinery, electrification, structures, soil and water conservation, and processing of agricultural products. Cost associated with this component includes overhead and benefits (market price). | Hour | \$80.53 | 72 | \$5,798.16 |
| CAP Labor, small surveying crew | 1296 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to perform surveying and mapping duties, usually under the direction of an engineer, surveyor, cartographer, or photogrammetrist to obtain data used for construction, mapmaking, boundary location, mining, or other purposes. May calculate mapmaking information and create maps from source data, such as surveying notes, aerial photography, satellite data, or other maps to show topographical features, political boundaries, and other features. Cost associated with this component includes two man field crew, equipment, vehicle, overhead, and miscellaneous supplies. | Hour | \$103.63 | 8 | \$829.04 |

Practice: 102 - Comprehensive Nutrient Management Plan

Scenario: #4 - Dairy Operation Greater Than or Equal to 300 AU and Less Than 700 AU with Land Application

Scenario Description:

A Comprehensive Nutrient Management Plan (CNMP) will be developed to address resource concerns on a medium Dairy Animal Feeding Operation (AFO) of greater than or equal to 300 and less than 700 animal units (AU). The producer may export (material transferred to another owner with written documentation of the transfer) modest amounts of the manure or organic products from the farm. For operations where manure is both applied to land the AFO owner/operator controls and exported offsite, guidance to determine appropriate CNMP CAP scenario selection shall be provided by NRCS at the state level. The producer has an animal production area, farms cropland and applies most nutrients. The CNMP is a conservation plan that addresses resource concerns on the AFO production area and land application areas. Production area components of the plan must include animal confinement facilities, feeding and lounging lots, animal mortality facilities, and manure containment and storage facilities. Land application components of the plan must include all lands under the control of the AFO owner or operator where waste materials are being applied. Planned practices on the production area and land application areas must result in meeting NRCS quality criteria for water quality and soil erosion. Any applicable air emission and negative air quality impacts occurring as a result of planned CNMP activities, or existing on-farm activities must be mitigated in the CNMP if feasible. The CNMP meets the AFO owner/operator's production objectives.

Before Situation:

The owner/operator of a medium sized Dairy AFO has not received a written Comprehensive Nutrient Management Plan (CNMP) that addresses all resource concerns present on the facility production area and land waste application areas. Various levels of management and conservation implementation has occurred on the farm. Little documentation of the systems used and practices installed exists. The producer may or may not have a conservation plan or a nutrient management plan. Partial implementation of CNMP-related practices for the AFO has potentially occurred. Resource concerns on the AFO production area and land waste application areas remain to be addressed through the development of a complete CNMP including management and conservation practices for proper manure/wastewater storage and handling, proper disposal of animal mortality, treatment of land application areas to reduce soil erosion to sustainable levels, and application of waste nutrients at an agronomic rate that meets application crop needs and does not exceed site risk analysis assessment condition. Negative air quality impacts and farmstead safety and security issues may remain on the AFO, and recordkeeping methods for crop yields, inspection and monitoring of the existing CNMP-related practices, and manure application and imports/exports may need further improvement.

After Situation:

A certified Technical Services Provider (TSP) has delivered, to the AFO owner/operator, a comprehensive conservation plan meeting CNMP CAP criteria (NI_190_304 - Part 304 - Comprehensive Nutrient Management Plan Technical Criteria) that describes management and conservation practice solutions to all identified resource concerns on the medium-sized Dairy AFO production area and land application areas. Management and conservation practices in the CNMP document delivered to the client ensure that, if implemented, the AFO will properly, within applicable NRCS standards and specifications, store, handle, and contain manure and wastewater materials generated by the AFO; dispose of AFO mortality; implement conservation practices to reduce soil erosion on land application areas to sustainable levels; land apply waste material nutrients in a manner that meets NRCS 590 Nutrient Management standard technical criteria. Alternatives presented within the CNMP have been made to mitigate, if feasible, negative air quality impacts and improve farmland safety and security. Accurate recordkeeping documents for crop yields, operation and maintenance of existing and new CNMP-related practices, manure application, AFO manure imports and exports, and other information relevant to the management and compliance of the AFO with state and/or local rules and regulations are included in the CNMP. If the CNMP is not implemented all identified resource concerns will still exist.

Scenario Feature Measure: Number

Scenario Unit: Number

Scenario Typical Size: 1

Scenario Cost: \$11,217.89

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$11,217.89

Cost Details (by category):

| Component Name | ID | Component Description | Unit | Price (\$/unit) | Quantity | Cost |
|--|------|---|------|-----------------|----------|----------|
| <i>Labor</i> | | | | | | |
| Cap Labor, Survey and Mapping Technician | 1591 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to perform surveying and mapping duties, usually under the direction of an engineer, surveyor, cartographer, or photogrammetrist to obtain data used for construction, mapmaking, boundary location, mining, or other purposes. May calculate mapmaking information and create maps from source data, such as surveying notes, aerial photography, satellite data, or other maps to show topographical features, political boundaries, and other features. May verify accuracy and completeness of maps. | Hour | \$46.00 | 12 | \$552.00 |

Labor

| | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|------|---|------|----------|----|------------|
| CAP Labor, professional engineer | 1297 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to apply knowledge of engineering technology and biological science to agricultural problems concerned with power and machinery, electrification, structures, soil and water conservation, and processing of agricultural products. Cost associated with this component includes overhead and benefits (market price). | Hour | \$80.53 | 82 | \$6,603.46 |
| CAP Labor, small surveying crew | 1296 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to perform surveying and mapping duties, usually under the direction of an engineer, surveyor, cartographer, or photogrammetrist to obtain data used for construction, mapmaking, boundary location, mining, or other purposes. May calculate mapmaking information and create maps from source data, such as surveying notes, aerial photography, satellite data, or other maps to show topographical features, political boundaries, and other features. Cost associated with this component includes two man field crew, equipment, vehicle, overhead, and miscellaneous supplies. | Hour | \$103.63 | 10 | \$1,036.30 |
| Cap Labor, conservation scientist | 1300 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to manage, improve, and protect natural resources to maximize their use without damaging the environment. Interprets resource information and assess resource conditions to provide conservation practice alternatives to producers to make decisions on the treatment of their soil, water, air, plant, animal, and energy resources. May instruct farmers, agricultural production managers, or ranchers in best ways to use crop rotation, contour plowing, or terracing to conserve soil and water; in the number and kind of livestock and forage plants best suited to particular ranges; and in range and farm improvements, such as fencing and reservoirs for stock watering. | Hour | \$53.09 | 57 | \$3,026.13 |

Practice: 102 - Comprehensive Nutrient Management Plan

Scenario: #5 - Non-Dairy Operation Greater Than or Equal to 700 AU with Land Application

Scenario Description:

A Comprehensive Nutrient Management Plan (CNMP) will be developed to address resource concerns on a large non-dairy Animal Feeding Operation ((AFO) of greater than or equal to 700 animal units (AU)--primarily swine, poultry, and beef AFOs. The producer may export (material transferred to another owner with written documentation of the transfer) modest amounts of the manure or organic products from the farm. For operations where manure is both applied to land the AFO owner/operator controls and exported offsite, guidance to determine appropriate CNMP CAP scenario selection shall be provided by NRCS at the state level. The producer has an animal production area, farms cropland and applies most nutrients. The CNMP is a conservation plan that addresses resource concerns on the AFO production area and land application areas. Production area components of the plan must include animal confinement facilities, feeding and lounging lots, animal mortality facilities, and manure containment and storage facilities. Land application components of the plan must include all lands under the control of the AFO owner or operator where waste materials are being applied. Planned practices on the production area and land application areas must result in meeting NRCS quality criteria for water quality and soil erosion. Any applicable air emission and negative air quality impacts occurring as a result of planned CNMP activities, or existing on-farm activities must be mitigated in the CNMP if feasible. The CNMP meets the AFO owner/operator's production objectives.

Before Situation:

The owner/operator of a large sized non-dairy AFO has not received a written Comprehensive Nutrient Management Plan (CNMP) that addresses all resource concerns present on the facility production area and land waste application areas. Various levels of management and conservation implementation has occurred on the farm. Little documentation of the systems used and practices installed exists. The producer may or may not have a conservation plan or a nutrient management plan. Partial implementation of CNMP-related practices for the AFO has potentially occurred. Resource concerns on the AFO production area and land waste application areas remain to be addressed through the development of a complete CNMP including management and conservation practices for proper manure/wastewater storage and handling, proper disposal of animal mortality, treatment of land application areas to reduce soil erosion to sustainable levels, and application of waste nutrients at an agronomic rate that meets application crop needs and does not exceed site risk analysis assessment condition. Negative air quality impacts and farmstead safety and security issues may remain on the AFO, and recordkeeping methods for crop yields, inspection and monitoring of the existing CNMP-related practices, and manure application and imports/exports may need further improvement.

After Situation:

A certified Technical Services Provider (TSP) has delivered, to the AFO owner/operator, a comprehensive conservation plan meeting CNMP CAP criteria (NI_190_304 - Part 304 - Comprehensive Nutrient Management Plan Technical Criteria) that describes management and conservation practice solutions to all identified resource concerns on the large-sized non dairy AFO production area and land application areas. Management and conservation practices in the CNMP document delivered to the client ensure that, if implemented, the AFO will properly, within applicable NRCS standards and specifications, store, handle, and contain manure and wastewater materials generated by the AFO; dispose of AFO mortality; implement conservation practices to reduce soil erosion on land application areas to sustainable levels; land apply waste material nutrients in a manner than meets NRCS 590 Nutrient Management standard technical criteria. Alternatives presented within the CNMP have been made to mitigate, if feasible, negative air quality impacts and improve farmland safety and security. Accurate recordkeeping documents for crop yields, operation and maintenance of existing and new CNMP-related practices, manure application, AFO manure imports and exports, and other information relevant to the management and compliance of the AFO with state and/or local rules and regulations are included in the CNMP. If the CNMP is not implemented all identified resource concerns will still exist.

Scenario Feature Measure: Number

Scenario Unit: Number

Scenario Typical Size: 1

Scenario Cost: \$12,015.07

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$12,015.07

Cost Details (by category):

| Component Name | ID | Component Description | Unit | Price (\$/unit) | Quantity | Cost |
|---------------------------------|------|--|------|-----------------|----------|----------|
| Labor | | | | | | |
| CAP Labor, small surveying crew | 1296 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to perform surveying and mapping duties, usually under the direction of an engineer, surveyor, cartographer, or photogrammetrist to obtain data used for construction, mapmaking, boundary location, mining, or other purposes. May calculate mapmaking information and create maps from source data, such as surveying notes, aerial photography, satellite data, or other maps to show topographical features, political boundaries, and other features. Cost associated with this component includes two man field crew, equipment, vehicle, overhead, and miscellaneous supplies. | Hour | \$103.63 | 9 | \$932.67 |

Labor

| | | | | | | |
|--|------|---|------|---------|----|------------|
| Cap Labor, Survey and Mapping Technician | 1591 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to perform surveying and mapping duties, usually under the direction of an engineer, surveyor, cartographer, or photogrammetrist to obtain data used for construction, mapmaking, boundary location, mining, or other purposes. May calculate mapmaking information and create maps from source data, such as surveying notes, aerial photography, satellite data, or other maps to show topographical features, political boundaries, and other features. May verify accuracy and completeness of maps. | Hour | \$46.00 | 13 | \$598.00 |
| Cap Labor, conservation scientist | 1300 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to manage, improve, and protect natural resources to maximize their use without damaging the environment. Interprets resource information and assess resource conditions to provide conservation practice alternatives to producers to make decisions on the treatment of their soil, water, air, plant, animal, and energy resources. May instruct farmers, agricultural production managers, or ranchers in best ways to use crop rotation, contour plowing, or terracing to conserve soil and water; in the number and kind of livestock and forage plants best suited to particular ranges; and in range and farm improvements, such as fencing and reservoirs for stock watering. | Hour | \$53.09 | 64 | \$3,397.76 |
| CAP Labor, professional engineer | 1297 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to apply knowledge of engineering technology and biological science to agricultural problems concerned with power and machinery, electrification, structures, soil and water conservation, and processing of agricultural products. Cost associated with this component includes overhead and benefits (market price). | Hour | \$80.53 | 88 | \$7,086.64 |

Practice: 102 - Comprehensive Nutrient Management Plan

Scenario: #6 - Dairy Operation Greater Than or Equal to 700 AU with Land Application

Scenario Description:

A Comprehensive Nutrient Management Plan (CNMP) will be developed to address resource concerns on a large Dairy Animal Feeding Operation (AFO) of greater than or equal to 700 animal units (AU). The producer may export (material transferred to another owner with written documentation of the transfer) modest amounts of the manure or organic products from the farm. For operations where manure is both applied to land the AFO owner/operator controls and exported offsite, guidance to determine appropriate CNMP CAP scenario selection shall be provided by NRCS at the state level. The producer has an animal production area, farms cropland and applies most nutrients. The CNMP is a conservation plan that addresses resource concerns on the AFO production area and land application areas. Production area components of the plan must include animal confinement facilities, feeding and lounging lots, animal mortality facilities, and manure containment and storage facilities. Land application components of the plan must include all lands under the control of the AFO owner or operator where waste materials are being applied. Planned practices on the production area and land application areas must result in meeting NRCS quality criteria for water quality and soil erosion. Any applicable air emission and negative air quality impacts occurring as a result of planned CNMP activities, or existing on-farm activities must be mitigated in the CNMP if feasible. The CNMP meets the AFO owner/operator's production objectives.

Before Situation:

The owner/operator of a large sized Dairy AFO has not received a written Comprehensive Nutrient Management Plan (CNMP) that addresses all resource concerns present on the facility production area and land waste application areas. Various levels of management and conservation implementation has occurred on the farm. Little documentation of the systems used and practices installed exists. The producer may or may not have a conservation plan or a nutrient management plan. Partial implementation of CNMP-related practices for the AFO has potentially occurred. Resource concerns on the AFO production area and land waste application areas remain to be addressed through the development of a complete CNMP including management and conservation practices for proper manure/wastewater storage and handling, proper disposal of animal mortality, treatment of land application areas to reduce soil erosion to sustainable levels, and application of waste nutrients at an agronomic rate that meets application crop needs and does not exceed site risk analysis assessment condition. Negative air quality impacts and farmstead safety and security issues may remain on the AFO, and recordkeeping methods for crop yields, inspection and monitoring of the existing CNMP-related practices, and manure application and imports/exports may need further improvement.

After Situation:

A certified Technical Services Provider (TSP) has delivered, to the AFO owner/operator, a comprehensive conservation plan meeting CNMP CAP criteria (NI_190_304 - Part 304 - Comprehensive Nutrient Management Plan Technical Criteria) that describes management and conservation practice solutions to all identified resource concerns on the large-sized Dairy AFO production area and land application areas. Management and conservation practices in the CNMP document delivered to the client ensure that, if implemented, the AFO will properly, within applicable NRCS standards and specifications, store, handle, and contain manure and wastewater materials generated by the AFO; dispose of AFO mortality; implement conservation practices to reduce soil erosion on land application areas to sustainable levels; land apply waste material nutrients in a manner that meets NRCS 590 Nutrient Management standard technical criteria. Alternatives presented within the CNMP have been made to mitigate, if feasible, negative air quality impacts and improve farmland safety and security. Accurate recordkeeping documents for crop yields, operation and maintenance of existing and new CNMP-related practices, manure application, AFO manure imports and exports, and other information relevant to the management and compliance of the AFO with state and/or local rules and regulations are included in the CNMP. If the CNMP is not implemented all identified resource concerns will still exist.

Scenario Feature Measure: Number

Scenario Unit: Number

Scenario Typical Size: 1

Scenario Cost: \$12,363.04

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$12,363.04

Cost Details (by category):

| Component Name | ID | Component Description | Unit | Price (\$/unit) | Quantity | Cost |
|----------------|----|-----------------------|------|-----------------|----------|------|
| <i>Labor</i> | | | | | | |

Labor

| | | | | | | |
|--|------|---|------|----------|----|------------|
| Cap Labor, conservation scientist | 1300 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to manage, improve, and protect natural resources to maximize their use without damaging the environment. Interprets resource information and assess resource conditions to provide conservation practice alternatives to producers to make decisions on the treatment of their soil, water, air, plant, animal, and energy resources. May instruct farmers, agricultural production managers, or ranchers in best ways to use crop rotation, contour plowing, or terracing to conserve soil and water; in the number and kind of livestock and forage plants best suited to particular ranges; and in range and farm improvements, such as fencing and reservoirs for stock watering. | Hour | \$53.09 | 66 | \$3,503.94 |
| CAP Labor, professional engineer | 1297 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to apply knowledge of engineering technology and biological science to agricultural problems concerned with power and machinery, electrification, structures, soil and water conservation, and processing of agricultural products. Cost associated with this component includes overhead and benefits (market price). | Hour | \$80.53 | 89 | \$7,167.17 |
| CAP Labor, small surveying crew | 1296 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to perform surveying and mapping duties, usually under the direction of an engineer, surveyor, cartographer, or photogrammetrist to obtain data used for construction, mapmaking, boundary location, mining, or other purposes. May calculate mapmaking information and create maps from source data, such as surveying notes, aerial photography, satellite data, or other maps to show topographical features, political boundaries, and other features. Cost associated with this component includes two man field crew, equipment, vehicle, overhead, and miscellaneous supplies. | Hour | \$103.63 | 11 | \$1,139.93 |
| Cap Labor, Survey and Mapping Technician | 1591 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to perform surveying and mapping duties, usually under the direction of an engineer, surveyor, cartographer, or photogrammetrist to obtain data used for construction, mapmaking, boundary location, mining, or other purposes. May calculate mapmaking information and create maps from source data, such as surveying notes, aerial photography, satellite data, or other maps to show topographical features, political boundaries, and other features. May verify accuracy and completeness of maps. | Hour | \$46.00 | 12 | \$552.00 |

Practice: 102 - Comprehensive Nutrient Management Plan

Scenario: #7 - Livestock Operation Less Than 300 AU without Land Application

Scenario Description:

A Comprehensive Nutrient Management Plan (CNMP) will be developed to address resource concerns on a small Animal Feeding Operation (AFO) of less than 300 animal units (AU). The producer exports (material transferred to another owner with written documentation of the transfer) nearly all of the manure or organic products from the farm. For operations where manure is both applied to land the AFO owner/operator controls and exported offsite, guidance to determine appropriate CNMP CAP scenario selection shall be provided by NRCS at the state level. The CNMP is a conservation plan that addresses resource concerns on the AFO production area and land application areas owned or controlled by the AFO owner/operator. In this scenario, the primary focus will be addressing resource concerns present on the production area, including manure/wastewater handling and storage, and documentation of manure generation by the AFO, and its export. Production area components of the plan must include animal confinement facilities, feeding and lounging areas, animal mortality facilities, and manure containment and storage facilities. Planned practices on the production area must result in meeting NRCS quality criteria for water quality and soil erosion. Any applicable air emission and negative air quality impacts occurring as a result of planned CNMP activities, or existing on-farm activities must be mitigated in the CNMP if feasible. The CNMP meets the AFO owner's/operator's production objectives

Before Situation:

The owner/operator of a small AFO has not received a written comprehensive nutrient management plan (CNMP) that addresses all resource concerns present on the facility production areas and any applicable land application areas. Partial implementation of CNMP-related practices for the AFO has potentially occurred. Various levels of management and conservation implementation has occurred on the farm. Little documentation of the systems used and practices installed exists. The producer may or may not have a conservation plan or a nutrient management plan. Resource concerns on the AFO production area remain to be addressed through the development of a complete CNMP including management and conservation practices for proper manure/wastewater storage and handling, proper disposal of animal mortality, erosion and runoff issues from feeding and lounging areas, and recordkeeping documentation of manure generation and exports. Negative air quality impacts and farmstead safety and security issues may remain on the AFO, and recordkeeping methods for inspection and monitoring of the existing CNMP-related practices, manure imports/exports may need further improvement.

After Situation:

A certified Technical Services Provider (TSP) has delivered, to the AFO owner/operator, a comprehensive conservation plan meeting CNMP CAP criteria (NI_190_304 - Part 304 - Comprehensive Nutrient Management Plan Technical Criteria) that describes management and conservation practice solutions to all identified resource concerns on the small sized AFO production area and any applicable land application areas. Management and conservation practices in the CNMP document delivered to the client ensure that, if implemented, the AFO will properly, within applicable NRCS standards and specifications, store, handle, and contain manure and wastewater materials generated by the AFO; dispose of AFO mortality; minimize erosion and runoff from feeding and lounging areas, keep accurate AFO animal inventory information, and document AFO manure generation and exports. Alternatives presented within the CNMP have been made to mitigate, if feasible, negative air quality impacts and improve farmland safety and security. Accurate recordkeeping documents for operation and maintenance of existing and new CNMP-related practices, AFO manure imports and exports, and other information relevant to the management and compliance of the AFO with state and/or local rules and regulations are included in the CNMP. If the CNMP is not implemented all identified resource concerns will still exist.

Scenario Feature Measure: Number

Scenario Unit: Number

Scenario Typical Size: 1

Scenario Cost: \$7,566.73

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$7,566.73

Cost Details (by category):

| Component Name | ID | Component Description | Unit | Price (\$/unit) | Quantity | Cost |
|-----------------------------------|------|---|------|-----------------|----------|------------|
| Labor | | | | | | |
| Cap Labor, conservation scientist | 1300 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to manage, improve, and protect natural resources to maximize their use without damaging the environment. Interprets resource information and assess resource conditions to provide conservation practice alternatives to producers to make decisions on the treatment of their soil, water, air, plant, animal, and energy resources. May instruct farmers, agricultural production managers, or ranchers in best ways to use crop rotation, contour plowing, or terracing to conserve soil and water; in the number and kind of livestock and forage plants best suited to particular ranges; and in range and farm improvements, such as fencing and reservoirs for stock watering. | Hour | \$53.09 | 19 | \$1,008.71 |

Labor

| | | | | | | |
|--|------|--|------|----------|----|------------|
| Cap Labor, Survey and Mapping Technician | 1591 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to perform surveying and mapping duties, usually under the direction of an engineer, surveyor, cartographer, or photogrammetrist to obtain data used for construction, mapmaking, boundary location, mining, or other purposes. May calculate mapmaking information and create maps from source data, such as surveying notes, aerial photography, satellite data, or other maps to show topographical features, political boundaries, and other features. May verify accuracy and completeness of maps. | Hour | \$46.00 | 9 | \$414.00 |
| CAP Labor, professional engineer | 1297 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to apply knowledge of engineering technology and biological science to agricultural problems concerned with power and machinery, electrification, structures, soil and water conservation, and processing of agricultural products. Cost associated with this component includes overhead and benefits (market price). | Hour | \$80.53 | 66 | \$5,314.98 |
| CAP Labor, small surveying crew | 1296 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to perform surveying and mapping duties, usually under the direction of an engineer, surveyor, cartographer, or photogrammetrist to obtain data used for construction, mapmaking, boundary location, mining, or other purposes. May calculate mapmaking information and create maps from source data, such as surveying notes, aerial photography, satellite data, or other maps to show topographical features, political boundaries, and other features. Cost associated with this component includes two man field crew, equipment, vehicle, overhead, and miscellaneous supplies. | Hour | \$103.63 | 8 | \$829.04 |

Practice: 102 - Comprehensive Nutrient Management Plan

Scenario: #8 - Livestock Operation Greater Than 300 AU without Land Application

Scenario Description:

A Comprehensive Nutrient Management Plan (CNMP) will be developed to address resource concerns on a medium-large Animal Feeding Operation (AFO) of greater than or equal to 300 animal units (AU). The producer exports (material transferred to another owner with written documentation of the transfer) nearly all of the manure or organic products from the farm. For operations where manure is both applied to land the AFO owner/operator controls and exported offsite, guidance to determine appropriate CNMP CAP scenario selection shall be provided by NRCS at the state level. The CNMP is a conservation plan that addresses resource concerns on the AFO production area and land application areas owned or controlled by the AFO owner/operator. In this scenario, the primary focus will be addressing resource concerns present on the production area, including manure/wastewater handling and storage, and documentation of manure generation by the AFO, and its export. Production area components of the plan must include animal confinement facilities, feeding and lounging lots, animal mortality facilities, and manure containment and storage facilities. Planned practices on the production area must result in meeting NRCS quality criteria for water quality and soil erosion. Any applicable air emission and negative air quality impacts occurring as a result of planned CNMP activities, or existing on-farm activities must be mitigated in the CNMP if feasible. The CNMP meets the AFO owner's/operator's production objectives.

Before Situation:

The owner/operator of a medium-large sized AFO has not received a written comprehensive nutrient management plan (CNMP) that addresses all resource concerns present on the facility production areas and any applicable land application areas. Partial implementation of CNMP-related practices for the AFO has potentially occurred. Various levels of management and conservation implementation has occurred on the farm. Little documentation of the systems used and practices installed exists. The producer may or may not have a conservation plan or a nutrient management plan. Resource concerns on the AFO production area remain to be addressed through the development of a complete CNMP including management and conservation practices for proper manure/wastewater storage and handling, proper disposal of animal mortality, erosion and runoff issues from feeding and lounging areas, and recordkeeping documentation of manure generation and exports. Negative air quality impacts and farmstead safety and security issues may remain on the AFO, and recordkeeping methods for inspection and monitoring of the existing CNMP-related practices, manure imports/exports may need further improvement.

After Situation:

A certified Technical Services Provider (TSP) has delivered, to the AFO owner/operator, a comprehensive conservation plan meeting CNMP CAP criteria (NI_190_304 - Part 304 - Comprehensive Nutrient Management Plan Technical Criteria) that describes management and conservation practice solutions to all identified resource concerns on the medium-large sized AFO production area and any applicable land application areas. Management and conservation practices in the CNMP document delivered to the client ensure that, if implemented, the AFO will properly, within applicable NRCS standards and specifications, store, handle, and contain manure and wastewater materials generated by the AFO; dispose of AFO mortality; minimize erosion and runoff from feeding and lounging areas, keep accurate AFO animal inventory information, and document AFO manure generation and exports. Alternatives presented within the CNMP have been made to mitigate, if feasible, negative air quality impacts and improve farmland safety and security. Accurate recordkeeping documents for operation and maintenance of existing and new CNMP-related practices, AFO manure imports and exports, and other information relevant to the management and compliance of the AFO with state and/or local rules and regulations are included in the CNMP. If the CNMP is not implemented all identified resource concerns will still exist.

Scenario Feature Measure: Number

Scenario Unit: Number

Scenario Typical Size: 1

Scenario Cost: \$9,357.15

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$9,357.15

Cost Details (by category):

| Component Name | ID | Component Description | Unit | Price (\$/unit) | Quantity | Cost |
|----------------|----|-----------------------|------|-----------------|----------|------|
| <i>Labor</i> | | | | | | |

Labor

| | | | | | | |
|--|------|---|------|----------|----|------------|
| Cap Labor, conservation scientist | 1300 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to manage, improve, and protect natural resources to maximize their use without damaging the environment. Interprets resource information and assess resource conditions to provide conservation practice alternatives to producers to make decisions on the treatment of their soil, water, air, plant, animal, and energy resources. May instruct farmers, agricultural production managers, or ranchers in best ways to use crop rotation, contour plowing, or terracing to conserve soil and water; in the number and kind of livestock and forage plants best suited to particular ranges; and in range and farm improvements, such as fencing and reservoirs for stock watering. | Hour | \$53.09 | 20 | \$1,061.80 |
| CAP Labor, professional engineer | 1297 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to apply knowledge of engineering technology and biological science to agricultural problems concerned with power and machinery, electrification, structures, soil and water conservation, and processing of agricultural products. Cost associated with this component includes overhead and benefits (market price). | Hour | \$80.53 | 85 | \$6,845.05 |
| CAP Labor, small surveying crew | 1296 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to perform surveying and mapping duties, usually under the direction of an engineer, surveyor, cartographer, or photogrammetrist to obtain data used for construction, mapmaking, boundary location, mining, or other purposes. May calculate mapmaking information and create maps from source data, such as surveying notes, aerial photography, satellite data, or other maps to show topographical features, political boundaries, and other features. Cost associated with this component includes two man field crew, equipment, vehicle, overhead, and miscellaneous supplies. | Hour | \$103.63 | 10 | \$1,036.30 |
| Cap Labor, Survey and Mapping Technician | 1591 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to perform surveying and mapping duties, usually under the direction of an engineer, surveyor, cartographer, or photogrammetrist to obtain data used for construction, mapmaking, boundary location, mining, or other purposes. May calculate mapmaking information and create maps from source data, such as surveying notes, aerial photography, satellite data, or other maps to show topographical features, political boundaries, and other features. May verify accuracy and completeness of maps. | Hour | \$46.00 | 9 | \$414.00 |

Practice: 104 - Nutrient Management Plan

Scenario: #1 - Nutrient Management CAP Less Than or Equal to 100 Acres

Scenario Description:

Various on-farm land uses where natural or artificial amendments are applied. Natural Resource Concern: Water Quality, Soil Erosion, Water Quantity, and other associated resource concerns.

Before Situation:

Agricultural producer has no plan or minimal knowledge for applicant and management of . The producer currently manages nutrient application based upon label instructions, personal knowledge, or other local criteria. Producer is interested in management of nutrients to maximize yields, profits margin, reduce costs, and for environmental benefit. Producer is willing to collaborate with a certified TSP to develop a plan. Associated Practices: 328, 340, 330, 554, 329, 345, 346, 412, 449, 585, 600, 332, 390, 391, 393, 601, 635, 656, 657, 658, 659, 747, 511, 362, 386, 410, 447, 587, 633, 638 or other applicable practices approved in the NRCS Field Office Technical Guide.

After Situation:

After EQIP contract approval, participant has obtained services from a certified TSP for develop of the "Nutrient Management" conservation activity plan. The CAP criteria requires the plan to meet quality criteria for the primary Water Quality resource concern and other applicable resource concerns and provides for opportunities to manage nutrients for plant production and address offsite movement of nutrients. The CAP plan may include recommendations for associated conservation practices which address other related resource concerns. CAP meets the basic quality criteria for the 104 plan as cited in the NRCS Field Office Technical Guide.

Scenario Feature Measure: Number

Scenario Unit: Number

Scenario Typical Size: 1

Scenario Cost: \$2,220.70

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$2,220.70

Cost Details (by category):

| Component Name | ID | Component Description | Unit | Price (\$/unit) | Quantity | Cost |
|-----------------------------------|------|---|------|-----------------|----------|------------|
| <i>Labor</i> | | | | | | |
| CAP Labor, agronomist | 1295 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to conduct research in breeding, physiology, production, yield, and management of crops and agricultural plants or trees, shrubs, and nursery stock, their growth in soils, and control of pests; or study the chemical, physical, biological, and mineralogical composition of soils as they relate to plant or crop growth. May classify and map soils and investigate effects of alternative practices on soil and crop productivity. May provide on-site consulting services to help growers troubleshoot nutrient and pest problems, establish appropriate agronomic sampling programs and implement management recommendations in a cost-effective and environmentally sound manner. | Hour | \$78.50 | 8 | \$628.00 |
| Cap Labor, conservation scientist | 1300 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to manage, improve, and protect natural resources to maximize their use without damaging the environment. Interprets resource information and assess resource conditions to provide conservation practice alternatives to producers to make decisions on the treatment of their soil, water, air, plant, animal, and energy resources. May instruct farmers, agricultural production managers, or ranchers in best ways to use crop rotation, contour plowing, or terracing to conserve soil and water; in the number and kind of livestock and forage plants best suited to particular ranges; and in range and farm improvements, such as fencing and reservoirs for stock watering. | Hour | \$53.09 | 30 | \$1,592.70 |

Practice: 104 - Nutrient Management Plan

Scenario: #2 - Nutrient Management CAP 101 - 300 Acres

Scenario Description:

Various on-farm land uses where organic or inorganic amendments are applied. Natural Resource Concern: Water Quality, Soil Erosion, Water Quantity, and other associated resource concerns.

Before Situation:

Agricultural producer has no plan or minimal knowledge for applicant and management of . The producer currently manages nutrient application based upon label instructions, personal knowledge, or other local criteria. Producer is interested in management of nutrients to maximize yields, profits margin, reduce costs, and for environmental benefit. Producer is willing to collaborate with a certified TSP to develop a plan. Associated Practices: 328, 340, 330, 554, 329, 345, 346, 412, 449, 585, 600, 332, 390, 391, 393, 601, 635, 656, 657, 658, 659, 747, 511, 362, 386, 410, 447, 587, 633, 638 or other applicable practices approved in the NRCS Field Office Technical Guide.

After Situation:

After EQIP contract approval, participant has obtained services from a certified TSP for develop of the "Nutrient Management" conservation activity plan. The CAP criteria requires the plan to meet quality criteria for the primary Water Quality resource concern and other applicable resource concerns and provides for opportunities to manage nutrients for plant production and address offsite movement of nutrients. The CAP plan may include recommendations for associated conservation practices which address other related resource concerns. CAP meets the basic quality criteria for the 104 plan as cited in the NRCS Field Office Technical Guide.

Scenario Feature Measure: Number

Scenario Unit: Number

Scenario Typical Size: 1

Scenario Cost: \$2,643.15

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$2,643.15

Cost Details (by category):

| Component Name | ID | Component Description | Unit | Price (\$/unit) | Quantity | Cost |
|-----------------------------------|------|---|------|-----------------|----------|------------|
| <i>Labor</i> | | | | | | |
| CAP Labor, agronomist | 1295 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to conduct research in breeding, physiology, production, yield, and management of crops and agricultural plants or trees, shrubs, and nursery stock, their growth in soils, and control of pests; or study the chemical, physical, biological, and mineralogical composition of soils as they relate to plant or crop growth. May classify and map soils and investigate effects of alternative practices on soil and crop productivity. May provide on-site consulting services to help growers troubleshoot nutrient and pest problems, establish appropriate agronomic sampling programs and implement management recommendations in a cost-effective and environmentally sound manner. | Hour | \$78.50 | 10 | \$785.00 |
| Cap Labor, conservation scientist | 1300 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to manage, improve, and protect natural resources to maximize their use without damaging the environment. Interprets resource information and assess resource conditions to provide conservation practice alternatives to producers to make decisions on the treatment of their soil, water, air, plant, animal, and energy resources. May instruct farmers, agricultural production managers, or ranchers in best ways to use crop rotation, contour plowing, or terracing to conserve soil and water; in the number and kind of livestock and forage plants best suited to particular ranges; and in range and farm improvements, such as fencing and reservoirs for stock watering. | Hour | \$53.09 | 35 | \$1,858.15 |

Practice: 104 - Nutrient Management Plan

Scenario: #3 - Nutrient Management CAP Greater Than 300 Acres

Scenario Description:

Various on-farm land uses where organic or inorganic amendments are applied. Natural Resource Concern: Water Quality, Soil Erosion, Water Quantity, and other associated resource concerns.

Before Situation:

Agricultural producer has no plan or minimal knowledge for applicant and management of . The producer currently manages nutrient application based upon label instructions, personal knowledge, or other local criteria. Producer is interested in management of nutrients to maximize yields, profits margin, reduce costs, and for environmental benefit. Producer is willing to collaborate with a certified TSP to develop a plan. Associated Practices: 328, 340, 330, 554, 329, 345, 346, 412, 449, 585, 600, 332, 390, 391, 393, 601, 635, 656, 657, 658, 659, 747, 511, 362, 386, 410, 447, 587, 633, 638 or other applicable practices approved in the NRCS Field Office Technical Guide.

After Situation:

After EQIP contract approval, participant has obtained services from a certified TSP for develop of the "Nutrient Management" conservation activity plan. The CAP criteria requires the plan to meet quality criteria for the primary Water Quality resource concern and other applicable resource concerns and provides for opportunities to manage nutrients for plant production and address offsite movement of nutrients. The CAP plan may include recommendations for associated conservation practices which address other related resource concerns. CAP meets the basic quality criteria for the 104 plan as cited in the NRCS Field Office Technical Guide.

Scenario Feature Measure: Number

Scenario Unit: Number

Scenario Typical Size: 1

Scenario Cost: \$3,197.19

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$3,197.19

Cost Details (by category):

| Component Name | ID | Component Description | Unit | Price (\$/unit) | Quantity | Cost |
|-----------------------------------|------|---|------|-----------------|----------|------------|
| <i>Labor</i> | | | | | | |
| CAP Labor, agronomist | 1295 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to conduct research in breeding, physiology, production, yield, and management of crops and agricultural plants or trees, shrubs, and nursery stock, their growth in soils, and control of pests; or study the chemical, physical, biological, and mineralogical composition of soils as they relate to plant or crop growth. May classify and map soils and investigate effects of alternative practices on soil and crop productivity. May provide on-site consulting services to help growers troubleshoot nutrient and pest problems, establish appropriate agronomic sampling programs and implement management recommendations in a cost-effective and environmentally sound manner. | Hour | \$78.50 | 13 | \$1,020.50 |
| Cap Labor, conservation scientist | 1300 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to manage, improve, and protect natural resources to maximize their use without damaging the environment. Interprets resource information and assess resource conditions to provide conservation practice alternatives to producers to make decisions on the treatment of their soil, water, air, plant, animal, and energy resources. May instruct farmers, agricultural production managers, or ranchers in best ways to use crop rotation, contour plowing, or terracing to conserve soil and water; in the number and kind of livestock and forage plants best suited to particular ranges; and in range and farm improvements, such as fencing and reservoirs for stock watering. | Hour | \$53.09 | 41 | \$2,176.69 |

Practice: 106 - Forest Management Plan

Scenario: #1 - FMP Less Than or Equal to 20 acres

Scenario Description:

Non Industrial Private Forest Land typically unmanaged or limited management activities. Typical site is approximately 1 to 20 acres in size and consists of existing uneven-aged mixed species stands of harvestable trees. Natural Resource Concern: Fish and Wildlife; Soil Erosion; Soil Condition; Water Quality; Plant Condition; on Forest Land.

Before Situation:

Producer has no existing plan or old existing plan is inadequate to address current needs to address resource concerns. The producer does not manage the forest stand to address one or more serious resource concerns. A Forest Management Plan or Conservation Activity Plan, as defined by EQIP regulation is needed to allow the producer to apply for financial assistance through EQIP or other programs to help implement needed conservation practices. Associated Practices: 472, 666, 654, 655,384, 394, 383, 379, 338, 391, 791, 490, 612, 660, 311, 380.

After Situation:

After EQIP contract approval, participant has obtained services from a certified TSP for development of the "Forest Management Plan" Conservation Activity Plan (CAP). The CAP criteria requires the plan to identify approved Field Office Technical Guide conservation practices where needed to address identified resource concerns. The Forest Management CAP is not considered a Forest Harvest Plan, but should complement the needs for harvest if desired by the land user. Additional CAP plan criteria is detailed in the Field Office Technical Guide.

Scenario Feature Measure: Number

Scenario Unit: Number

Scenario Typical Size: 1

Scenario Cost: \$1,429.18

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$1,429.18

Cost Details (by category):

| Component Name | ID | Component Description | Unit | Price (\$/unit) | Quantity | Cost |
|---------------------|------|--|------|-----------------|----------|------------|
| <i>Labor</i> | | | | | | |
| CAP Labor, forester | 1302 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to manage nonindustrial private forest lands for conservation, economic, and recreational purposes. Will inventory the type, amount, and location of standing timber and appraise the timber's condition. Will determine how to conserve wildlife habitats, improve water quality, and soil stability, and how best to comply with environmental regulations. May devise plans for planting and growing new trees, monitor trees for healthy growth, and determine optimal thinning schedules. Cost associated with this component includes overhead and benefits (market price). | Hour | \$75.22 | 19 | \$1,429.18 |

Practice: 106 - Forest Management Plan

Scenario: #2 - FMP 21 to 100 acres

Scenario Description:

Non Industrial Private Forest Land typically unmanaged or limited management activities. Typical site is approximately 21 to 100 acres in size and consists of existing uneven-aged mixed species stands of harvestable trees. Natural Resource Concern: Fish and Wildlife; Soil Erosion; Soil Condition; Water Quality; Plant Condition; on Forest Land.

Before Situation:

Producer has no existing plan or old existing plan is inadequate to address current needs to address resource concerns. The producer does not manage the forest stand to address one or more serious resource concerns. A Forest Management Plan or Conservation Activity Plan, as defined by EQIP regulation is needed to allow the producer to apply for financial assistance through EQIP or other programs to help implement needed conservation practices. Associated Practices: 472, 666, 654, 655,384, 394, 383, 379, 338, 391, 791, 490, 612, 660, 311, 380.

After Situation:

After EQIP contract approval, participant has obtained services from a certified TSP for development of the "Forest Management Plan" Conservation Activity Plan (CAP). The CAP criteria requires the plan to identify approved Field Office Technical Guide conservation practices where needed to address identified resource concerns. The Forest Management CAP is not considered a Forest Harvest Plan, but should complement the needs for harvest if desired by the land user. Additional CAP plan criteria is detailed in the Field Office Technical Guide.

Scenario Feature Measure: Number

Scenario Unit: Number

Scenario Typical Size: 1

Scenario Cost: \$1,805.28

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$1,805.28

Cost Details (by category):

| Component Name | ID | Component Description | Unit | Price (\$/unit) | Quantity | Cost |
|---------------------|------|--|------|-----------------|----------|------------|
| <i>Labor</i> | | | | | | |
| CAP Labor, forester | 1302 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to manage nonindustrial private forest lands for conservation, economic, and recreational purposes. Will inventory the type, amount, and location of standing timber and appraise the timber's condition. Will determine how to conserve wildlife habitats, improve water quality, and soil stability, and how best to comply with environmental regulations. May devise plans for planting and growing new trees, monitor trees for healthy growth, and determine optimal thinning schedules. Cost associated with this component includes overhead and benefits (market price). | Hour | \$75.22 | 24 | \$1,805.28 |

Practice: 106 - Forest Management Plan

Scenario: #3 - FMP 101 to 250 acres

Scenario Description:

Non Industrial Private Forest Land typically unmanaged or limited management activities. Typical site is approximately 101 to 250 acres in size and consists of existing uneven-aged mixed species stands of harvestable trees. Natural Resource Concern: Fish and Wildlife; Soil Erosion; Soil Condition; Water Quality; Plant Condition; on Forest Land.

Before Situation:

Producer has no existing plan or old existing plan is inadequate to address current needs to address resource concerns. The producer does not manage the forest stand to address one or more serious resource concerns. A Forest Management Plan or Conservation Activity Plan, as defined by EQIP regulation is needed to allow the producer to apply for financial assistance through EQIP or other programs to help implement needed conservation practices. Associated Practices: 472, 666, 654, 655,384, 394, 383, 379, 338, 391, 791, 490, 612, 660, 311, 380.

After Situation:

After EQIP contract approval, participant has obtained services from a certified TSP for development of the "Forest Management Plan" Conservation Activity Plan (CAP). The CAP criteria requires the plan to identify approved Field Office Technical Guide conservation practices where needed to address identified resource concerns. The Forest Management CAP is not considered a Forest Harvest Plan, but should complement the needs for harvest if desired by the land user. Additional CAP plan criteria is detailed in the Field Office Technical Guide.

Scenario Feature Measure: Number

Scenario Unit: Number

Scenario Typical Size: 1

Scenario Cost: \$3,234.46

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$3,234.46

Cost Details (by category):

| Component Name | ID | Component Description | Unit | Price (\$/unit) | Quantity | Cost |
|---------------------|------|--|------|-----------------|----------|------------|
| <i>Labor</i> | | | | | | |
| CAP Labor, forester | 1302 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to manage nonindustrial private forest lands for conservation, economic, and recreational purposes. Will inventory the type, amount, and location of standing timber and appraise the timber's condition. Will determine how to conserve wildlife habitats, improve water quality, and soil stability, and how best to comply with environmental regulations. May devise plans for planting and growing new trees, monitor trees for healthy growth, and determine optimal thinning schedules. Cost associated with this component includes overhead and benefits (market price). | Hour | \$75.22 | 43 | \$3,234.46 |

Practice: 106 - Forest Management Plan

Scenario: #4 - FMP 251 to 500 acres

Scenario Description:

Non Industrial Private Forest Land typically unmanaged or limited management activities. Typical site is approximately 251 to 500 acres in size and consists of existing uneven-aged mixed species stands of harvestable trees. Natural Resource Concern: Fish and Wildlife; Soil Erosion; Soil Condition; Water Quality; Plant Condition; on Forest Land.

Before Situation:

Producer has no existing plan or old existing plan is inadequate to address current needs to address resource concerns. The producer does not manage the forest stand to address one or more serious resource concerns. A Forest Management Plan or Conservation Activity Plan, as defined by EQIP regulation is needed to allow the producer to apply for financial assistance through EQIP or other programs to help implement needed conservation practices. Associated Practices: 472, 666, 654, 655,384, 394, 383, 379, 338, 391, 791, 490, 612, 660, 311, 380.

After Situation:

After EQIP contract approval, participant has obtained services from a certified TSP for development of the "Forest Management Plan" Conservation Activity Plan (CAP). The CAP criteria requires the plan to identify approved Field Office Technical Guide conservation practices where needed to address identified resource concerns. The Forest Management CAP is not considered a Forest Harvest Plan, but should complement the needs for harvest if desired by the land user. Additional CAP plan criteria is detailed in the Field Office Technical Guide.

Scenario Feature Measure: Number

Scenario Unit: Number

Scenario Typical Size: 1

Scenario Cost: \$4,663.64

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$4,663.64

Cost Details (by category):

| Component Name | ID | Component Description | Unit | Price (\$/unit) | Quantity | Cost |
|---------------------|------|--|------|-----------------|----------|------------|
| <i>Labor</i> | | | | | | |
| CAP Labor, forester | 1302 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to manage nonindustrial private forest lands for conservation, economic, and recreational purposes. Will inventory the type, amount, and location of standing timber and appraise the timber's condition. Will determine how to conserve wildlife habitats, improve water quality, and soil stability, and how best to comply with environmental regulations. May devise plans for planting and growing new trees, monitor trees for healthy growth, and determine optimal thinning schedules. Cost associated with this component includes overhead and benefits (market price). | Hour | \$75.22 | 62 | \$4,663.64 |

Practice: 106 - Forest Management Plan

Scenario: #5 - FMP 501 to 1000 acres

Scenario Description:

Non Industrial Private Forest Land typically unmanaged or limited management activities. Typical site is approximately 501 to 1000 acres in size and consists of existing uneven-aged mixed species stands of harvestable trees. Natural Resource Concern: Fish and Wildlife; Soil Erosion; Soil Condition; Water Quality; Plant Condition; on Forest Land.

Before Situation:

Producer has no existing plan or old existing plan is inadequate to address current needs to address resource concerns. The producer does not manage the forest stand to address one or more serious resource concerns. A Forest Management Plan or Conservation Activity Plan, as defined by EQIP regulation is needed to allow the producer to apply for financial assistance through EQIP or other programs to help implement needed conservation practices. Associated Practices: 472, 666, 654, 655,384, 394, 383, 379, 338, 391, 791, 490, 612, 660, 311, 380.

After Situation:

After EQIP contract approval, participant has obtained services from a certified TSP for development of the "Forest Management Plan" Conservation Activity Plan (CAP). The CAP criteria requires the plan to identify approved Field Office Technical Guide conservation practices where needed to address identified resource concerns. The Forest Management CAP is not considered a Forest Harvest Plan, but should complement the needs for harvest if desired by the land user. Additional CAP plan criteria is detailed in the Field Office Technical Guide.

Scenario Feature Measure: Number

Scenario Unit: Number

Scenario Typical Size: 1

Scenario Cost: \$5,415.84

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$5,415.84

Cost Details (by category):

| Component Name | ID | Component Description | Unit | Price (\$/unit) | Quantity | Cost |
|---------------------|------|--|------|-----------------|----------|------------|
| <i>Labor</i> | | | | | | |
| CAP Labor, forester | 1302 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to manage nonindustrial private forest lands for conservation, economic, and recreational purposes. Will inventory the type, amount, and location of standing timber and appraise the timber's condition. Will determine how to conserve wildlife habitats, improve water quality, and soil stability, and how best to comply with environmental regulations. May devise plans for planting and growing new trees, monitor trees for healthy growth, and determine optimal thinning schedules. Cost associated with this component includes overhead and benefits (market price). | Hour | \$75.22 | 72 | \$5,415.84 |

Practice: 106 - Forest Management Plan

Scenario: #7 - FMP Greater Than 1000 acres

Scenario Description:

Non Industrial Private Forest Land typically unmanaged or limited management activities. Typical site is approximately 1001 acres or greater in size and consists of existing uneven-aged mixed species stands of harvestable trees. Natural Resource Concern: Fish and Wildlife; Soil Erosion; Soil Condition; Water Quality; Plant Condition; on Forest Land.

Before Situation:

Producer has no existing plan or old existing plan is inadequate to address current needs to address resource concerns. The producer does not manage the forest stand to address one or more serious resource concerns. A Forest Management Plan or Conservation Activity Plan, as defined by EQIP regulation is needed to allow the producer to apply for financial assistance through EQIP or other programs to help implement needed conservation practices.

After Situation:

After EQIP contract approval, participant has obtained services from a certified TSP for development of the "Forest Management Plan" Conservation Activity Plan (CAP). The CAP criteria requires the plan to identify approved Field Office Technical Guide conservation practices where needed to address identified resource concerns. The Forest Management CAP is not considered a Forest Harvest Plan, but should complement the needs for harvest if desired by the land user. Additional CAP plan criteria is detailed in the Field Office Technical Guide.

Scenario Feature Measure: Number

Scenario Unit: Number

Scenario Typical Size: 1

Scenario Cost: \$6,769.80

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$6,769.80

Cost Details (by category):

| Component Name | ID | Component Description | Unit | Price (\$/unit) | Quantity | Cost |
|---------------------|------|--|------|-----------------|----------|------------|
| <i>Labor</i> | | | | | | |
| CAP Labor, forester | 1302 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to manage nonindustrial private forest lands for conservation, economic, and recreational purposes. Will inventory the type, amount, and location of standing timber and appraise the timber's condition. Will determine how to conserve wildlife habitats, improve water quality, and soil stability, and how best to comply with environmental regulations. May devise plans for planting and growing new trees, monitor trees for healthy growth, and determine optimal thinning schedules. Cost associated with this component includes overhead and benefits (market price). | Hour | \$75.22 | 90 | \$6,769.80 |

Practice: 108 - Feed Management Plan

Scenario: #1 - Feed Management Plan Less Than 100 Acres

Scenario Description:

The owner/operator of an Animal Feeding Operation (AFO) has not received a written Feed Management Plan (FeedMP) that addresses all resource concerns present on the facility. Various levels of management and conservation implementation has occurred in the operation. Little documentation of the methods of feed management used and practices installed exists, and the producer is not likely to developed a complete forage inventory or nutrient analysis. The producer may or may not have a conservation plan or a nutrient management plan. Nutrient management related resource concerns on the operation remain to be addressed through the development of a complete FeedMP including management and conservation practices for proper quantity and quality of available nutrients, feedstuffs, and/or additives fed to livestock or poultry that may be present on the operation. Present operation and feed methodology poses risk of feeding excessive amounts of nutrients in animal manure which result in negative impacts to water quality and odor resource concerns. Negative water and air quality impacts as well as farmstead safety and security issues may remain on the AFO, and inadequate recordkeeping nutrient, inspection and monitoring of the existing operation may need further improvement.

Before Situation:

Producer has no plan or limited knowledge of management of feed, nutrients, feedstuffs, or nutritional additives provided to domestic livestock and poultry. The producer currently manages feed without a plan which would address livestock production limitations and water and air quality resource concern impacts. Producer currently lacks plan to provide proper balance of forage, grains or other feeds and supplements to assure domestic animal nutritional needs are met without negatively impacting water and air quality. Producer is interested in management of feed for domestic animals to maximize profit margin, reduce costs, improve or address livestock production opportunities, and for other environmental benefits. Producer is willing to collaborate with a certified Technical Service Provider (TSP) to develop a plan, and to collect/coordinate data and records to determine current nutritional needs. Associated Practice(s): 590-Nutrient Management

After Situation:

After EQIP contract approval, participant has obtained services from a certified TSP for development of the "Feed Management" (FM) conservation activity plan (CAP). The CAP criteria requires the plan to meet quality criteria for applicable natural resource concerns and provides for opportunities to identify and implement conservation practices related to management of feed, forages, or delivery of supplements to maximize efficient feeding operations and livestock growth. The CAP plan may serve as the basis for implementation of the primary conservation practice 592-Feed Management. If applicable, the FM CAP may also be developed to complement Comprehensive Nutrient Management Plans (CNMP) or to help meet requirements of NRCS practice standard 590 – Nutrient Management. As addressed in the CAP planning criteria, the plan may include recommendations for addressing associated natural resource concerns with other conservation practices. The FM CAP meets the basic quality criteria for the 108 plan as cited in the NRCS Field Office Technical Guide.

Scenario Feature Measure: Number

Scenario Unit: Number

Scenario Typical Size: 1

Scenario Cost: \$981.92

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$981.92

Cost Details (by category):

| Component Name | ID | Component Description | Unit | Price (\$/unit) | Quantity | Cost |
|-------------------------------|------|---|------|-----------------|----------|----------|
| <i>Labor</i> | | | | | | |
| CAP Labor, range conservation | 1299 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to study, plan the use and management of rangelands to maximize their use in a sustainable manner. Range managers may inventory soils, plants, and animals; develop resource management plans; identify monitoring methods and collect data using those methods to determine if resource management objectives are being met or if adjustments to management activities are needed. For example, they may help ranchers attain optimum livestock production by determining the number and kind of animals to graze, the grazing system to use, and the best season for grazing. Cost associated with this component includes overhead and benefits (market price). | Hour | \$61.37 | 16 | \$981.92 |

Practice: 108 - Feed Management Plan

Scenario: #2 - Feed Management Plan 100 to Less Than 1500 Acres

Scenario Description:

The owner/operator of an Animal Feeding Operation (AFO) has not received a written Feed Management Plan (FeedMP) that addresses all resource concerns present on the facility. Various levels of management and conservation implementation has occurred in the operation. Little documentation of the methods of feed management used and practices installed exists, and the producer is not likely to developed a complete forage inventory or nutrient analysis. The producer may or may not have a conservation plan or a nutrient management plan. Nutrient management related resource concerns on the operation remain to be addressed through the development of a complete FeedMP including management and conservation practices for proper quantity and quality of available nutrients, feedstuffs, and/or additives fed to livestock or poultry that may be present on the operation. Present operation and feed methodology poses risk of feeding excessive amounts of nutrients in animal manure which result in negative impacts to water quality and odor resource concerns. Negative water and air quality impacts as well as farmstead safety and security issues may remain on the AFO, and inadequate recordkeeping nutrient, inspection and monitoring of the existing operation may need further improvement.

Before Situation:

Producer has no plan or limited knowledge of management of feed, nutrients, feedstuffs, or nutritional additives provided to domestic livestock and poultry. The producer currently manages feed without a plan which would address livestock production limitations and water and air quality resource concern impacts. Producer currently lacks plan to provide proper balance of forage, grains or other feeds and supplements to assure domestic animal nutritional needs are met without negatively impacting water and air quality. Producer is interested in management of feed for domestic animals to maximize profit margin, reduce costs, improve or address livestock production opportunities, and for other environmental benefits. Producer is willing to collaborate with a certified Technical Service Provider (TSP) to develop a plan, and to collect/coordinate data and records to determine current nutritional needs. Associated Practice(s): 590-Nutrient Management

After Situation:

After EQIP contract approval, participant has obtained services from a certified TSP for development of the "Feed Management" (FM) conservation activity plan (CAP). The CAP criteria requires the plan to meet quality criteria for applicable natural resource concerns and provides for opportunities to identify and implement conservation practices related to management of feed, forages, or delivery of supplements to maximize efficient feeding operations and livestock growth. The CAP plan may serve as the basis for implementation of the primary conservation practice 592-Feed Management. If applicable, the FM CAP may also be developed to complement Comprehensive Nutrient Management Plans (CNMP) or to help meet requirements of NRCS practice standard 590 – Nutrient Management. As addressed in the CAP planning criteria, the plan may include recommendations for addressing associated natural resource concerns with other conservation practices. The FM CAP meets the basic quality criteria for the 108 plan as cited in the NRCS Field Office Technical Guide.

Scenario Feature Measure: Number

Scenario Unit: Number

Scenario Typical Size: 1

Scenario Cost: \$2,577.54

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$2,577.54

Cost Details (by category):

| Component Name | ID | Component Description | Unit | Price (\$/unit) | Quantity | Cost |
|-------------------------------|------|---|------|-----------------|----------|------------|
| <i>Labor</i> | | | | | | |
| CAP Labor, range conservation | 1299 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to study, plan the use and management of rangelands to maximize their use in a sustainable manner. Range managers may inventory soils, plants, and animals; develop resource management plans; identify monitoring methods and collect data using those methods to determine if resource management objectives are being met or if adjustments to management activities are needed. For example, they may help ranchers attain optimum livestock production by determining the number and kind of animals to graze, the grazing system to use, and the best season for grazing. Cost associated with this component includes overhead and benefits (market price). | Hour | \$61.37 | 42 | \$2,577.54 |

Practice: 108 - Feed Management Plan

Scenario: #3 - Feed Management Plan 1500 - 5000 Acres

Scenario Description:

The owner/operator of an Animal Feeding Operation (AFO) has not received a written Feed Management Plan (FeedMP) that addresses all resource concerns present on the facility. Various levels of management and conservation implementation has occurred in the operation. Little documentation of the methods of feed management used and practices installed exists, and the producer is not likely to developed a complete forage inventory or nutrient analysis. The producer may or may not have a conservation plan or a nutrient management plan. Nutrient management related resource concerns on the operation remain to be addressed through the development of a complete FeedMP including management and conservation practices for proper quantity and quality of available nutrients, feedstuffs, and/or additives fed to livestock or poultry that may be present on the operation. Present operation and feed methodology poses risk of feeding excessive amounts of nutrients in animal manure which result in negative impacts to water quality and odor resource concerns. Negative water and air quality impacts as well as farmstead safety and security issues may remain on the AFO, and inadequate recordkeeping nutrient, inspection and monitoring of the existing operation may need further improvement.

Before Situation:

Producer has no plan or limited knowledge of management of feed, nutrients, feedstuffs, or nutritional additives provided to domestic livestock and poultry. The producer currently manages feed without a plan which would address livestock production limitations and water and air quality resource concern impacts. Producer currently lacks plan to provide proper balance of forage, grains or other feeds and supplements to assure domestic animal nutritional needs are met without negatively impacting water and air quality. Producer is interested in management of feed for domestic animals to maximize profit margin, reduce costs, improve or address livestock production opportunities, and for other environmental benefits. Producer is willing to collaborate with a certified Technical Service Provider (TSP) to develop a plan, and to collect/coordinate data and records to determine current nutritional needs. Associated Practice(s): 590-Nutrient Management

After Situation:

After EQIP contract approval, participant has obtained services from a certified TSP for development of the "Feed Management" (FM) conservation activity plan (CAP). The CAP criteria requires the plan to meet quality criteria for applicable natural resource concerns and provides for opportunities to identify and implement conservation practices related to management of feed, forages, or delivery of supplements to maximize efficient feeding operations and livestock growth. The CAP plan may serve as the basis for implementation of the primary conservation practice 592-Feed Management. If applicable, the FM CAP may also be developed to complement Comprehensive Nutrient Management Plans (CNMP) or to help meet requirements of NRCS practice standard 590 – Nutrient Management. As addressed in the CAP planning criteria, the plan may include recommendations for addressing associated natural resource concerns with other conservation practices. The FM CAP meets the basic quality criteria for the 108 plan as cited in the NRCS Field Office Technical Guide.

Scenario Feature Measure: Number

Scenario Unit: Number

Scenario Typical Size: 1

Scenario Cost: \$4,295.90

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$4,295.90

Cost Details (by category):

| Component Name | ID | Component Description | Unit | Price (\$/unit) | Quantity | Cost |
|-------------------------------|------|---|------|-----------------|----------|------------|
| <i>Labor</i> | | | | | | |
| CAP Labor, range conservation | 1299 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to study, plan the use and management of rangelands to maximize their use in a sustainable manner. Range managers may inventory soils, plants, and animals; develop resource management plans; identify monitoring methods and collect data using those methods to determine if resource management objectives are being met or if adjustments to management activities are needed. For example, they may help ranchers attain optimum livestock production by determining the number and kind of animals to graze, the grazing system to use, and the best season for grazing. Cost associated with this component includes overhead and benefits (market price). | Hour | \$61.37 | 70 | \$4,295.90 |

Practice: 108 - Feed Management Plan

Scenario: #5 - Feed Management Plan Greater Than 5000 Acres

Scenario Description:

The owner/operator of an Animal Feeding Operation (AFO) has not received a written Feed Management Plan (FeedMP) that addresses all resource concerns present on the facility. Various levels of management and conservation implementation has occurred in the operation. Little documentation of the methods of feed management used and practices installed exists, and the producer is not likely to developed a complete forage inventory or nutrient analysis. The producer may or may not have a conservation plan or a nutrient management plan. Nutrient management related resource concerns on the operation remain to be addressed through the development of a complete FeedMP including management and conservation practices for proper quantity and quality of available nutrients, feedstuffs, and/or additives fed to livestock or poultry that may be present on the operation. Present operation and feed methodology poses risk of feeding excessive amounts of nutrients in animal manure which result in negative impacts to water quality and odor resource concerns. Negative water and air quality impacts as well as farmstead safety and security issues may remain on the AFO, and inadequate recordkeeping nutrient, inspection and monitoring of the existing operation may need further improvement.

Before Situation:

Producer has no plan or limited knowledge of management of feed, nutrients, feedstuffs, or nutritional additives provided to domestic livestock and poultry. The producer currently manages feed without a plan which would address livestock production limitations and water and air quality resource concern impacts. Producer currently lacks plan to provide proper balance of forage, grains or other feeds and supplements to assure domestic animal nutritional needs are met without negatively impacting water and air quality. Producer is interested in management of feed for domestic animals to maximize profit margin, reduce costs, improve or address livestock production opportunities, and for other environmental benefits. Producer is willing to collaborate with a certified Technical Service Provider (TSP) to develop a plan, and to collect/coordinate data and records to determine current nutritional needs. Associated Practice(s): 590-Nutrient Management

After Situation:

After EQIP contract approval, participant has obtained services from a certified TSP for development of the "Feed Management" (FM) conservation activity plan (CAP). The CAP criteria requires the plan to meet quality criteria for applicable natural resource concerns and provides for opportunities to identify and implement conservation practices related to management of feed, forages, or delivery of supplements to maximize efficient feeding operations and livestock growth. The CAP plan may serve as the basis for implementation of the primary conservation practice 592-Feed Management. If applicable, the FM CAP may also be developed to complement Comprehensive Nutrient Management Plans (CNMP) or to help meet requirements of NRCS practice standard 590 – Nutrient Management. As addressed in the CAP planning criteria, the plan may include recommendations for addressing associated natural resource concerns with other conservation practices. The FM CAP meets the basic quality criteria for the 108 plan as cited in the NRCS Field Office Technical Guide.

Scenario Feature Measure: Number

Scenario Unit: Number

Scenario Typical Size: 1

Scenario Cost: \$5,523.30

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$5,523.30

Cost Details (by category):

| Component Name | ID | Component Description | Unit | Price (\$/unit) | Quantity | Cost |
|-------------------------------|------|---|------|-----------------|----------|------------|
| <i>Labor</i> | | | | | | |
| CAP Labor, range conservation | 1299 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to study, plan the use and management of rangelands to maximize their use in a sustainable manner. Range managers may inventory soils, plants, and animals; develop resource management plans; identify monitoring methods and collect data using those methods to determine if resource management objectives are being met or if adjustments to management activities are needed. For example, they may help ranchers attain optimum livestock production by determining the number and kind of animals to graze, the grazing system to use, and the best season for grazing. Cost associated with this component includes overhead and benefits (market price). | Hour | \$61.37 | 90 | \$5,523.30 |

Practice: 110 - Grazing Management Plan

Scenario: #1 - Grazing Management Plan Less Than 100 Acres

Scenario Description:

Small agricultural operation with less than 100 acres grazed land. Natural Resource Concern: Soil erosion, water quality, fish and wildlife, plant condition, and all other appropriate resource concerns.

Before Situation:

Producer has no plan or limited knowledge of management of livestock or other animals on grazed land resources. The producer currently manages animals without plan to address identified natural resource concerns. Producer is interested in management of animals to maximize profit margin, reduce costs, improve or address wildlife opportunities, and for other environmental benefit. Producer is willing to collaborate with a certified TSP to develop a plan and collect/coordinate data recording to monitor per requirements of plan. Associated Practices: In addition to the essential practices listed previously, addition practices to consider include: Channel Bank Vegetation, Prescribed Burning, Critical Area Planting, Pond, Windbreak/Shelterbelt Establishment, Silvopasture Establishment, Riparian Herbaceous Cover, Stream Habitat Improvement and Management, Pipeline, Heavy Use Area Protection, Spring Development, and Animal Trails and Walkways.

After Situation:

After EQIP contract approval, participant has obtained services from a certified TSP for develop of the "Grazing Management" conservation activity plan. The CAP criteria requires the plan to meet quality criteria for applicable resource concerns and provides for opportunities to implement essential conservation practices: Brush Management, Fencing, Firebreak, Forage Harvest Management, Grazing Land Mechanical Treatment, Herbaceous Weed Control, Nutrient Management, Forage and Biomass Planting, Prescribed Grazing, Range Planting, Access Control, and Watering Facilities. As addressed in the CAP criteria, the plan may include recommendations for associated conservation practices which address other related resource concerns. CAP meets the basic quality criteria for the 110 plan as cited in the NRCS Field Office Technical Guide.

Scenario Feature Measure: Number

Scenario Unit: Number

Scenario Typical Size: 1

Scenario Cost: \$981.92

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$981.92

Cost Details (by category):

| Component Name | ID | Component Description | Unit | Price (\$/unit) | Quantity | Cost |
|-------------------------------|------|---|------|-----------------|----------|----------|
| <i>Labor</i> | | | | | | |
| CAP Labor, range conservation | 1299 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to study, plan the use and management of rangelands to maximize their use in a sustainable manner. Range managers may inventory soils, plants, and animals; develop resource management plans; identify monitoring methods and collect data using those methods to determine if resource management objectives are being met or if adjustments to management activities are needed. For example, they may help ranchers attain optimum livestock production by determining the number and kind of animals to graze, the grazing system to use, and the best season for grazing. Cost associated with this component includes overhead and benefits (market price). | Hour | \$61.37 | 16 | \$981.92 |

Practice: 110 - Grazing Management Plan

Scenario: #2 - Grazing Management Plan 100 to Less Than 1500 Acres

Scenario Description:

Small agricultural operation with less than 1500 acres grazed land. Natural Resource Concern: Soil erosion, water quality, fish and wildlife, plant condition, and all other appropriate resource concerns.

Before Situation:

Producer has no plan or limited knowledge of management of livestock or other animals on grazed land resources. The producer currently manages animals without plan to address identified natural resource concerns. Producer is interested in management of animals to maximize profit margin, reduce costs, improve or address wildlife opportunities, and for other environmental benefit. Producer is willing to collaborate with a certified TSP to develop a plan and collect/coordinate data recording to monitor per requirements of plan. Associated Practices: In addition to the essential practices listed previously, addition practices to consider include: Channel Bank Vegetation, Prescribed Burning, Critical Area Planting, Pond, Windbreak/Shelterbelt Establishment, Silvopasture Establishment, Riparian Herbaceous Cover, Stream Habitat Improvement and Management, Pipeline, Heavy Use Area Protection, Spring Development, and Animal Trails and Walkways.

After Situation:

After EQIP contract approval, participant has obtained services from a certified TSP for develop of the "Grazing Management" conservation activity plan. The CAP criteria requires the plan to meet quality criteria for applicable resource concerns and provides for opportunities to implement essential conservation practices: Brush Management, Fencing, Firebreak, Forage Harvest Management, Grazing Land Mechanical Treatment, Herbaceous Weed Control, Nutrient Management, Forage and Biomass Planting, Prescribed Grazing, Range Planting, Access Control, and Watering Facilities. As addressed in the CAP criteria, the plan may include recommendations for associated conservation practices which address other related resource concerns. CAP meets the basic quality criteria for the 110 plan as cited in the NRCS Field Office Technical Guide.

Scenario Feature Measure: Number

Scenario Unit: Number

Scenario Typical Size: 1

Scenario Cost: \$2,577.54

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$2,577.54

Cost Details (by category):

| Component Name | ID | Component Description | Unit | Price (\$/unit) | Quantity | Cost |
|-------------------------------|------|---|------|-----------------|----------|------------|
| <i>Labor</i> | | | | | | |
| CAP Labor, range conservation | 1299 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to study, plan the use and management of rangelands to maximize their use in a sustainable manner. Range managers may inventory soils, plants, and animals; develop resource management plans; identify monitoring methods and collect data using those methods to determine if resource management objectives are being met or if adjustments to management activities are needed. For example, they may help ranchers attain optimum livestock production by determining the number and kind of animals to graze, the grazing system to use, and the best season for grazing. Cost associated with this component includes overhead and benefits (market price). | Hour | \$61.37 | 42 | \$2,577.54 |

Practice: 110 - Grazing Management Plan

Scenario: #3 - Grazing Management Plan 1500 - 5000 Acres

Scenario Description:

Small agricultural operation with 1500 to 5000 acres grazed land. Natural Resource Concern: Soil erosion, water quality, fish and wildlife, plant condition, and all other appropriate resource concerns.

Before Situation:

Producer has no plan or limited knowledge of management of livestock or other animals on grazed land resources. The producer currently manages animals without plan to address identified natural resource concerns. Producer is interested in management of animals to maximize profit margin, reduce costs, improve or address wildlife opportunities, and for other environmental benefit. Producer is willing to collaborate with a certified TSP to develop a plan and collect/coordinate data recording to monitor per requirements of plan. Associated Practices: In addition to the essential practices listed previously, addition practices to consider include: Channel Bank Vegetation, Prescribed Burning, Critical Area Planting, Pond, Windbreak/Shelterbelt Establishment, Silvopasture Establishment, Riparian Herbaceous Cover, Stream Habitat Improvement and Management, Pipeline, Heavy Use Area Protection, Spring Development, and Animal Trails and Walkways.

After Situation:

After EQIP contract approval, participant has obtained services from a certified TSP for develop of the "Grazing Management" conservation activity plan. The CAP criteria requires the plan to meet quality criteria for applicable resource concerns and provides for opportunities to implement essential conservation practices: Brush Management, Fencing, Firebreak, Forage Harvest Management, Grazing Land Mechanical Treatment, Herbaceous Weed Control, Nutrient Management, Forage and Biomass Planting, Prescribed Grazing, Range Planting, Access Control, and Watering Facilities. As addressed in the CAP criteria, the plan may include recommendations for associated conservation practices which address other related resource concerns. CAP meets the basic quality criteria for the 110 plan as cited in the NRCS Field Office Technical Guide.

Scenario Feature Measure: Number

Scenario Unit: Number

Scenario Typical Size: 1

Scenario Cost: \$4,295.90

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$4,295.90

Cost Details (by category):

| Component Name | ID | Component Description | Unit | Price (\$/unit) | Quantity | Cost |
|-------------------------------|------|---|------|-----------------|----------|------------|
| <i>Labor</i> | | | | | | |
| CAP Labor, range conservation | 1299 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to study, plan the use and management of rangelands to maximize their use in a sustainable manner. Range managers may inventory soils, plants, and animals; develop resource management plans; identify monitoring methods and collect data using those methods to determine if resource management objectives are being met or if adjustments to management activities are needed. For example, they may help ranchers attain optimum livestock production by determining the number and kind of animals to graze, the grazing system to use, and the best season for grazing. Cost associated with this component includes overhead and benefits (market price). | Hour | \$61.37 | 70 | \$4,295.90 |

Practice: 110 - Grazing Management Plan

Scenario: #5 - Grazing Management Plan Greater Than 5000 Acres

Scenario Description:

Small agricultural operation with more than 5000 acres grazed land. Natural Resource Concern: Soil erosion, water quality, fish and wildlife, plant condition, and all other appropriate resource concerns.

Before Situation:

Producer has no plan or limited knowledge of management of livestock or other animals on grazed land resources. The producer currently manages animals without plan to address identified natural resource concerns. Producer is interested in management of animals to maximize profit margin, reduce costs, improve or address wildlife opportunities, and for other environmental benefit. Producer is willing to collaborate with a certified TSP to develop a plan and collect/coordinate data recording to monitor per requirements of plan. Associated Practices: In addition to the essential practices listed previously, addition practices to consider include: Channel Bank Vegetation, Prescribed Burning, Critical Area Planting, Pond, Windbreak/Shelterbelt Establishment, Silvopasture Establishment, Riparian Herbaceous Cover, Stream Habitat Improvement and Management, Pipeline, Heavy Use Area Protection, Spring Development, and Animal Trails and Walkways.

After Situation:

After EQIP contract approval, participant has obtained services from a certified TSP for develop of the "Grazing Management" conservation activity plan. The CAP criteria requires the plan to meet quality criteria for applicable resource concerns and provides for opportunities to implement essential conservation practices: Brush Management, Fencing, Firebreak, Forage Harvest Management, Grazing Land Mechanical Treatment, Herbaceous Weed Control, Nutrient Management, Forage and Biomass Planting, Prescribed Grazing, Range Planting, Access Control, and Watering Facilities. As addressed in the CAP criteria, the plan may include recommendations for associated conservation practices which address other related resource concerns. CAP meets the basic quality criteria for the 110 plan as cited in the NRCS Field Office Technical Guide.

Scenario Feature Measure: Number

Scenario Unit: Number

Scenario Typical Size: 1

Scenario Cost: \$5,523.30

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$5,523.30

Cost Details (by category):

| Component Name | ID | Component Description | Unit | Price (\$/unit) | Quantity | Cost |
|-------------------------------|------|---|------|-----------------|----------|------------|
| <i>Labor</i> | | | | | | |
| CAP Labor, range conservation | 1299 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to study, plan the use and management of rangelands to maximize their use in a sustainable manner. Range managers may inventory soils, plants, and animals; develop resource management plans; identify monitoring methods and collect data using those methods to determine if resource management objectives are being met or if adjustments to management activities are needed. For example, they may help ranchers attain optimum livestock production by determining the number and kind of animals to graze, the grazing system to use, and the best season for grazing. Cost associated with this component includes overhead and benefits (market price). | Hour | \$61.37 | 90 | \$5,523.30 |

Practice: 112 - Prescribed Burning Management Plan

Scenario: #1 - Prescribed Burning Plan Less Than or Equal to 20 Acres

Scenario Description:

Non Industrial Private Forest Land, Pasture or Range Land typically less than or equal to 20 acres in size and is dominated by fire tolerant species that are competing with undesirable vegetation and accumulating fuel load. Natural Resource Concern: Fish and Wildlife; Soil Erosion; Soil Condition; Water Quality; Plant Condition.

Before Situation:

Producer has no existing plan or an obsolete plan that is insufficient for current stand condition. Due to the size, landscape position, low to moderate fuel loads and presence of both natural firebreaks (i.e. – streams, lakes, etc) and man-made firebreaks (i.e. – roads, farm paths, agricultural fields, etc), few newly constructed firebreaks are needed to implement the prescribed burn. A Prescribed Burning Plan or Conservation Activity Plan is needed to enable the producer to apply for financial assistance through EQIP or other financial assistance programs in order to implement needed conservation practices. Associated Practices: 394, 383, 384, 528, 314, 315, 550, 644, 645, 659, 342, 647, 460, 643, 666, 595

After Situation:

After EQIP contract approval, participant has obtained services from a certified Technical Service Provider (TSP) for development of the “Prescribed Burning Plan” Conservation Activity Plan (CAP). The CAP criteria require the plan to identify approved Field Office Technical Guide conservation practices where needed to address identified resource concerns. The Prescribed Burning Plan CAP is not considered a Forest Management Plan, a Reforestation Plan, a Forest Harvest Plan, or a Prescribed Grazing Plan, but should complement the needs of those plans if they exist and if desired by the decision maker. The CAP plan will fully describe all aspects of the prescribed burn including, but not limited to objectives of the burn (i.e. - site preparation, wildlife habitat, etc), site conditions (i.e. – fuel load, fuel type, etc), implementation strategies (i.e. – method of ignition, number of persons required, equipment needs, etc), tolerable weather parameters (i.e. – wind direction, relative humidity, mixing height, etc) and identification of Smoke Sensitive Areas. Additional CAP plan criteria are detailed in the Field Office Technical Guide and potentially state developed technical criteria.

Scenario Feature Measure: Number

Scenario Unit: Number

Scenario Typical Size: 1

Scenario Cost: \$376.10

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$376.10

Cost Details (by category):

| Component Name | ID | Component Description | Unit | Price (\$/unit) | Quantity | Cost |
|---------------------|------|--|------|-----------------|----------|----------|
| <i>Labor</i> | | | | | | |
| CAP Labor, forester | 1302 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to manage nonindustrial private forest lands for conservation, economic, and recreational purposes. Will inventory the type, amount, and location of standing timber and appraise the timber's condition. Will determine how to conserve wildlife habitats, improve water quality, and soil stability, and how best to comply with environmental regulations. May devise plans for planting and growing new trees, monitor trees for healthy growth, and determine optimal thinning schedules. Cost associated with this component includes overhead and benefits (market price). | Hour | \$75.22 | 5 | \$376.10 |

Practice: 112 - Prescribed Burning Management Plan

Scenario: #2 - Prescribed Burning Plan 21-100 Acres

Scenario Description:

Non Industrial Private Forest Land, Pasture or Range Land typically 21 to 100 acres in size and is dominated by fire tolerant species that are competing with undesirable vegetation and accumulating fuel load. Natural Resource Concern: Fish and Wildlife; Soil Erosion; Soil Condition; Water Quality; Plant Condition.

Before Situation:

Producer has no existing plan or an obsolete plan that is insufficient for current stand condition. Due to the size, landscape position, low to moderate fuel loads and presence of both natural firebreaks (i.e. – streams, lakes, etc) and man-made firebreaks (i.e. – roads, farm paths, agricultural fields, etc), few newly constructed firebreaks are needed to implement the prescribed burn. A Prescribed Burning Plan or Conservation Activity Plan is needed to enable the producer to apply for financial assistance through EQIP or other financial assistance programs in order to implement needed conservation practices. Associated Practices: 394, 383, 384, 528, 314, 315, 550, 644, 645, 659, 342, 647, 460, 643, 666, 595

After Situation:

After EQIP contract approval, participant has obtained services from a certified Technical Service Provider (TSP) for development of the “Prescribed Burning Plan” Conservation Activity Plan (CAP). The CAP criteria require the plan to identify approved Field Office Technical Guide conservation practices where needed to address identified resource concerns. The Prescribed Burning Plan CAP is not considered a Forest Management Plan, a Reforestation Plan, a Forest Harvest Plan, or a Prescribed Grazing Plan, but should complement the needs of those plans if they exist and if desired by the decision maker. The CAP plan will fully describe all aspects of the prescribed burn including, but not limited to objectives of the burn (i.e. - site preparation, wildlife habitat, etc), site conditions (i.e. – fuel load, fuel type, etc), implementation strategies (i.e. – method of ignition, number of persons required, equipment needs, etc), tolerable weather parameters (i.e. – wind direction, relative humidity, mixing height, etc) and identification of Smoke Sensitive Areas. Additional CAP plan criteria are detailed in the Field Office Technical Guide and potentially state developed technical criteria.

Scenario Feature Measure: Number

Scenario Unit: Number

Scenario Typical Size: 1

Scenario Cost: \$601.76

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$601.76

Cost Details (by category):

| Component Name | ID | Component Description | Unit | Price (\$/unit) | Quantity | Cost |
|---------------------|------|--|------|-----------------|----------|----------|
| <i>Labor</i> | | | | | | |
| CAP Labor, forester | 1302 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to manage nonindustrial private forest lands for conservation, economic, and recreational purposes. Will inventory the type, amount, and location of standing timber and appraise the timber's condition. Will determine how to conserve wildlife habitats, improve water quality, and soil stability, and how best to comply with environmental regulations. May devise plans for planting and growing new trees, monitor trees for healthy growth, and determine optimal thinning schedules. Cost associated with this component includes overhead and benefits (market price). | Hour | \$75.22 | 8 | \$601.76 |

Practice: 112 - Prescribed Burning Management Plan

Scenario: #3 - Prescribed Burning Plan 101-250 Acres

Scenario Description:

Non Industrial Private Forest Land, Pasture or Range Land typically 101 to 250 acres in size and is dominated by fire tolerant species that are competing with undesirable vegetation and accumulating fuel load. Natural Resource Concern: Fish and Wildlife; Soil Erosion; Soil Condition; Water Quality; Plant Condition.

Before Situation:

Producer has no existing plan or an obsolete plan that is insufficient for current stand condition. Due to the size, landscape position, low to moderate fuel loads and presence of both natural firebreaks (i.e. – streams, lakes, etc) and man-made firebreaks (i.e. – roads, farm paths, agricultural fields, etc), few newly constructed firebreaks are needed to implement the prescribed burn. A Prescribed Burning Plan or Conservation Activity Plan is needed to enable the producer to apply for financial assistance through EQIP or other financial assistance programs in order to implement needed conservation practices. Associated Practices: 394, 383, 384, 528, 314, 315, 550, 644, 645, 659, 342, 647, 460, 643, 666, 595

After Situation:

After EQIP contract approval, participant has obtained services from a certified Technical Service Provider (TSP) for development of the “Prescribed Burning Plan” Conservation Activity Plan (CAP). The CAP criteria require the plan to identify approved Field Office Technical Guide conservation practices where needed to address identified resource concerns. The Prescribed Burning Plan CAP is not considered a Forest Management Plan, a Reforestation Plan, a Forest Harvest Plan, or a Prescribed Grazing Plan, but should complement the needs of those plans if they exist and if desired by the decision maker. The CAP plan will fully describe all aspects of the prescribed burn including, but not limited to objectives of the burn (i.e. - site preparation, wildlife habitat, etc), site conditions (i.e. – fuel load, fuel type, etc), implementation strategies (i.e. – method of ignition, number of persons required, equipment needs, etc), tolerable weather parameters (i.e. – wind direction, relative humidity, mixing height, etc) and identification of Smoke Sensitive Areas. Additional CAP plan criteria are detailed in the Field Office Technical Guide and potentially state developed technical criteria.

Scenario Feature Measure: Number

Scenario Unit: Number

Scenario Typical Size: 1

Scenario Cost: \$902.64

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$902.64

Cost Details (by category):

| Component Name | ID | Component Description | Unit | Price (\$/unit) | Quantity | Cost |
|---------------------|------|--|------|-----------------|----------|----------|
| <i>Labor</i> | | | | | | |
| CAP Labor, forester | 1302 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to manage nonindustrial private forest lands for conservation, economic, and recreational purposes. Will inventory the type, amount, and location of standing timber and appraise the timber's condition. Will determine how to conserve wildlife habitats, improve water quality, and soil stability, and how best to comply with environmental regulations. May devise plans for planting and growing new trees, monitor trees for healthy growth, and determine optimal thinning schedules. Cost associated with this component includes overhead and benefits (market price). | Hour | \$75.22 | 12 | \$902.64 |

Practice: 112 - Prescribed Burning Management Plan

Scenario: #4 - Prescribed Burning Plan 251-500 Acres

Scenario Description:

Non Industrial Private Forest Land, Pasture or Range Land typically 251 to 500 acres in size and is dominated by fire tolerant species that are competing with undesirable vegetation and accumulating fuel load. Natural Resource Concern: Fish and Wildlife; Soil Erosion; Soil Condition; Water Quality; Plant Condition.

Before Situation:

Producer has no existing plan or an obsolete plan that is insufficient for current stand condition. Due to the size, landscape position, low to moderate fuel loads and presence of both natural firebreaks (i.e. – streams, lakes, etc) and man-made firebreaks (i.e. – roads, farm paths, agricultural fields, etc), few newly constructed firebreaks are needed to implement the prescribed burn. A Prescribed Burning Plan or Conservation Activity Plan is needed to enable the producer to apply for financial assistance through EQIP or other financial assistance programs in order to implement needed conservation practices. Associated Practices: 394, 383, 384, 528, 314, 315, 550, 644, 645, 659, 342, 647, 460, 643, 666, 595

After Situation:

After EQIP contract approval, participant has obtained services from a certified Technical Service Provider (TSP) for development of the “Prescribed Burning Plan” Conservation Activity Plan (CAP). The CAP criteria require the plan to identify approved Field Office Technical Guide conservation practices where needed to address identified resource concerns. The Prescribed Burning Plan CAP is not considered a Forest Management Plan, a Reforestation Plan, a Forest Harvest Plan, or a Prescribed Grazing Plan, but should complement the needs of those plans if they exist and if desired by the decision maker. The CAP plan will fully describe all aspects of the prescribed burn including, but not limited to objectives of the burn (i.e. - site preparation, wildlife habitat, etc), site conditions (i.e. – fuel load, fuel type, etc), implementation strategies (i.e. – method of ignition, number of persons required, equipment needs, etc), tolerable weather parameters (i.e. – wind direction, relative humidity, mixing height, etc) and identification of Smoke Sensitive Areas. Additional CAP plan criteria are detailed in the Field Office Technical Guide and potentially state developed technical criteria.

Scenario Feature Measure: Number

Scenario Unit: Number

Scenario Typical Size: 1

Scenario Cost: \$1,203.52

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$1,203.52

Cost Details (by category):

| Component Name | ID | Component Description | Unit | Price (\$/unit) | Quantity | Cost |
|---------------------|------|--|------|-----------------|----------|------------|
| <i>Labor</i> | | | | | | |
| CAP Labor, forester | 1302 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to manage nonindustrial private forest lands for conservation, economic, and recreational purposes. Will inventory the type, amount, and location of standing timber and appraise the timber's condition. Will determine how to conserve wildlife habitats, improve water quality, and soil stability, and how best to comply with environmental regulations. May devise plans for planting and growing new trees, monitor trees for healthy growth, and determine optimal thinning schedules. Cost associated with this component includes overhead and benefits (market price). | Hour | \$75.22 | 16 | \$1,203.52 |

Practice: 112 - Prescribed Burning Management Plan

Scenario: #5 - Prescribed Burning Plan 501-1000 Acres

Scenario Description:

Non Industrial Private Forest Land, Pasture or Range Land typically 501 to 1000 acres in size and is dominated by fire tolerant species that are competing with undesirable vegetation and accumulating fuel load. Natural Resource Concern: Fish and Wildlife; Soil Erosion; Soil Condition; Water Quality; Plant Condition.

Before Situation:

Producer has no existing plan or an obsolete plan that is insufficient for current stand condition. Due to the size, landscape position, low to moderate fuel loads and presence of both natural firebreaks (i.e. – streams, lakes, etc) and man-made firebreaks (i.e. – roads, farm paths, agricultural fields, etc), few newly constructed firebreaks are needed to implement the prescribed burn. A Prescribed Burning Plan or Conservation Activity Plan is needed to enable the producer to apply for financial assistance through EQIP or other financial assistance programs in order to implement needed conservation practices. Associated Practices: 394, 383, 384, 528, 314, 315, 550, 644, 645, 659, 342, 647, 460, 643, 666, 595

After Situation:

After EQIP contract approval, participant has obtained services from a certified Technical Service Provider (TSP) for development of the “Prescribed Burning Plan” Conservation Activity Plan (CAP). The CAP criteria require the plan to identify approved Field Office Technical Guide conservation practices where needed to address identified resource concerns. The Prescribed Burning Plan CAP is not considered a Forest Management Plan, a Reforestation Plan, a Forest Harvest Plan, or a Prescribed Grazing Plan, but should complement the needs of those plans if they exist and if desired by the decision maker. The CAP plan will fully describe all aspects of the prescribed burn including, but not limited to objectives of the burn (i.e. - site preparation, wildlife habitat, etc), site conditions (i.e. – fuel load, fuel type, etc), implementation strategies (i.e. – method of ignition, number of persons required, equipment needs, etc), tolerable weather parameters (i.e. – wind direction, relative humidity, mixing height, etc) and identification of Smoke Sensitive Areas. Additional CAP plan criteria are detailed in the Field Office Technical Guide and potentially state developed technical criteria.

Scenario Feature Measure: Number

Scenario Unit: Number

Scenario Typical Size: 1

Scenario Cost: \$1,504.40

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$1,504.40

Cost Details (by category):

| Component Name | ID | Component Description | Unit | Price (\$/unit) | Quantity | Cost |
|---------------------|------|--|------|-----------------|----------|------------|
| <i>Labor</i> | | | | | | |
| CAP Labor, forester | 1302 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to manage nonindustrial private forest lands for conservation, economic, and recreational purposes. Will inventory the type, amount, and location of standing timber and appraise the timber's condition. Will determine how to conserve wildlife habitats, improve water quality, and soil stability, and how best to comply with environmental regulations. May devise plans for planting and growing new trees, monitor trees for healthy growth, and determine optimal thinning schedules. Cost associated with this component includes overhead and benefits (market price). | Hour | \$75.22 | 20 | \$1,504.40 |

Practice: 112 - Prescribed Burning Management Plan

Scenario: #6 - Prescribed Burning Plan Greater Than 1000 Acres

Scenario Description:

Non Industrial Private Forest Land, Pasture or Range Land typically greater than 1000 acres in size and is dominated by fire tolerant species that are competing with undesirable vegetation and accumulating fuel load. Natural Resource Concern: Fish and Wildlife; Soil Erosion; Soil Condition; Water Quality; Plant Condition.

Before Situation:

Producer has no existing plan or an obsolete plan that is insufficient for current stand condition. Due to the size, landscape position, low to moderate fuel loads and presence of both natural firebreaks (i.e. – streams, lakes, etc) and man-made firebreaks (i.e. – roads, farm paths, agricultural fields, etc), few newly constructed firebreaks are needed to implement the prescribed burn. A Prescribed Burning Plan or Conservation Activity Plan is needed to enable the producer to apply for financial assistance through EQIP or other financial assistance programs in order to implement needed conservation practices. Associated Practices: 394, 383, 384, 528, 314, 315, 550, 644, 645, 659, 342, 647, 460, 643, 666, 595

After Situation:

After EQIP contract approval, participant has obtained services from a certified Technical Service Provider (TSP) for development of the “Prescribed Burning Plan” Conservation Activity Plan (CAP). The CAP criteria require the plan to identify approved Field Office Technical Guide conservation practices where needed to address identified resource concerns. The Prescribed Burning Plan CAP is not considered a Forest Management Plan, a Reforestation Plan, a Forest Harvest Plan, or a Prescribed Grazing Plan, but should complement the needs of those plans if they exist and if desired by the decision maker. The CAP plan will fully describe all aspects of the prescribed burn including, but not limited to objectives of the burn (i.e. - site preparation, wildlife habitat, etc), site conditions (i.e. – fuel load, fuel type, etc), implementation strategies (i.e. – method of ignition, number of persons required, equipment needs, etc), tolerable weather parameters (i.e. – wind direction, relative humidity, mixing height, etc) and identification of Smoke Sensitive Areas. Additional CAP plan criteria are detailed in the Field Office Technical Guide and potentially state developed technical criteria.

Scenario Feature Measure: Number

Scenario Unit: Number

Scenario Typical Size: 1

Scenario Cost: \$1,805.28

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$1,805.28

Cost Details (by category):

| Component Name | ID | Component Description | Unit | Price (\$/unit) | Quantity | Cost |
|---------------------|------|--|------|-----------------|----------|------------|
| <i>Labor</i> | | | | | | |
| CAP Labor, forester | 1302 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to manage nonindustrial private forest lands for conservation, economic, and recreational purposes. Will inventory the type, amount, and location of standing timber and appraise the timber's condition. Will determine how to conserve wildlife habitats, improve water quality, and soil stability, and how best to comply with environmental regulations. May devise plans for planting and growing new trees, monitor trees for healthy growth, and determine optimal thinning schedules. Cost associated with this component includes overhead and benefits (market price). | Hour | \$75.22 | 24 | \$1,805.28 |

Practice: 114 - Integrated Pest Management Plan

Scenario: #1 - IPM Management CAP Small-Specialty Less Than 50 Acres

Scenario Description:

Various on-farm land uses where pests are managed on smaller operations, including organic and specialty crop operations where more complicated pest management evaluations and solutions may be necessary. Current pest control activities cause environmental concerns with water quality and/or erosion. Natural Resource Concern: Water quality and all other appropriate resource concerns.

Before Situation:

Agricultural currently producer has no plan or limited knowledge of development or management of agricultural pests. The producer currently manages pests based upon pesticide label instructions, personal knowledge, or other local criteria. Producer is interested in management of pests and reduce the environmental impacts for environmental benefit. Producer is willing to collaborate with a certified TSP to develop a plan and collect/coordinate data recording to monitor per requirements of plan. Associated Practices: Integrated Pest Management, Crop Rotation, Cover Crop, Field Boarder, Filter Strip, Stripcropping, and Residue and Tillage management practices, or other application conservation practices cited tin the NRCS Field Office Technical Guide.

After Situation:

After EQIP contract approval, participant has obtained services from a certified TSP for development of the "Integrated Pest Management" conservation activity plan. The CAP criteria requires the plan to meet quality criteria for applicable resource concerns and provides for opportunities to utilize the following strategies: Prevention, Avoidance, Monitoring, and Suppression, which will be implemented through use of "Integrated Pest Management and may use one or more conservation practices and/or risk reduction strategies. The CAP plan may include recommendations for associated conservation practices which address other related resource concerns. CAP meets the basic quality criteria for the 114 plan as cited in the NRCS Field Office Technical Guide.

Scenario Feature Measure: Number

Scenario Unit: Number

Scenario Typical Size: 1

Scenario Cost: \$1,962.50

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$1,962.50

Cost Details (by category):

| Component Name | ID | Component Description | Unit | Price (\$/unit) | Quantity | Cost |
|-----------------------|------|---|------|-----------------|----------|------------|
| <i>Labor</i> | | | | | | |
| CAP Labor, agronomist | 1295 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to conduct research in breeding, physiology, production, yield, and management of crops and agricultural plants or trees, shrubs, and nursery stock, their growth in soils, and control of pests; or study the chemical, physical, biological, and mineralogical composition of soils as they relate to plant or crop growth. May classify and map soils and investigate effects of alternative practices on soil and crop productivity. May provide on-site consulting services to help growers troubleshoot nutrient and pest problems, establish appropriate agronomic sampling programs and implement management recommendations in a cost-effective and environmentally sound manner. | Hour | \$78.50 | 25 | \$1,962.50 |

Practice: 114 - Integrated Pest Management Plan

Scenario: #2 - IPM Management CAP Medium 51 - 250 Acres

Scenario Description:

Various on-farm land uses where pests are managed on a moderately-sized farm where IPM is to be applied. Current pest control activities cause environmental concerns with water quality and/or erosion. Natural Resource Concern: Water quality and all other appropriate resource concerns.

Before Situation:

Agricultural currently producer has no plan or limited knowledge of development or management of agricultural pests. The producer currently manages pests based upon pesticide label instructions, personal knowledge, or other local criteria. Producer is interested in management of pests and reduce the environmental impacts for environmental benefit. Producer is willing to collaborate with a certified TSP to develop a plan and collect/coordinate data recording to monitor per requirements of plan. Associated Practices: Integrated Pest Management, Crop Rotation, Cover Crop, Field Boarder, Filter Strip, Stripcropping, and Residue and Tillage management practices, or other application conservation practices cited tin the NRCS Field Office Technical Guide.

After Situation:

After EQIP contract approval, participant has obtained services from a certified TSP for development of the "Integrated Pest Management" conservation activity plan. The CAP criteria requires the plan to meet quality criteria for applicable resource concerns and provides for opportunities to utilize the following strategies: Prevention, Avoidance, Monitoring, and Suppression, which will be implemented through use of "Integrated Pest Management and may use one or more conservation practices and/or risk reduction strategies. The CAP plan may include recommendations for associated conservation practices which address other related resource concerns. CAP meets the basic quality criteria for the 114 plan as cited in the NRCS Field Office Technical Guide.

Scenario Feature Measure: Number

Scenario Unit: Number

Scenario Typical Size: 1

Scenario Cost: \$2,512.00

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$2,512.00

Cost Details (by category):

| Component Name | ID | Component Description | Unit | Price (\$/unit) | Quantity | Cost |
|-----------------------|------|---|------|-----------------|----------|------------|
| <i>Labor</i> | | | | | | |
| CAP Labor, agronomist | 1295 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to conduct research in breeding, physiology, production, yield, and management of crops and agricultural plants or trees, shrubs, and nursery stock, their growth in soils, and control of pests; or study the chemical, physical, biological, and mineralogical composition of soils as they relate to plant or crop growth. May classify and map soils and investigate effects of alternative practices on soil and crop productivity. May provide on-site consulting services to help growers troubleshoot nutrient and pest problems, establish appropriate agronomic sampling programs and implement management recommendations in a cost-effective and environmentally sound manner. | Hour | \$78.50 | 32 | \$2,512.00 |

Practice: 114 - Integrated Pest Management Plan

Scenario: #3 - IPM Management CAP Large - Greater Than 250 Acres

Scenario Description:

Various on-farm land uses where pests are managed on a larger farm where IPM strategies are to be applied. Current pest control activities cause environmental concerns with water quality and/or erosion. Natural Resource Concern: Water quality and all other appropriate resource concerns.

Before Situation:

Agricultural currently producer has no plan or limited knowledge of development or management of agricultural pests. The producer currently manages pests based upon pesticide label instructions, personal knowledge, or other local criteria. Producer is interested in management of pests and reduce the environmental impacts for environmental benefit. Producer is willing to collaborate with a certified TSP to develop a plan and collect/coordinate data recording to monitor per requirements of plan. Associated Practices: Integrated Pest Management, Crop Rotation, Cover Crop, Field Boarder, Filter Strip, Stripcropping, and Residue and Tillage management practices, or other application conservation practices cited tin the NRCS Field Office Technical Guide.

After Situation:

After EQIP contract approval, participant has obtained services from a certified TSP for development of the "Integrated Pest Management" conservation activity plan. The CAP criteria requires the plan to meet quality criteria for applicable resource concerns and provides for opportunities to utilize the following strategies: Prevention, Avoidance, Monitoring, and Suppression, which will be implemented through use of "Integrated Pest Management and may use one or more conservation practices and/or risk reduction strategies. The CAP plan may include recommendations for associated conservation practices which address other related resource concerns. CAP meets the basic quality criteria for the 114 plan as cited in the NRCS Field Office Technical Guide.

Scenario Feature Measure: Number

Scenario Unit: Number

Scenario Typical Size: 1

Scenario Cost: \$3,925.00

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$3,925.00

Cost Details (by category):

| Component Name | ID | Component Description | Unit | Price (\$/unit) | Quantity | Cost |
|-----------------------|------|---|------|-----------------|----------|------------|
| <i>Labor</i> | | | | | | |
| CAP Labor, agronomist | 1295 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to conduct research in breeding, physiology, production, yield, and management of crops and agricultural plants or trees, shrubs, and nursery stock, their growth in soils, and control of pests; or study the chemical, physical, biological, and mineralogical composition of soils as they relate to plant or crop growth. May classify and map soils and investigate effects of alternative practices on soil and crop productivity. May provide on-site consulting services to help growers troubleshoot nutrient and pest problems, establish appropriate agronomic sampling programs and implement management recommendations in a cost-effective and environmentally sound manner. | Hour | \$78.50 | 50 | \$3,925.00 |

Practice: 118 - Irrigation Water Management Plan

Scenario: #1 - Irrigation Water Management Conservation Activity Plan CAP

Scenario Description:

Agricultural operations supported with existing irrigation systems. Natural Resource Concern: Water quantity and all other appropriate resource concerns.

Before Situation:

Currently producer has no plan or limited knowledge for management of water application to meet crop needs and address identified resource concerns. The producer currently manages water application based upon personal knowledge, or other local criteria. Producer is interested in management of irrigation water to maximize yields, profit margin, reduce costs, and for environmental benefit. Producer is willing to collaborate with a certified TSP to develop a plan and collect/coordinate data recording to monitor per requirements of plan. Associated Practices: Irrigation Water Management (449); Irrigation System (442); Irrigation System, Surface & Subsurface (443); Irrigation Pipeline (430); Irrigation Ditch Lining (428); Irrigation Field Ditch (388); Irrigation Canal or Lateral (320); Structure for Water Control (587); Irrigation Reservoir (436); Irrigation System, Tailwater Recovery (447); Pumping Plant (533); Irrigation Land Leveling (464); Anionic Polyacrylamide (PM) Application (450); Salinity and Sodic Soil Management (590); Nutrient Management (590); Waste Utilization (633); or other applicable conservation practices in the NRCS Field Office Technical Guide.

After Situation:

After EQIP contract approval, participant has obtained services from a certified TSP for development of the "Irrigation Water Management" conservation activity plan to control the volume, frequency, and rate of water for efficient irrigation and to address other resource concerns. The CAP criteria requires the plan to meet quality criteria for applicable resource concerns. The CAP plan may include recommendations for associated conservation practices which address other related resource concerns. CAP meets the basic quality criteria for the 118 plan as cited in the NRCS Field Office Technical Guide.

Scenario Feature Measure: Number

Scenario Unit: Number

Scenario Typical Size: 1

Scenario Cost: \$2,818.55

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$2,818.55

Cost Details (by category):

| Component Name | ID | Component Description | Unit | Price (\$/unit) | Quantity | Cost |
|----------------------------------|------|---|------|-----------------|----------|------------|
| <i>Labor</i> | | | | | | |
| CAP Labor, professional engineer | 1297 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to apply knowledge of engineering technology and biological science to agricultural problems concerned with power and machinery, electrification, structures, soil and water conservation, and processing of agricultural products. Cost associated with this component includes overhead and benefits (market price). | Hour | \$80.53 | 35 | \$2,818.55 |

Practice: 122 - Agricultural Energy Management Plan-Headquarters (AgEMP)

Scenario: #1 - AgEMP Livestock Small Less Than 70 AU

Scenario Description:

Typical livestock operation has < 70 AU. Natural Resource Concern: Energy Conservation

Before Situation:

Agricultural producer currently has minimal knowledge of and no plan for energy conservation. Producer currently manages a small livestock operation with < 70 AU. Producer is willing to collaborate with a certified TSP to develop an AgEMP 122 CAP. The AgEMP is a grouping of conservation measures and management activities which, when implemented as part of a conservation system, will help to ensure that both production and natural resource protection goals are achieved. An AgEMP incorporates recommended measures to maximize energy conservation and efficiency. An EMP is developed to assist an owner/operator in meeting all applicable local, tribal, State, and Federal water quality goals or regulations. Associated Practices: 124 Agricultural Energy Management Plan - Landscape CAP, 374 Farmstead Energy Improvement, or other applicable practices approved in the NRCS Field Office Technical Guide.

After Situation:

After EQIP contract approval, participant has obtained services from a certified TSP for development of the "Agricultural Energy Management - Headquarters" conservation activity plan. The CAP criteria requires the plan to meet quality criteria for energy conservation and efficiency. The CAP plan may include recommendations for associated conservation practices which address energy conservation. The CAP meets the basic quality criteria for the 122 plan as cited in the NRCS Field Office Technical Guide.

Scenario Feature Measure: Number

Scenario Unit: Number

Scenario Typical Size: 1

Scenario Cost: \$1,617.10

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$1,617.10

Cost Details (by category):

| Component Name | ID | Component Description | Unit | Price (\$/unit) | Quantity | Cost |
|-------------------------------------|------|---|------|-----------------|----------|----------|
| <i>Labor</i> | | | | | | |
| CAP Labor, Energy Auditor | 1740 | Conservation Activity Plan labor involving analyzing energy efficient measures and conducting energy audits of industrial areas and facilities. | Hour | \$44.30 | 8 | \$354.40 |
| CAP Labor, Manager | 1603 | Conservation Activity Plan labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hour | \$47.28 | 14 | \$661.92 |
| CAP Labor, professional engineer | 1297 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to apply knowledge of engineering technology and biological science to agricultural problems concerned with power and machinery, electrification, structures, soil and water conservation, and processing of agricultural products. Cost associated with this component includes overhead and benefits (market price). | Hour | \$80.53 | 7 | \$563.71 |
| CAP Labor, Administrative Assistant | 1739 | Conservation Activity Plan labor involving routine clerical and administrative functions such as drafting correspondence, scheduling appointments, organizing and maintaining paper and electronic files, or providing information to callers. | Hour | \$37.07 | 1 | \$37.07 |

Practice: 122 - Agricultural Energy Management Plan-Headquarters (AgEMP)

Scenario: #2 - AgEMP Livestock Medium 70 - 300 AU

Scenario Description:

Typical livestock operation has 70 - 300 AU. Natural Resource Concern: Energy Conservation

Before Situation:

Agricultural producer currently has minimal knowledge of and no plan for energy conservation. Producer currently manages a small livestock operation with 70-300 AU. Producer is willing to collaborate with a certified TSP to develop an AgEMP 122 CAP. The AgEMP is a grouping of conservation measures and management activities which, when implemented as part of a conservation system, will help to ensure that both production and natural resource protection goals are achieved. An AgEMP incorporates recommended measures to maximize energy conservation and efficiency. An EMP is developed to assist an owner/operator in meeting all applicable local, tribal, State, and Federal water quality goals or regulations. Associated Practices: 124 Agricultural Energy Management Plan - Landscape CAP, 374 Farmstead Energy Improvement, or other applicable practices approved in the NRCS Field Office Technical Guide.

After Situation:

After EQIP contract approval, participant has obtained services from a certified TSP for development of the "Agricultural Energy Management - Headquarters" conservation activity plan. The CAP criteria requires the plan to meet quality criteria for energy conservation and efficiency. The CAP plan may include recommendations for associated conservation practices which address energy conservation. The CAP meets the basic quality criteria for the 122 plan as cited in the NRCS Field Office Technical Guide.

Scenario Feature Measure: Number

Scenario Unit: Number

Scenario Typical Size: 1

Scenario Cost: \$2,115.74

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$2,115.74

Cost Details (by category):

| Component Name | ID | Component Description | Unit | Price (\$/unit) | Quantity | Cost |
|-------------------------------------|------|---|------|-----------------|----------|----------|
| <i>Labor</i> | | | | | | |
| CAP Labor, Administrative Assistant | 1739 | Conservation Activity Plan labor involving routine clerical and administrative functions such as drafting correspondence, scheduling appointments, organizing and maintaining paper and electronic files, or providing information to callers. | Hour | \$37.07 | 1.5 | \$55.61 |
| CAP Labor, Energy Auditor | 1740 | Conservation Activity Plan labor involving analyzing energy efficient measures and conducting energy audits of industrial areas and facilities. | Hour | \$44.30 | 12 | \$531.60 |
| CAP Labor, Manager | 1603 | Conservation Activity Plan labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hour | \$47.28 | 17 | \$803.76 |
| CAP Labor, professional engineer | 1297 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to apply knowledge of engineering technology and biological science to agricultural problems concerned with power and machinery, electrification, structures, soil and water conservation, and processing of agricultural products. Cost associated with this component includes overhead and benefits (market price). | Hour | \$80.53 | 9 | \$724.77 |

Practice: 122 - Agricultural Energy Management Plan-Headquarters (AgEMP)

Scenario: #3 - AgEMP Livestock Large 301 - 2500 AU

Scenario Description:

Typical livestock operation has 301 - 2,500 AU. Natural Resource Concern: Energy Conservation

Before Situation:

Agricultural producer currently has minimal knowledge of and no plan for energy conservation. Producer currently manages a small livestock operation with 301-2,500 AU. Producer is willing to collaborate with a certified TSP to develop an AgEMP 122 CAP. The AgEMP is a grouping of conservation measures and management activities which, when implemented as part of a conservation system, will help to ensure that both production and natural resource protection goals are achieved. An AgEMP incorporates recommended measures to maximize energy conservation and efficiency. An EMP is developed to assist an owner/operator in meeting all applicable local, tribal, State, and Federal water quality goals or regulations. Associated Practices: 124 Agricultural Energy Management Plan - Landscape CAP, 374 Farmstead Energy Improvement, or other applicable practices approved in the NRCS Field Office Technical Guide.

After Situation:

After EQIP contract approval, participant has obtained services from a certified TSP for development of the "Agricultural Energy Management - Headquarters" conservation activity plan. The CAP criteria requires the plan to meet quality criteria for energy conservation and efficiency. The CAP plan may include recommendations for associated conservation practices which address energy conservation. The CAP meets the basic quality criteria for the 122 plan as cited in the NRCS Field Office Technical Guide.

Scenario Feature Measure: Number

Scenario Unit: Number

Scenario Typical Size: 1

Scenario Cost: \$2,600.34

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$2,600.34

Cost Details (by category):

| Component Name | ID | Component Description | Unit | Price (\$/unit) | Quantity | Cost |
|-------------------------------------|------|---|------|-----------------|----------|----------|
| <i>Labor</i> | | | | | | |
| CAP Labor, Administrative Assistant | 1739 | Conservation Activity Plan labor involving routine clerical and administrative functions such as drafting correspondence, scheduling appointments, organizing and maintaining paper and electronic files, or providing information to callers. | Hour | \$37.07 | 2 | \$74.14 |
| CAP Labor, professional engineer | 1297 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to apply knowledge of engineering technology and biological science to agricultural problems concerned with power and machinery, electrification, structures, soil and water conservation, and processing of agricultural products. Cost associated with this component includes overhead and benefits (market price). | Hour | \$80.53 | 12 | \$966.36 |
| CAP Labor, Energy Auditor | 1740 | Conservation Activity Plan labor involving analyzing energy efficient measures and conducting energy audits of industrial areas and facilities. | Hour | \$44.30 | 16 | \$708.80 |
| CAP Labor, Manager | 1603 | Conservation Activity Plan labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hour | \$47.28 | 18 | \$851.04 |

Practice: 122 - Agricultural Energy Management Plan-Headquarters (AgEMP)

Scenario: #4 - AgEMP Livestock Large Greater Than 2500 AU

Scenario Description:

Typical livestock operation has > 2,500 AU. Natural Resource Concern: Energy Conservation

Before Situation:

Agricultural producer currently has minimal knowledge of and no plan for energy conservation. Producer currently manages a small livestock operation with >2,500 AU. Producer is willing to collaborate with a certified TSP to develop an AgEMP 122 CAP. The AgEMP is a grouping of conservation measures and management activities which, when implemented as part of a conservation system, will help to ensure that both production and natural resource protection goals are achieved. An AgEMP incorporates recommended measures to maximize energy conservation and efficiency. An EMP is developed to assist an owner/operator in meeting all applicable local, tribal, State, and Federal water quality goals or regulations. Associated Practices: 124 Agricultural Energy Management Plan - Landscape CAP, 374 Farmstead Energy Improvement, or other applicable practices approved in the NRCS Field Office Technical Guide.

After Situation:

After EQIP contract approval, participant has obtained services from a certified TSP for development of the "Agricultural Energy Management - Headquarters" conservation activity plan. The CAP criteria requires the plan to meet quality criteria for energy conservation and efficiency. The CAP plan may include recommendations for associated conservation practices which address energy conservation. The CAP meets the basic quality criteria for the 122 plan as cited in the NRCS Field Office Technical Guide.

Scenario Feature Measure: Number

Scenario Unit: Number

Scenario Typical Size: 1

Scenario Cost: \$3,365.75

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$3,365.75

Cost Details (by category):

| Component Name | ID | Component Description | Unit | Price (\$/unit) | Quantity | Cost |
|-------------------------------------|------|---|------|-----------------|----------|------------|
| <i>Labor</i> | | | | | | |
| CAP Labor, Administrative Assistant | 1739 | Conservation Activity Plan labor involving routine clerical and administrative functions such as drafting correspondence, scheduling appointments, organizing and maintaining paper and electronic files, or providing information to callers. | Hour | \$37.07 | 2.5 | \$92.68 |
| CAP Labor, Energy Auditor | 1740 | Conservation Activity Plan labor involving analyzing energy efficient measures and conducting energy audits of industrial areas and facilities. | Hour | \$44.30 | 18 | \$797.40 |
| CAP Labor, Manager | 1603 | Conservation Activity Plan labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hour | \$47.28 | 20 | \$945.60 |
| CAP Labor, professional engineer | 1297 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to apply knowledge of engineering technology and biological science to agricultural problems concerned with power and machinery, electrification, structures, soil and water conservation, and processing of agricultural products. Cost associated with this component includes overhead and benefits (market price). | Hour | \$80.53 | 19 | \$1,530.07 |

Practice: 122 - Agricultural Energy Management Plan-Headquarters (AgEMP)

Scenario: #5 - AgEMP Non-Livestock Single Enterprise

Scenario Description:

Typical single enterprise non-livestock operation - one enterprise as defined in the ASABE S612 on-farm energy audit standard. Natural Resource Concern: Energy Conservation

Before Situation:

Agricultural producer currently has minimal knowledge of and no plan for energy conservation. An Agricultural Energy Mgmt CAP for Non-Livestock operations with one enterprise will be planned according to the ASABE S612 standard. Producer currently manages a single non-livestock operation. Producer is willing to collaborate with a certified TSP to develop an AgEMP 122 CAP. The AgEMP is a grouping of conservation measures and management activities which, when implemented as part of a conservation system, will help to ensure that both production and natural resource protection goals are achieved. An AgEMP incorporates recommended measures to maximize energy conservation and efficiency. An EMP is developed to assist an owner/operator in meeting all applicable local, tribal, State, and Federal water quality goals or regulations. Associated Practices: 124 Agricultural Energy Management Plan - Landscape CAP, 374 Farmstead Energy Improvement, or other applicable practices approved in the NRCS Field Office Technical Guide.

After Situation:

After EQIP contract approval, participant has obtained services from a certified TSP for development of the "Agricultural Energy Management - Headquarters" conservation activity plan. The CAP criteria requires the plan to meet quality criteria for energy conservation and efficiency. The CAP plan may include recommendations for associated conservation practices which address energy conservation. The CAP meets the basic quality criteria for the 122 plan as cited in the NRCS Field Office Technical Guide.

Scenario Feature Measure: Number

Scenario Unit: Number

Scenario Typical Size: 1

Scenario Cost: \$2,688.97

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$2,688.97

Cost Details (by category):

| Component Name | ID | Component Description | Unit | Price (\$/unit) | Quantity | Cost |
|-------------------------------------|------|---|------|-----------------|----------|------------|
| <i>Labor</i> | | | | | | |
| CAP Labor, professional engineer | 1297 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to apply knowledge of engineering technology and biological science to agricultural problems concerned with power and machinery, electrification, structures, soil and water conservation, and processing of agricultural products. Cost associated with this component includes overhead and benefits (market price). | Hour | \$80.53 | 14 | \$1,127.42 |
| CAP Labor, Manager | 1603 | Conservation Activity Plan labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hour | \$47.28 | 21 | \$992.88 |
| CAP Labor, Energy Auditor | 1740 | Conservation Activity Plan labor involving analyzing energy efficient measures and conducting energy audits of industrial areas and facilities. | Hour | \$44.30 | 12 | \$531.60 |
| CAP Labor, Administrative Assistant | 1739 | Conservation Activity Plan labor involving routine clerical and administrative functions such as drafting correspondence, scheduling appointments, organizing and maintaining paper and electronic files, or providing information to callers. | Hour | \$37.07 | 1 | \$37.07 |

Practice: 122 - Agricultural Energy Management Plan-Headquarters (AgEMP)

Scenario: #6 - AgEMP Non-Livestock Two Enterprises

Scenario Description:

Typical non-livestock operation with two enterprises as defined in the ASABE S612 on-farm energy audit standard. Natural Resource Concern: Energy Conservation

Before Situation:

Agricultural producer currently has minimal knowledge of and no plan for energy conservation . An Agricultural Energy Mgmt CAP for Non-Livestock operations (two enterprises) will be planned according to the ASABE S612 standard (e.g., greenhouse and maple syrup). Producer currently manages a non-livestock operation consisting of two enterprises. Producer is willing to collaborate with a certified TSP to develop an AgEMP 122 CAP. The AgEMP is a grouping of conservation measures and management activities which, when implemented as part of a conservation system, will help to ensure that both production and natural resource protection goals are achieved. An AgEMP incorporates recommended measures to maximize energy conservation and efficiency. An EMP is developed to assist an owner/operator in meeting all applicable local, tribal, State, and Federal water quality goals or regulations. Associated Practices: 124 Agricultural Energy Management Plan - Landscape CAP, 374 Farmstead Energy Improvement, or other applicable practices approved in the NRCS Field Office Technical Guide.

After Situation:

After EQIP contract approval, participant has obtained services from a certified TSP for development of the "Agricultural Energy Management - Headquarters" conservation activity plan. The CAP criteria requires the plan to meet quality criteria for energy conservation and efficiency. The CAP plan may include recommendations for associated conservation practices which address energy conservation. The CAP meets the basic quality criteria for the 122 plan as cited in the NRCS Field Office Technical Guide.

Scenario Feature Measure: Number

Scenario Unit: Number

Scenario Typical Size: 1

Scenario Cost: \$3,421.03

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$3,421.03

Cost Details (by category):

| Component Name | ID | Component Description | Unit | Price (\$/unit) | Quantity | Cost |
|-------------------------------------|------|---|------|-----------------|----------|------------|
| <i>Labor</i> | | | | | | |
| CAP Labor, Administrative Assistant | 1739 | Conservation Activity Plan labor involving routine clerical and administrative functions such as drafting correspondence, scheduling appointments, organizing and maintaining paper and electronic files, or providing information to callers. | Hour | \$37.07 | 1.5 | \$55.61 |
| CAP Labor, Energy Auditor | 1740 | Conservation Activity Plan labor involving analyzing energy efficient measures and conducting energy audits of industrial areas and facilities. | Hour | \$44.30 | 17 | \$753.10 |
| CAP Labor, Manager | 1603 | Conservation Activity Plan labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hour | \$47.28 | 28 | \$1,323.84 |
| CAP Labor, professional engineer | 1297 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to apply knowledge of engineering technology and biological science to agricultural problems concerned with power and machinery, electrification, structures, soil and water conservation, and processing of agricultural products. Cost associated with this component includes overhead and benefits (market price). | Hour | \$80.53 | 16 | \$1,288.48 |

Practice: 122 - Agricultural Energy Management Plan-Headquarters (AgEMP)

Scenario: #7 - AgEMP Non-Livestock Three Enterprises

Scenario Description:

Typical non-livestock operation with three enterprises as defined in the ASABE S612 on-farm energy audit standard. Natural Resource Concern: Energy Conservation

Before Situation:

Agricultural producer currently has minimal knowledge of and no plan for energy conservation . An Agricultural Energy Mgmt CAP for Non-Livestock operations (three enterprises) will be planned according to the ASABE S612 standard (e.g., greenhouse, maple syrup, irrigated grain). Producer currently manages a non-livestock operation consisting of three enterprises. Producer is willing to collaborate with a certified TSP to develop an AgEMP 122 CAP. The AgEMP is a grouping of conservation measures and management activities which, when implemented as part of a conservation system, will help to ensure that both production and natural resource protection goals are achieved. An AgEMP incorporates recommended measures to maximize energy conservation and efficiency. An EMP is developed to assist an owner/operator in meeting all applicable local, tribal, State, and Federal water quality goals or regulations. Associated Practices: 124 Agricultural Energy Management Plan - Landscape CAP, 374 Farmstead Energy Improvement, or other applicable practices approved in the NRCS Field Office Technical Guide.

After Situation:

After EQIP contract approval, participant has obtained services from a certified TSP for development of the "Agricultural Energy Management - Headquarters" conservation activity plan. The CAP criteria requires the plan to meet quality criteria for energy conservation and efficiency. The CAP plan may include recommendations for associated conservation practices which address energy conservation. The CAP meets the basic quality criteria for the 122 plan as cited in the NRCS Field Office Technical Guide.

Scenario Feature Measure: Number

Scenario Unit: Number

Scenario Typical Size: 1

Scenario Cost: \$4,616.27

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$4,616.27

Cost Details (by category):

| Component Name | ID | Component Description | Unit | Price (\$/unit) | Quantity | Cost |
|-------------------------------------|------|---|------|-----------------|----------|------------|
| <i>Labor</i> | | | | | | |
| CAP Labor, professional engineer | 1297 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to apply knowledge of engineering technology and biological science to agricultural problems concerned with power and machinery, electrification, structures, soil and water conservation, and processing of agricultural products. Cost associated with this component includes overhead and benefits (market price). | Hour | \$80.53 | 25 | \$2,013.25 |
| CAP Labor, Administrative Assistant | 1739 | Conservation Activity Plan labor involving routine clerical and administrative functions such as drafting correspondence, scheduling appointments, organizing and maintaining paper and electronic files, or providing information to callers. | Hour | \$37.07 | 2 | \$74.14 |
| CAP Labor, Energy Auditor | 1740 | Conservation Activity Plan labor involving analyzing energy efficient measures and conducting energy audits of industrial areas and facilities. | Hour | \$44.30 | 24 | \$1,063.20 |
| CAP Labor, Manager | 1603 | Conservation Activity Plan labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hour | \$47.28 | 31 | \$1,465.68 |

Practice: 122 - Agricultural Energy Management Plan-Headquarters (AgEMP)

Scenario: #9 - AgEMP 122 Livestock - Small < 70 AU plus 1 non-Livestock Enterprise

Scenario Description:

One non-livestock enterprise as defined in the ASABE S612 on-farm energy audit standard in combination with a small livestock operation with < 70 AU (The livestock operation may have mixed animal types) Agricultural producer currently has minimal knowledge of and no plan for energy conservation. Producer is willing to collaborate with a certified TSP to develop an AgEMP 122 CAP. The AgEMP is a grouping of conservation measures and management activities which, when implemented as part of a conservation system, will help to ensure that both production and natural resource protection goals are achieved. An AgEMP incorporates recommended measures to maximize energy conservation and efficiency. An EMP is developed to assist an owner/operator in meeting all applicable local, tribal, State, and Federal water quality goals or regulations. Natural Resource Concern: Energy Conservation

Before Situation:

Agricultural producer currently has minimal knowledge of and no plan for energy conservation . An Agricultural Energy Mgmt CAP for any type of livestock operation with one non-livestock enterprise will be planned according to the ASABE S612 standard (e.g., broiler and greenhouse). Producer is willing to collaborate with a certified TSP to develop an AgEMP 122 CAP. The AgEMP is a grouping of conservation measures and management activities which, when implemented as part of a conservation system, will help to ensure that both production and natural resource protection goals are achieved. An AgEMP incorporates recommended measures to maximize energy conservation and efficiency. An EMP is developed to assist an owner/operator in meeting all applicable local, tribal, State, and Federal water quality goals or regulations. Associated Practices: 124 Agricultural Energy Management Plan - Landscape CAP, 374 Farmstead Energy Improvement, 670 Lighting System Improvement, 672 Building Envelope Improvement, or other applicable practices approved in the NRCS Field Office Technical Guide.

After Situation:

After EQIP contract approval, participant has obtained services from a certified TSP for development of the "Agricultural Energy Management - Headquarters" conservation activity plan. The CAP criteria requires the plan to meet quality criteria for energy conservation and efficiency. The CAP plan may include recommendations for associated conservation practices which address energy conservation. The CAP meets the basic quality criteria for the 122 plan as cited in the NRCS Field Office Technical Guide.

Scenario Feature Measure: Number

Scenario Unit: Number

Scenario Typical Size: 1

Scenario Cost: \$2,725.17

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$2,725.17

Cost Details (by category):

| Component Name | ID | Component Description | Unit | Price (\$/unit) | Quantity | Cost |
|-------------------------------------|------|---|------|-----------------|----------|------------|
| Labor | | | | | | |
| CAP Labor, professional engineer | 1297 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to apply knowledge of engineering technology and biological science to agricultural problems concerned with power and machinery, electrification, structures, soil and water conservation, and processing of agricultural products. Cost associated with this component includes overhead and benefits (market price). | Hour | \$80.53 | 13 | \$1,046.89 |
| CAP Labor, Manager | 1603 | Conservation Activity Plan labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hour | \$47.28 | 18 | \$851.04 |
| CAP Labor, Administrative Assistant | 1739 | Conservation Activity Plan labor involving routine clerical and administrative functions such as drafting correspondence, scheduling appointments, organizing and maintaining paper and electronic files, or providing information to callers. | Hour | \$37.07 | 2 | \$74.14 |
| CAP Labor, Energy Auditor | 1740 | Conservation Activity Plan labor involving analyzing energy efficient measures and conducting energy audits of industrial areas and facilities. | Hour | \$44.30 | 17 | \$753.10 |

Practice: 122 - Agricultural Energy Management Plan-Headquarters (AgEMP)

Scenario: #10 - AgEMP 122 Livestock - Small < 70 AU plus 2 non-Livestock Enterprises

Scenario Description:

Two non-livestock enterprises as defined in the ASABE S612 on-farm energy audit standard in combination with a small livestock operation with < 70 AU (The livestock operation may have mixed animal types) Agricultural producer currently has minimal knowledge of and no plan for energy conservation. Producer is willing to collaborate with a certified TSP to develop an AgEMP 122 CAP. The AgEMP is a grouping of conservation measures and management activities which, when implemented as part of a conservation system, will help to ensure that both production and natural resource protection goals are achieved. An AgEMP incorporates recommended measures to maximize energy conservation and efficiency. An EMP is developed to assist an owner/operator in meeting all applicable local, tribal, State, and Federal water quality goals or regulations. Natural Resource Concern: Energy Conservation

Before Situation:

Agricultural producer currently has minimal knowledge of and no plan for energy conservation . An Agricultural Energy Mgmt CAP for any type of livestock operation with two non-livestock enterprises will be planned according to the ASABE S612 standard (e.g., broiler and greenhouse). Producer is willing to collaborate with a certified TSP to develop an AgEMP 122 CAP. The AgEMP is a grouping of conservation measures and management activities which, when implemented as part of a conservation system, will help to ensure that both production and natural resource protection goals are achieved. An AgEMP incorporates recommended measures to maximize energy conservation and efficiency. An EMP is developed to assist an owner/operator in meeting all applicable local, tribal, State, and Federal water quality goals or regulations. Associated Practices: 124 Agricultural Energy Management Plan - Landscape CAP, 374 Farmstead Energy Improvement, 670 Lighting System Improvement, 672 Building Envelope Improvement, or other applicable practices approved in the NRCS Field Office Technical Guide.

After Situation:

After EQIP contract approval, participant has obtained services from a certified TSP for development of the "Agricultural Energy Management - Headquarters" conservation activity plan. The CAP criteria requires the plan to meet quality criteria for energy conservation and efficiency. The CAP plan may include recommendations for associated conservation practices which address energy conservation. The CAP meets the basic quality criteria for the 122 plan as cited in the NRCS Field Office Technical Guide.

Scenario Feature Measure: Number

Scenario Unit: Number

Scenario Typical Size: 1

Scenario Cost: \$3,833.24

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$3,833.24

Cost Details (by category):

| Component Name | ID | Component Description | Unit | Price (\$/unit) | Quantity | Cost |
|-------------------------------------|------|---|------|-----------------|----------|------------|
| <i>Labor</i> | | | | | | |
| CAP Labor, Administrative Assistant | 1739 | Conservation Activity Plan labor involving routine clerical and administrative functions such as drafting correspondence, scheduling appointments, organizing and maintaining paper and electronic files, or providing information to callers. | Hour | \$37.07 | 3 | \$111.21 |
| CAP Labor, Energy Auditor | 1740 | Conservation Activity Plan labor involving analyzing energy efficient measures and conducting energy audits of industrial areas and facilities. | Hour | \$44.30 | 26 | \$1,151.80 |
| CAP Labor, Manager | 1603 | Conservation Activity Plan labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hour | \$47.28 | 22 | \$1,040.16 |
| CAP Labor, professional engineer | 1297 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to apply knowledge of engineering technology and biological science to agricultural problems concerned with power and machinery, electrification, structures, soil and water conservation, and processing of agricultural products. Cost associated with this component includes overhead and benefits (market price). | Hour | \$80.53 | 19 | \$1,530.07 |

Practice: 122 - Agricultural Energy Management Plan-Headquarters (AgEMP)

Scenario: #11 - AgEMP 122 Livestock - Small < 70 AU plus 3 non-Livestock Enterprises

Scenario Description:

Three non-livestock enterprises as defined in the ASABE S612 on-farm energy audit standard in combination with a small livestock operation with < 70 AU (The livestock operation may have mixed animal types) Agricultural producer currently has minimal knowledge of and no plan for energy conservation. Producer is willing to collaborate with a certified TSP to develop an AgEMP 122 CAP. The AgEMP is a grouping of conservation measures and management activities which, when implemented as part of a conservation system, will help to ensure that both production and natural resource protection goals are achieved. An AgEMP incorporates recommended measures to maximize energy conservation and efficiency. An EMP is developed to assist an owner/operator in meeting all applicable local, tribal, State, and Federal water quality goals or regulations. Natural Resource Concern: Energy Conservation

Before Situation:

Agricultural producer currently has minimal knowledge of and no plan for energy conservation. An Agricultural Energy Mgmt CAP for any type of livestock operation with three non-livestock enterprises will be planned according to the ASABE S612 standard (e.g., broiler and greenhouse). Producer is willing to collaborate with a certified TSP to develop an AgEMP 122 CAP. The AgEMP is a grouping of conservation measures and management activities which, when implemented as part of a conservation system, will help to ensure that both production and natural resource protection goals are achieved. An AgEMP incorporates recommended measures to maximize energy conservation and efficiency. An EMP is developed to assist an owner/operator in meeting all applicable local, tribal, State, and Federal water quality goals or regulations. Associated Practices: 124 Agricultural Energy Management Plan - Landscape CAP, 374 Farmstead Energy Improvement, 670 Lighting System Improvement, 672 Building Envelope Improvement, or other applicable practices approved in the NRCS Field Office Technical Guide.

After Situation:

After EQIP contract approval, participant has obtained services from a certified TSP for development of the "Agricultural Energy Management - Headquarters" conservation activity plan. The CAP criteria requires the plan to meet quality criteria for energy conservation and efficiency. The CAP plan may include recommendations for associated conservation practices which address energy conservation. The CAP meets the basic quality criteria for the 122 plan as cited in the NRCS Field Office Technical Guide.

Scenario Feature Measure: Number

Scenario Unit: Number

Scenario Typical Size: 1

Scenario Cost: \$4,941.31

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$4,941.31

Cost Details (by category):

| Component Name | ID | Component Description | Unit | Price (\$/unit) | Quantity | Cost |
|-------------------------------------|------|---|------|-----------------|----------|------------|
| Labor | | | | | | |
| CAP Labor, Manager | 1603 | Conservation Activity Plan labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hour | \$47.28 | 26 | \$1,229.28 |
| CAP Labor, Energy Auditor | 1740 | Conservation Activity Plan labor involving analyzing energy efficient measures and conducting energy audits of industrial areas and facilities. | Hour | \$44.30 | 35 | \$1,550.50 |
| CAP Labor, professional engineer | 1297 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to apply knowledge of engineering technology and biological science to agricultural problems concerned with power and machinery, electrification, structures, soil and water conservation, and processing of agricultural products. Cost associated with this component includes overhead and benefits (market price). | Hour | \$80.53 | 25 | \$2,013.25 |
| CAP Labor, Administrative Assistant | 1739 | Conservation Activity Plan labor involving routine clerical and administrative functions such as drafting correspondence, scheduling appointments, organizing and maintaining paper and electronic files, or providing information to callers. | Hour | \$37.07 | 4 | \$148.28 |

Practice: 122 - Agricultural Energy Management Plan-Headquarters (AgEMP)

Scenario: #12 - AgEMP 122 Livestock - Medium 70-300 AU plus 1 non-livestock enterprise

Scenario Description:

One non-livestock enterprise as defined in the ASABE S612 on-farm energy audit standard in combination with a medium livestock operation with 70-300 AU (The livestock operation may have mixed animal types) Agricultural producer currently has minimal knowledge of and no plan for energy conservation. Producer is willing to collaborate with a certified TSP to develop an AgEMP 122 CAP. The AgEMP is a grouping of conservation measures and management activities which, when implemented as part of a conservation system, will help to ensure that both production and natural resource protection goals are achieved. An AgEMP incorporates recommended measures to maximize energy conservation and efficiency. An EMP is developed to assist an owner/operator in meeting all applicable local, tribal, State, and Federal water quality goals or regulations. Natural Resource: Energy Conservation

Before Situation:

Agricultural producer currently has minimal knowledge of and no plan for energy conservation . An Agricultural Energy Mgmt CAP for any type of livestock operation with one non-livestock enterprise will be planned according to the ASABE S612 standard (e.g., broiler and greenhouse). Producer is willing to collaborate with a certified TSP to develop an AgEMP 122 CAP. The AgEMP is a grouping of conservation measures and management activities which, when implemented as part of a conservation system, will help to ensure that both production and natural resource protection goals are achieved. An AgEMP incorporates recommended measures to maximize energy conservation and efficiency. An EMP is developed to assist an owner/operator in meeting all applicable local, tribal, State, and Federal water quality goals or regulations. Associated Practices: 124 Agricultural Energy Management Plan - Landscape CAP, 374 Farmstead Energy Improvement, 670 Lighting System Improvement, 672 Building Envelope Improvement, or other applicable practices approved in the NRCS Field Office Technical Guide.

After Situation:

After EQIP contract approval, participant has obtained services from a certified TSP for development of the "Agricultural Energy Management - Headquarters" conservation activity plan. The CAP criteria requires the plan to meet quality criteria for energy conservation and efficiency. The CAP plan may include recommendations for associated conservation practices which address energy conservation. The CAP meets the basic quality criteria for the 122 plan as cited in the NRCS Field Office Technical Guide.

Scenario Feature Measure: Number

Scenario Unit: Number

Scenario Typical Size: 1

Scenario Cost: \$3,223.81

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$3,223.81

Cost Details (by category):

| Component Name | ID | Component Description | Unit | Price (\$/unit) | Quantity | Cost |
|-------------------------------------|------|---|------|-----------------|----------|------------|
| <i>Labor</i> | | | | | | |
| CAP Labor, Administrative Assistant | 1739 | Conservation Activity Plan labor involving routine clerical and administrative functions such as drafting correspondence, scheduling appointments, organizing and maintaining paper and electronic files, or providing information to callers. | Hour | \$37.07 | 2.5 | \$92.68 |
| CAP Labor, Energy Auditor | 1740 | Conservation Activity Plan labor involving analyzing energy efficient measures and conducting energy audits of industrial areas and facilities. | Hour | \$44.30 | 21 | \$930.30 |
| CAP Labor, Manager | 1603 | Conservation Activity Plan labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hour | \$47.28 | 21 | \$992.88 |
| CAP Labor, professional engineer | 1297 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to apply knowledge of engineering technology and biological science to agricultural problems concerned with power and machinery, electrification, structures, soil and water conservation, and processing of agricultural products. Cost associated with this component includes overhead and benefits (market price). | Hour | \$80.53 | 15 | \$1,207.95 |

Practice: 122 - Agricultural Energy Management Plan-Headquarters (AgEMP)

Scenario: #13 - AgEMP 122 Livestock - Medium 70-300 AU plus 2 non-livestock enterprises

Scenario Description:

Two non-livestock enterprises as defined in the ASABE S612 on-farm energy audit standard in combination with a medium livestock operation with 70-300 AU (The livestock operation may have mixed animal types) Agricultural producer currently has minimal knowledge of and no plan for energy conservation. Producer is willing to collaborate with a certified TSP to develop an AgEMP 122 CAP. The AgEMP is a grouping of conservation measures and management activities which, when implemented as part of a conservation system, will help to ensure that both production and natural resource protection goals are achieved. An AgEMP incorporates recommended measures to maximize energy conservation and efficiency. An EMP is developed to assist an owner/operator in meeting all applicable local, tribal, State, and Federal water quality goals or regulations. Natural Resource: Energy Conservation

Before Situation:

Agricultural producer currently has minimal knowledge of and no plan for energy conservation . An Agricultural Energy Mgmt CAP for any type of livestock operation with two non-livestock enterprises will be planned according to the ASABE S612 standard (e.g., broiler and greenhouse). Producer is willing to collaborate with a certified TSP to develop an AgEMP 122 CAP. The AgEMP is a grouping of conservation measures and management activities which, when implemented as part of a conservation system, will help to ensure that both production and natural resource protection goals are achieved. An AgEMP incorporates recommended measures to maximize energy conservation and efficiency. An EMP is developed to assist an owner/operator in meeting all applicable local, tribal, State, and Federal water quality goals or regulations. Associated Practices: 124 Agricultural Energy Management Plan - Landscape CAP, 374 Farmstead Energy Improvement, 670 Lighting System Improvement, 672 Building Envelope Improvement, or other applicable practices approved in the NRCS Field Office Technical Guide.

After Situation:

After EQIP contract approval, participant has obtained services from a certified TSP for development of the "Agricultural Energy Management - Headquarters" conservation activity plan. The CAP criteria requires the plan to meet quality criteria for energy conservation and efficiency. The CAP plan may include recommendations for associated conservation practices which address energy conservation. The CAP meets the basic quality criteria for the 122 plan as cited in the NRCS Field Office Technical Guide.

Scenario Feature Measure: Number

Scenario Unit: Number

Scenario Typical Size: 1

Scenario Cost: \$4,331.88

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$4,331.88

Cost Details (by category):

| Component Name | ID | Component Description | Unit | Price (\$/unit) | Quantity | Cost |
|-------------------------------------|------|---|------|-----------------|----------|------------|
| Labor | | | | | | |
| CAP Labor, professional engineer | 1297 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to apply knowledge of engineering technology and biological science to agricultural problems concerned with power and machinery, electrification, structures, soil and water conservation, and processing of agricultural products. Cost associated with this component includes overhead and benefits (market price). | Hour | \$80.53 | 21 | \$1,691.13 |
| CAP Labor, Administrative Assistant | 1739 | Conservation Activity Plan labor involving routine clerical and administrative functions such as drafting correspondence, scheduling appointments, organizing and maintaining paper and electronic files, or providing information to callers. | Hour | \$37.07 | 3.5 | \$129.75 |
| CAP Labor, Energy Auditor | 1740 | Conservation Activity Plan labor involving analyzing energy efficient measures and conducting energy audits of industrial areas and facilities. | Hour | \$44.30 | 30 | \$1,329.00 |
| CAP Labor, Manager | 1603 | Conservation Activity Plan labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hour | \$47.28 | 25 | \$1,182.00 |

Practice: 122 - Agricultural Energy Management Plan-Headquarters (AgEMP)

Scenario: #14 - AgEMP 122 Livestock - Medium 70-300 AU plus 3 non-livestock enterprises

Scenario Description:

Three non-livestock enterprises as defined in the ASABE S612 on-farm energy audit standard in combination with a medium livestock operation with 70-300 AU (The livestock operation may have mixed animal types) Agricultural producer currently has minimal knowledge of and no plan for energy conservation. Producer is willing to collaborate with a certified TSP to develop an AgEMP 122 CAP. The AgEMP is a grouping of conservation measures and management activities which, when implemented as part of a conservation system, will help to ensure that both production and natural resource protection goals are achieved. An AgEMP incorporates recommended measures to maximize energy conservation and efficiency. An EMP is developed to assist an owner/operator in meeting all applicable local, tribal, State, and Federal water quality goals or regulations. Natural Resource: Energy Conservation

Before Situation:

Agricultural producer currently has minimal knowledge of and no plan for energy conservation . An Agricultural Energy Mgmt CAP for any type of livestock operation with three non-livestock enterprises will be planned according to the ASABE S612 standard (e.g., broiler and greenhouse). Producer is willing to collaborate with a certified TSP to develop an AgEMP 122 CAP. The AgEMP is a grouping of conservation measures and management activities which, when implemented as part of a conservation system, will help to ensure that both production and natural resource protection goals are achieved. An AgEMP incorporates recommended measures to maximize energy conservation and efficiency. An EMP is developed to assist an owner/operator in meeting all applicable local, tribal, State, and Federal water quality goals or regulations. Associated Practices: 124 Agricultural Energy Management Plan - Landscape CAP, 374 Farmstead Energy Improvement, 670 Lighting System Improvement, 672 Building Envelope Improvement, or other applicable practices approved in the NRCS Field Office Technical Guide.

After Situation:

After EQIP contract approval, participant has obtained services from a certified TSP for development of the "Agricultural Energy Management - Headquarters" conservation activity plan. The CAP criteria requires the plan to meet quality criteria for energy conservation and efficiency. The CAP plan may include recommendations for associated conservation practices which address energy conservation. The CAP meets the basic quality criteria for the 122 plan as cited in the NRCS Field Office Technical Guide.

Scenario Feature Measure: Number

Scenario Unit: Number

Scenario Typical Size: 1

Scenario Cost: \$5,439.95

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$5,439.95

Cost Details (by category):

| Component Name | ID | Component Description | Unit | Price (\$/unit) | Quantity | Cost |
|-------------------------------------|------|---|------|-----------------|----------|------------|
| <i>Labor</i> | | | | | | |
| CAP Labor, professional engineer | 1297 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to apply knowledge of engineering technology and biological science to agricultural problems concerned with power and machinery, electrification, structures, soil and water conservation, and processing of agricultural products. Cost associated with this component includes overhead and benefits (market price). | Hour | \$80.53 | 27 | \$2,174.31 |
| CAP Labor, Manager | 1603 | Conservation Activity Plan labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hour | \$47.28 | 29 | \$1,371.12 |
| CAP Labor, Administrative Assistant | 1739 | Conservation Activity Plan labor involving routine clerical and administrative functions such as drafting correspondence, scheduling appointments, organizing and maintaining paper and electronic files, or providing information to callers. | Hour | \$37.07 | 4.5 | \$166.82 |
| CAP Labor, Energy Auditor | 1740 | Conservation Activity Plan labor involving analyzing energy efficient measures and conducting energy audits of industrial areas and facilities. | Hour | \$44.30 | 39 | \$1,727.70 |

Practice: 122 - Agricultural Energy Management Plan-Headquarters (AgEMP)

Scenario: #15 - AgEMP 122 Livestock - Large 301-2500 AU plus 1 non-Livestock Enterprise

Scenario Description:

One non-livestock enterprise as defined in the ASABE S612 on-farm energy audit standard in combination with a large livestock operation with 301-2500 AU (The livestock operation may have mixed animal types) Agricultural producer currently has minimal knowledge of and no plan for energy conservation. Producer is willing to collaborate with a certified TSP to develop an AgEMP 122 CAP. The AgEMP is a grouping of conservation measures and management activities which, when implemented as part of a conservation system, will help to ensure that both production and natural resource protection goals are achieved. An AgEMP incorporates recommended measures to maximize energy conservation and efficiency. An EMP is developed to assist an owner/operator in meeting all applicable local, tribal, State, and Federal water quality goals or regulations. Natural Resource Concern: Energy Conservation

Before Situation:

Agricultural producer currently has minimal knowledge of and no plan for energy conservation. An Agricultural Energy Mgmt CAP for any type of livestock operation with one non-livestock enterprise will be planned according to the ASABE S612 standard (e.g., broiler and greenhouse). Producer is willing to collaborate with a certified TSP to develop an AgEMP 122 CAP. The AgEMP is a grouping of conservation measures and management activities which, when implemented as part of a conservation system, will help to ensure that both production and natural resource protection goals are achieved. An AgEMP incorporates recommended measures to maximize energy conservation and efficiency. An EMP is developed to assist an owner/operator in meeting all applicable local, tribal, State, and Federal water quality goals or regulations. Associated Practices: 124 Agricultural Energy Management Plan - Landscape CAP, 374 Farmstead Energy Improvement, 670 Lighting System Improvement, 672 Building Envelope Improvement, or other applicable practices approved in the NRCS Field Office Technical Guide.

After Situation:

After EQIP contract approval, participant has obtained services from a certified TSP for development of the "Agricultural Energy Management - Headquarters" conservation activity plan. The CAP criteria requires the plan to meet quality criteria for energy conservation and efficiency. The CAP plan may include recommendations for associated conservation practices which address energy conservation. The CAP meets the basic quality criteria for the 122 plan as cited in the NRCS Field Office Technical Guide.

Scenario Feature Measure: Number

Scenario Unit: Number

Scenario Typical Size: 1

Scenario Cost: \$3,708.41

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$3,708.41

Cost Details (by category):

| Component Name | ID | Component Description | Unit | Price (\$/unit) | Quantity | Cost |
|-------------------------------------|------|---|------|-----------------|----------|------------|
| Labor | | | | | | |
| CAP Labor, Administrative Assistant | 1739 | Conservation Activity Plan labor involving routine clerical and administrative functions such as drafting correspondence, scheduling appointments, organizing and maintaining paper and electronic files, or providing information to callers. | Hour | \$37.07 | 3 | \$111.21 |
| CAP Labor, Energy Auditor | 1740 | Conservation Activity Plan labor involving analyzing energy efficient measures and conducting energy audits of industrial areas and facilities. | Hour | \$44.30 | 25 | \$1,107.50 |
| CAP Labor, Manager | 1603 | Conservation Activity Plan labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hour | \$47.28 | 22 | \$1,040.16 |
| CAP Labor, professional engineer | 1297 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to apply knowledge of engineering technology and biological science to agricultural problems concerned with power and machinery, electrification, structures, soil and water conservation, and processing of agricultural products. Cost associated with this component includes overhead and benefits (market price). | Hour | \$80.53 | 18 | \$1,449.54 |

Practice: 122 - Agricultural Energy Management Plan-Headquarters (AgEMP)

Scenario: #16 - AgEMP 122 Livestock - Large 301-2500 AU plus 2 non-Livestock Enterprise

Scenario Description:

Two non-livestock enterprise as defined in the ASABE S612 on-farm energy audit standard in combination with a large livestock operation with 301-2500 AU (The livestock operation may have mixed animal types) Agricultural producer currently has minimal knowledge of and no plan for energy conservation. Producer is willing to collaborate with a certified TSP to develop an AgEMP 122 CAP. The AgEMP is a grouping of conservation measures and management activities which, when implemented as part of a conservation system, will help to ensure that both production and natural resource protection goals are achieved. An AgEMP incorporates recommended measures to maximize energy conservation and efficiency. An EMP is developed to assist an owner/operator in meeting all applicable local, tribal, State, and Federal water quality goals or regulations. Natural Resource Concern: Energy Conservation

Before Situation:

Agricultural producer currently has minimal knowledge of and no plan for energy conservation. An Agricultural Energy Mgmt CAP for any type of livestock operation with two non-livestock enterprise will be planned according to the ASABE S612 standard (e.g., broiler and greenhouse). Producer is willing to collaborate with a certified TSP to develop an AgEMP 122 CAP. The AgEMP is a grouping of conservation measures and management activities which, when implemented as part of a conservation system, will help to ensure that both production and natural resource protection goals are achieved. An AgEMP incorporates recommended measures to maximize energy conservation and efficiency. An EMP is developed to assist an owner/operator in meeting all applicable local, tribal, State, and Federal water quality goals or regulations. Associated Practices: 124 Agricultural Energy Management Plan - Landscape CAP, 374 Farmstead Energy Improvement, 670 Lighting System Improvement, 672 Building Envelope Improvement, or other applicable practices approved in the NRCS Field Office Technical Guide.

After Situation:

After EQIP contract approval, participant has obtained services from a certified TSP for development of the "Agricultural Energy Management - Headquarters" conservation activity plan. The CAP criteria requires the plan to meet quality criteria for energy conservation and efficiency. The CAP plan may include recommendations for associated conservation practices which address energy conservation. The CAP meets the basic quality criteria for the 122 plan as cited in the NRCS Field Office Technical Guide.

Scenario Feature Measure: Number

Scenario Unit: Number

Scenario Typical Size: 1

Scenario Cost: \$4,816.48

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$4,816.48

Cost Details (by category):

| Component Name | ID | Component Description | Unit | Price (\$/unit) | Quantity | Cost |
|-------------------------------------|------|---|------|-----------------|----------|------------|
| <i>Labor</i> | | | | | | |
| CAP Labor, Administrative Assistant | 1739 | Conservation Activity Plan labor involving routine clerical and administrative functions such as drafting correspondence, scheduling appointments, organizing and maintaining paper and electronic files, or providing information to callers. | Hour | \$37.07 | 4 | \$148.28 |
| CAP Labor, professional engineer | 1297 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to apply knowledge of engineering technology and biological science to agricultural problems concerned with power and machinery, electrification, structures, soil and water conservation, and processing of agricultural products. Cost associated with this component includes overhead and benefits (market price). | Hour | \$80.53 | 24 | \$1,932.72 |
| CAP Labor, Manager | 1603 | Conservation Activity Plan labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hour | \$47.28 | 26 | \$1,229.28 |
| CAP Labor, Energy Auditor | 1740 | Conservation Activity Plan labor involving analyzing energy efficient measures and conducting energy audits of industrial areas and facilities. | Hour | \$44.30 | 34 | \$1,506.20 |

Practice: 122 - Agricultural Energy Management Plan-Headquarters (AgEMP)

Scenario: #17 - AgEMP 122 Livestock - Large 301-2500 AU plus 3 non-Livestock Enterprise

Scenario Description:

Three non-livestock enterprise as defined in the ASABE S612 on-farm energy audit standard in combination with a large livestock operation with 301-2500 AU (The livestock operation may have mixed animal types) Agricultural producer currently has minimal knowledge of and no plan for energy conservation. Producer is willing to collaborate with a certified TSP to develop an AgEMP 122 CAP. The AgEMP is a grouping of conservation measures and management activities which, when implemented as part of a conservation system, will help to ensure that both production and natural resource protection goals are achieved. An AgEMP incorporates recommended measures to maximize energy conservation and efficiency. An EMP is developed to assist an owner/operator in meeting all applicable local, tribal, State, and Federal water quality goals or regulations. Natural Resource Concern: Energy Conservation

Before Situation:

Agricultural producer currently has minimal knowledge of and no plan for energy conservation. An Agricultural Energy Mgmt CAP for any type of livestock operation with three non-livestock enterprise will be planned according to the ASABE S612 standard (e.g., broiler and greenhouse). Producer is willing to collaborate with a certified TSP to develop an AgEMP 122 CAP. The AgEMP is a grouping of conservation measures and management activities which, when implemented as part of a conservation system, will help to ensure that both production and natural resource protection goals are achieved. An AgEMP incorporates recommended measures to maximize energy conservation and efficiency. An EMP is developed to assist an owner/operator in meeting all applicable local, tribal, State, and Federal water quality goals or regulations. Associated Practices: 124 Agricultural Energy Management Plan - Landscape CAP, 374 Farmstead Energy Improvement, 670 Lighting System Improvement, 672 Building Envelope Improvement, or other applicable practices approved in the NRCS Field Office Technical Guide.

After Situation:

After EQIP contract approval, participant has obtained services from a certified TSP for development of the "Agricultural Energy Management - Headquarters" conservation activity plan. The CAP criteria requires the plan to meet quality criteria for energy conservation and efficiency. The CAP plan may include recommendations for associated conservation practices which address energy conservation. The CAP meets the basic quality criteria for the 122 plan as cited in the NRCS Field Office Technical Guide.

Scenario Feature Measure: Number

Scenario Unit: Number

Scenario Typical Size: 1

Scenario Cost: \$5,924.55

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$5,924.55

Cost Details (by category):

| Component Name | ID | Component Description | Unit | Price (\$/unit) | Quantity | Cost |
|-------------------------------------|------|---|------|-----------------|----------|------------|
| Labor | | | | | | |
| CAP Labor, Administrative Assistant | 1739 | Conservation Activity Plan labor involving routine clerical and administrative functions such as drafting correspondence, scheduling appointments, organizing and maintaining paper and electronic files, or providing information to callers. | Hour | \$37.07 | 5 | \$185.35 |
| CAP Labor, Energy Auditor | 1740 | Conservation Activity Plan labor involving analyzing energy efficient measures and conducting energy audits of industrial areas and facilities. | Hour | \$44.30 | 43 | \$1,904.90 |
| CAP Labor, Manager | 1603 | Conservation Activity Plan labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hour | \$47.28 | 30 | \$1,418.40 |
| CAP Labor, professional engineer | 1297 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to apply knowledge of engineering technology and biological science to agricultural problems concerned with power and machinery, electrification, structures, soil and water conservation, and processing of agricultural products. Cost associated with this component includes overhead and benefits (market price). | Hour | \$80.53 | 30 | \$2,415.90 |

Practice: 122 - Agricultural Energy Management Plan-Headquarters (AgEMP)

Scenario: #18 - AgEMP 122 Livestock - Extra Large >2,500 AU plus 1 non-Livestock Enterprise

Scenario Description:

One non-livestock enterprise as defined in the ASABE S612 on-farm energy audit standard in combination with an extra large livestock operation with >2,500 AU (The livestock operation may have mixed animal types) Agricultural producer currently has minimal knowledge of and no plan for energy conservation. Producer is willing to collaborate with a certified TSP to develop an AgEMP 122 CAP. The AgEMP is a grouping of conservation measures and management activities which, when implemented as part of a conservation system, will help to ensure that both production and natural resource protection goals are achieved. An AgEMP incorporates recommended measures to maximize energy conservation and efficiency. An EMP is developed to assist an owner/operator in meeting all applicable local, tribal, State, and Federal water quality goals or regulations. Natural Resource Concern: Energy Conservation

Before Situation:

Agricultural producer currently has minimal knowledge of and no plan for energy conservation . An Agricultural Energy Mgmt CAP for any type of livestock operation with one non-livestock enterprise will be planned according to the ASABE S612 standard (e.g., broiler and greenhouse). Producer is willing to collaborate with a certified TSP to develop an AgEMP 122 CAP. The AgEMP is a grouping of conservation measures and management activities which, when implemented as part of a conservation system, will help to ensure that both production and natural resource protection goals are achieved. An AgEMP incorporates recommended measures to maximize energy conservation and efficiency. An EMP is developed to assist an owner/operator in meeting all applicable local, tribal, State, and Federal water quality goals or regulations. Associated Practices: 124 Agricultural Energy Management Plan - Landscape CAP, 374 Farmstead Energy Improvement, 670 Lighting System Improvement, 672 Building Envelope Improvement, or other applicable practices approved in the NRCS Field Office Technical Guide.

After Situation:

After EQIP contract approval, participant has obtained services from a certified TSP for development of the "Agricultural Energy Management - Headquarters" conservation activity plan. The CAP criteria requires the plan to meet quality criteria for energy conservation and efficiency. The CAP plan may include recommendations for associated conservation practices which address energy conservation. The CAP meets the basic quality criteria for the 122 plan as cited in the NRCS Field Office Technical Guide.

Scenario Feature Measure: Number

Scenario Unit: Number

Scenario Typical Size: 1

Scenario Cost: \$4,473.82

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$4,473.82

Cost Details (by category):

| Component Name | ID | Component Description | Unit | Price (\$/unit) | Quantity | Cost |
|-------------------------------------|------|---|------|-----------------|----------|------------|
| <i>Labor</i> | | | | | | |
| CAP Labor, Manager | 1603 | Conservation Activity Plan labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hour | \$47.28 | 24 | \$1,134.72 |
| CAP Labor, professional engineer | 1297 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to apply knowledge of engineering technology and biological science to agricultural problems concerned with power and machinery, electrification, structures, soil and water conservation, and processing of agricultural products. Cost associated with this component includes overhead and benefits (market price). | Hour | \$80.53 | 25 | \$2,013.25 |
| CAP Labor, Energy Auditor | 1740 | Conservation Activity Plan labor involving analyzing energy efficient measures and conducting energy audits of industrial areas and facilities. | Hour | \$44.30 | 27 | \$1,196.10 |
| CAP Labor, Administrative Assistant | 1739 | Conservation Activity Plan labor involving routine clerical and administrative functions such as drafting correspondence, scheduling appointments, organizing and maintaining paper and electronic files, or providing information to callers. | Hour | \$37.07 | 3.5 | \$129.75 |

Practice: 122 - Agricultural Energy Management Plan-Headquarters (AgEMP)

Scenario: #19 - AgEMP 122 Livestock - Extra Large >2,500 AU plus 2 non-Livestock Enterprise

Scenario Description:

Two non-livestock enterprise as defined in the ASABE S612 on-farm energy audit standard in combination with an extra large livestock operation with >2,500 AU (The livestock operation may have mixed animal types) Agricultural producer currently has minimal knowledge of and no plan for energy conservation. Producer is willing to collaborate with a certified TSP to develop an AgEMP 122 CAP. The AgEMP is a grouping of conservation measures and management activities which, when implemented as part of a conservation system, will help to ensure that both production and natural resource protection goals are achieved. An AgEMP incorporates recommended measures to maximize energy conservation and efficiency. An EMP is developed to assist an owner/operator in meeting all applicable local, tribal, State, and Federal water quality goals or regulations. Natural Resource Concern: Energy Conservation

Before Situation:

Agricultural producer currently has minimal knowledge of and no plan for energy conservation . An Agricultural Energy Mgmt CAP for any type of livestock operation with two non-livestock enterprise will be planned according to the ASABE S612 standard (e.g., broiler and greenhouse). Producer is willing to collaborate with a certified TSP to develop an AgEMP 122 CAP. The AgEMP is a grouping of conservation measures and management activities which, when implemented as part of a conservation system, will help to ensure that both production and natural resource protection goals are achieved. An AgEMP incorporates recommended measures to maximize energy conservation and efficiency. An EMP is developed to assist an owner/operator in meeting all applicable local, tribal, State, and Federal water quality goals or regulations. Associated Practices: 124 Agricultural Energy Management Plan - Landscape CAP, 374 Farmstead Energy Improvement, 670 Lighting System Improvement, 672 Building Envelope Improvement, or other applicable practices approved in the NRCS Field Office Technical Guide.

After Situation:

After EQIP contract approval, participant has obtained services from a certified TSP for development of the "Agricultural Energy Management - Headquarters" conservation activity plan. The CAP criteria requires the plan to meet quality criteria for energy conservation and efficiency. The CAP plan may include recommendations for associated conservation practices which address energy conservation. The CAP meets the basic quality criteria for the 122 plan as cited in the NRCS Field Office Technical Guide.

Scenario Feature Measure: Number

Scenario Unit: Number

Scenario Typical Size: 1

Scenario Cost: \$5,581.89

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$5,581.89

Cost Details (by category):

| Component Name | ID | Component Description | Unit | Price (\$/unit) | Quantity | Cost |
|-------------------------------------|------|---|------|-----------------|----------|------------|
| Labor | | | | | | |
| CAP Labor, Energy Auditor | 1740 | Conservation Activity Plan labor involving analyzing energy efficient measures and conducting energy audits of industrial areas and facilities. | Hour | \$44.30 | 36 | \$1,594.80 |
| CAP Labor, Manager | 1603 | Conservation Activity Plan labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hour | \$47.28 | 28 | \$1,323.84 |
| CAP Labor, professional engineer | 1297 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to apply knowledge of engineering technology and biological science to agricultural problems concerned with power and machinery, electrification, structures, soil and water conservation, and processing of agricultural products. Cost associated with this component includes overhead and benefits (market price). | Hour | \$80.53 | 31 | \$2,496.43 |
| CAP Labor, Administrative Assistant | 1739 | Conservation Activity Plan labor involving routine clerical and administrative functions such as drafting correspondence, scheduling appointments, organizing and maintaining paper and electronic files, or providing information to callers. | Hour | \$37.07 | 4.5 | \$166.82 |

Practice: 122 - Agricultural Energy Management Plan-Headquarters (AgEMP)

Scenario: #20 - AgEMP 122 Livestock - Extra Large >2,500 AU plus 3 non-Livestock Enterprise

Scenario Description:

Three non-livestock enterprise as defined in the ASABE S612 on-farm energy audit standard in combination with an extra large livestock operation with >2,500 AU (The livestock operation may have mixed animal types) Agricultural producer currently has minimal knowledge of and no plan for energy conservation. Producer is willing to collaborate with a certified TSP to develop an AgEMP 122 CAP. The AgEMP is a grouping of conservation measures and management activities which, when implemented as part of a conservation system, will help to ensure that both production and natural resource protection goals are achieved. An AgEMP incorporates recommended measures to maximize energy conservation and efficiency. An EMP is developed to assist an owner/operator in meeting all applicable local, tribal, State, and Federal water quality goals or regulations. Natural Resource Concern: Energy Conservation

Before Situation:

Agricultural producer currently has minimal knowledge of and no plan for energy conservation . An Agricultural Energy Mgmt CAP for any type of livestock operation with three non-livestock enterprise will be planned according to the ASABE S612 standard (e.g., broiler and greenhouse). Producer is willing to collaborate with a certified TSP to develop an AgEMP 122 CAP. The AgEMP is a grouping of conservation measures and management activities which, when implemented as part of a conservation system, will help to ensure that both production and natural resource protection goals are achieved. An AgEMP incorporates recommended measures to maximize energy conservation and efficiency. An EMP is developed to assist an owner/operator in meeting all applicable local, tribal, State, and Federal water quality goals or regulations. Associated Practices: 124 Agricultural Energy Management Plan - Landscape CAP, 374 Farmstead Energy Improvement, 670 Lighting System Improvement, 672 Building Envelope Improvement, or other applicable practices approved in the NRCS Field Office Technical Guide.

After Situation:

After EQIP contract approval, participant has obtained services from a certified TSP for development of the "Agricultural Energy Management - Headquarters" conservation activity plan. The CAP criteria requires the plan to meet quality criteria for energy conservation and efficiency. The CAP plan may include recommendations for associated conservation practices which address energy conservation. The CAP meets the basic quality criteria for the 122 plan as cited in the NRCS Field Office Technical Guide.

Scenario Feature Measure: Number

Scenario Unit: Number

Scenario Typical Size: 1

Scenario Cost: \$6,689.96

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$6,689.96

Cost Details (by category):

| Component Name | ID | Component Description | Unit | Price (\$/unit) | Quantity | Cost |
|-------------------------------------|------|---|------|-----------------|----------|------------|
| <i>Labor</i> | | | | | | |
| CAP Labor, professional engineer | 1297 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to apply knowledge of engineering technology and biological science to agricultural problems concerned with power and machinery, electrification, structures, soil and water conservation, and processing of agricultural products. Cost associated with this component includes overhead and benefits (market price). | Hour | \$80.53 | 37 | \$2,979.61 |
| CAP Labor, Administrative Assistant | 1739 | Conservation Activity Plan labor involving routine clerical and administrative functions such as drafting correspondence, scheduling appointments, organizing and maintaining paper and electronic files, or providing information to callers. | Hour | \$37.07 | 5.5 | \$203.89 |
| CAP Labor, Energy Auditor | 1740 | Conservation Activity Plan labor involving analyzing energy efficient measures and conducting energy audits of industrial areas and facilities. | Hour | \$44.30 | 45 | \$1,993.50 |
| CAP Labor, Manager | 1603 | Conservation Activity Plan labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hour | \$47.28 | 32 | \$1,512.96 |

Practice: 124 - Agricultural Energy Management Plan-Landscape (AgEMP)

Scenario: #1 - Non-Irrigated Small, Less Than 50 acres

Scenario Description:

Typical non-irrigated small cropping system with < 50 acres. Natural Resource Concern: Energy Conservation

Before Situation:

Agricultural producer currently has minimal knowledge of and no plan for energy conservation. Producer currently manages a small non-irrigated operation with < 50 acres. Producer is willing to collaborate with a certified TSP to develop an AgEMP 124 CAP (on-farm energy audit). Participant to obtain an AgEMP by a certified Technical Service Provider, in accordance with ASABE S612, July 2009, for non-irrigated crops farmed on less than 50 acres. The purpose of this AgEMP is to provide the producer with specific recommendations for increasing energy efficiency and reducing energy use for each major cropping activity on the farm. The AgEMP is to provide estimates of energy savings for the landscape operations and does not include the headquarter operations. Energy usage may include, but is not limited to: manure land application; agricultural practices (i.e., on-farm-use of mobile agricultural equipment). An AgEMP is developed to assist an owner/operator in meeting all applicable local, tribal, State, and Federal water quality goals or regulations. Associated Practices: 122 Agricultural Energy Management Plan - Headquarters CAP, 374 Farmstead Energy Improvement, or other applicable practices approved in the NRCS Field Office Technical Guide.

After Situation:

After EQIP contract approval, participant has obtained services from a certified TSP for development of the "Agricultural Energy Management - Landscape" conservation activity plan. The CAP criteria requires the plan to meet quality criteria for energy conservation and efficiency. The CAP plan may include recommendations for associated conservation practices which address energy conservation. The CAP meets the basic quality criteria for the 124 plan as cited in the NRCS Field Office Technical Guide.

Scenario Feature Measure: Number

Scenario Unit: Number

Scenario Typical Size: 1

Scenario Cost: \$1,744.77

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$1,744.77

Cost Details (by category):

| Component Name | ID | Component Description | Unit | Price (\$/unit) | Quantity | Cost |
|-------------------------------------|------|---|------|-----------------|----------|----------|
| <i>Labor</i> | | | | | | |
| CAP Labor, Energy Auditor | 1740 | Conservation Activity Plan labor involving analyzing energy efficient measures and conducting energy audits of industrial areas and facilities. | Hour | \$44.30 | 12 | \$531.60 |
| CAP Labor, Administrative Assistant | 1739 | Conservation Activity Plan labor involving routine clerical and administrative functions such as drafting correspondence, scheduling appointments, organizing and maintaining paper and electronic files, or providing information to callers. | Hour | \$37.07 | 1.5 | \$55.61 |
| Cap Labor, conservation scientist | 1300 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to manage, improve, and protect natural resources to maximize their use without damaging the environment. Interprets resource information and assess resource conditions to provide conservation practice alternatives to producers to make decisions on the treatment of their soil, water, air, plant, animal, and energy resources. May instruct farmers, agricultural production managers, or ranchers in best ways to use crop rotation, contour plowing, or terracing to conserve soil and water; in the number and kind of livestock and forage plants best suited to particular ranges; and in range and farm improvements, such as fencing and reservoirs for stock watering. | Hour | \$53.09 | 8 | \$424.72 |
| CAP Labor, Manager | 1603 | Conservation Activity Plan labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hour | \$47.28 | 15.5 | \$732.84 |

Practice: 124 - Agricultural Energy Management Plan-Landscape (AgEMP)

Scenario: #2 - Non-Irrigated Medium, 50 to 499 acres

Scenario Description:

Typical non-irrigated medium cropping operation with 50-499 acres. Natural Resource Concern: Energy Conservation

Before Situation:

Agricultural producer currently has minimal knowledge of and no plan for energy conservation. Producer currently manages a medium non-irrigated operation with 50-499 acres. Producer is willing to collaborate with a certified TSP to develop an AgEMP 124 CAP (on-farm energy audit). Participant to obtain an AgEMP by a certified Technical Service Provider, in accordance with ASABE S612, July 2009, for non-irrigated crops farmed on 50-499 acres. The purpose of this AgEMP is to provide the producer with specific recommendations for increasing energy efficiency and reducing energy use for each major cropping activity on the farm. The AgEMP is to provide estimates of energy savings for the landscape operations and does not include the headquarter operations. Energy usage may include, but is not limited to: manure land application; agricultural practices (i.e., on-farm-use of mobile agricultural equipment). An AgEMP is developed to assist an owner/operator in meeting all applicable local, tribal, State, and Federal water quality goals or regulations. Associated Practices: 122 Agricultural Energy Management Plan - Headquarters CAP, 374 Farmstead Energy Improvement, or other applicable practices approved in the NRCS Field Office Technical Guide.

After Situation:

After EQIP contract approval, participant has obtained services from a certified TSP for development of the "Agricultural Energy Management - Landscape" conservation activity plan. The CAP criteria requires the plan to meet quality criteria for energy conservation and efficiency. The CAP plan may include recommendations for associated conservation practices which address energy conservation. The CAP meets the basic quality criteria for the 124 plan as cited in the NRCS Field Office Technical Guide.

Scenario Feature Measure: Number

Scenario Unit: Number

Scenario Typical Size: 1

Scenario Cost: \$2,215.92

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$2,215.92

Cost Details (by category):

| Component Name | ID | Component Description | Unit | Price (\$/unit) | Quantity | Cost |
|-------------------------------------|------|---|------|-----------------|----------|----------|
| <i>Labor</i> | | | | | | |
| Cap Labor, conservation scientist | 1300 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to manage, improve, and protect natural resources to maximize their use without damaging the environment. Interprets resource information and assess resource conditions to provide conservation practice alternatives to producers to make decisions on the treatment of their soil, water, air, plant, animal, and energy resources. May instruct farmers, agricultural production managers, or ranchers in best ways to use crop rotation, contour plowing, or terracing to conserve soil and water; in the number and kind of livestock and forage plants best suited to particular ranges; and in range and farm improvements, such as fencing and reservoirs for stock watering. | Hour | \$53.09 | 10.5 | \$557.45 |
| CAP Labor, Manager | 1603 | Conservation Activity Plan labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hour | \$47.28 | 20 | \$945.60 |
| CAP Labor, Energy Auditor | 1740 | Conservation Activity Plan labor involving analyzing energy efficient measures and conducting energy audits of industrial areas and facilities. | Hour | \$44.30 | 14 | \$620.20 |
| CAP Labor, Administrative Assistant | 1739 | Conservation Activity Plan labor involving routine clerical and administrative functions such as drafting correspondence, scheduling appointments, organizing and maintaining paper and electronic files, or providing information to callers. | Hour | \$37.07 | 2.5 | \$92.68 |

Practice: 124 - Agricultural Energy Management Plan-Landscape (AgEMP)

Scenario: #3 - Non-Irrigated Large, 500 to 5000 acres

Scenario Description:

Typical non-irrigated large cropping operation with 50-5000 acres. Natural Resource Concern: Energy Conservation

Before Situation:

Agricultural producer currently has minimal knowledge of and no plan for energy conservation. Producer currently manages a large non-irrigated operation with 500-5,000 acres. Producer is willing to collaborate with a certified TSP to develop an AgEMP 124 CAP (on-farm energy audit). Participant to obtain an AgEMP by a certified Technical Service Provider, in accordance with ASABE S612, July 2009, for non-irrigated crops farmed on 500-5,000 acres. The purpose of this AgEMP is to provide the producer with specific recommendations for increasing energy efficiency and reducing energy use for each major cropping activity on the farm. The AgEMP is to provide estimates of energy savings for the landscape operations and does not include the headquarter operations. Energy usage may include, but is not limited to: manure land application; agricultural practices (i.e., on-farm-use of mobile agricultural equipment). An AgEMP is developed to assist an owner/operator in meeting all applicable local, tribal, State, and Federal water quality goals or regulations. Associated Practices: 122 Agricultural Energy Management Plan - Headquarters CAP, 374 Farmstead Energy Improvement, or other applicable practices approved in the NRCS Field Office Technical Guide.

After Situation:

After EQIP contract approval, participant has obtained services from a certified TSP for development of the "Agricultural Energy Management - Landscape" conservation activity plan. The CAP criteria requires the plan to meet quality criteria for energy conservation and efficiency. The CAP plan may include recommendations for associated conservation practices which address energy conservation. The CAP meets the basic quality criteria for the 124 plan as cited in the NRCS Field Office Technical Guide.

Scenario Feature Measure: Number

Scenario Unit: Number

Scenario Typical Size: 1

Scenario Cost: \$2,700.82

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$2,700.82

Cost Details (by category):

| Component Name | ID | Component Description | Unit | Price (\$/unit) | Quantity | Cost |
|-------------------------------------|------|---|------|-----------------|----------|------------|
| <i>Labor</i> | | | | | | |
| Cap Labor, conservation scientist | 1300 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to manage, improve, and protect natural resources to maximize their use without damaging the environment. Interprets resource information and assess resource conditions to provide conservation practice alternatives to producers to make decisions on the treatment of their soil, water, air, plant, animal, and energy resources. May instruct farmers, agricultural production managers, or ranchers in best ways to use crop rotation, contour plowing, or terracing to conserve soil and water; in the number and kind of livestock and forage plants best suited to particular ranges; and in range and farm improvements, such as fencing and reservoirs for stock watering. | Hour | \$53.09 | 15 | \$796.35 |
| CAP Labor, Administrative Assistant | 1739 | Conservation Activity Plan labor involving routine clerical and administrative functions such as drafting correspondence, scheduling appointments, organizing and maintaining paper and electronic files, or providing information to callers. | Hour | \$37.07 | 3 | \$111.21 |
| CAP Labor, Manager | 1603 | Conservation Activity Plan labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hour | \$47.28 | 22 | \$1,040.16 |
| CAP Labor, Energy Auditor | 1740 | Conservation Activity Plan labor involving analyzing energy efficient measures and conducting energy audits of industrial areas and facilities. | Hour | \$44.30 | 17 | \$753.10 |

Practice: 124 - Agricultural Energy Management Plan-Landscape (AgEMP)

Scenario: #4 - Non-Irrigated Extra Large, Greater Than 5000 acres

Scenario Description:

Typical non-irrigated extra large cropping operation with >5,000 acres. Natural Resource Concern: Energy Conservation

Before Situation:

Agricultural producer currently has minimal knowledge of and no plan for energy conservation. Producer currently manages a extra large non-irrigated operation with >5,000 acres. Producer is willing to collaborate with a certified TSP to develop an AgEMP 124 CAP (on-farm energy audit). Participant to obtain an AgEMP by a certified Technical Service Provider, in accordance with ASABE S612, July 2009, for non-irrigated crops farmed on >5,000 acres. The purpose of this AgEMP is to provide the producer with specific recommendations for increasing energy efficiency and reducing energy use for each major cropping activity on the farm. The AgEMP is to provide estimates of energy savings for the landscape operations and does not include the headquarter operations. Energy useage may include, but is not limited to: manure land application; agricultural practices (i.e., on-farm-use of mobile agricultural equipment). An AgEMP is developed to assist an owner/operator in meeting all applicable local, tribal, State, and Federal water quality goals or regulations. Associated Practices: 122 Agricultural Energy Management Plan - Headquarters CAP, 374 Farmstead Energy Improvement, or other applicable practices approved in the NRCS Field Office Technical Guide.

After Situation:

After EQIP contract approval, participant has obtained services from a certified TSP for development of the "Agricultural Energy Management - Landscape" conservation activity plan. The CAP criteria requires the plan to meet quality criteria for energy conservation and efficiency. The CAP plan may include recommendations for associated conservation practices which address energy conservation. The CAP meets the basic quality criteria for the 124 plan as cited in the NRCS Field Office Technical Guide.

Scenario Feature Measure: Number

Scenario Unit: Number

Scenario Typical Size: 1

Scenario Cost: \$3,506.01

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$3,506.01

Cost Details (by category):

| Component Name | ID | Component Description | Unit | Price (\$/unit) | Quantity | Cost |
|-------------------------------------|------|---|------|-----------------|----------|------------|
| <i>Labor</i> | | | | | | |
| CAP Labor, Administrative Assistant | 1739 | Conservation Activity Plan labor involving routine clerical and administrative functions such as drafting correspondence, scheduling appointments, organizing and maintaining paper and electronic files, or providing information to callers. | Hour | \$37.07 | 2.5 | \$92.68 |
| CAP Labor, Manager | 1603 | Conservation Activity Plan labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hour | \$47.28 | 28 | \$1,323.84 |
| CAP Labor, Energy Auditor | 1740 | Conservation Activity Plan labor involving analyzing energy efficient measures and conducting energy audits of industrial areas and facilities. | Hour | \$44.30 | 22 | \$974.60 |
| Cap Labor, conservation scientist | 1300 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to manage, improve, and protect natural resources to maximize their use without damaging the environment. Interprets resource information and assess resource conditions to provide conservation practice alternatives to producers to make decisions on the treatment of their soil, water, air, plant, animal, and energy resources. May instruct farmers, agricultural production managers, or ranchers in best ways to use crop rotation, contour plowing, or terracing to conserve soil and water; in the number and kind of livestock and forage plants best suited to particular ranges; and in range and farm improvements, such as fencing and reservoirs for stock watering. | Hour | \$53.09 | 21 | \$1,114.89 |

Practice: 124 - Agricultural Energy Management Plan-Landscape (AgEMP)

Scenario: #5 - Irrigated Small, Less Than 50 acres

Scenario Description:

Typical irrigated small cropping system with < 50 acres. Natural Resource Concern: Energy Conservation

Before Situation:

Agricultural producer currently has minimal knowledge of and no plan for energy conservation. Producer currently manages a small irrigated operation with < 50 acres. Producer is willing to collaborate with a certified TSP to develop an AgEMP 124 CAP (on-farm energy audit). Participant to obtain an AgEMP by a certified Technical Service Provider, in accordance with ASABE S612, July 2009, for irrigated crops farmed on less than 50 acres. The purpose of this AgEMP is to provide the producer with specific recommendations for increasing energy efficiency and reducing energy use for each major cropping activity on the farm. The AgEMP is to provide estimates of energy savings for the landscape operations and does not include the headquarter operations. Energy usage may include, but is not limited to: irrigation pumping; manure land application; agricultural practices (i.e., on-farm-use of mobile agricultural equipment). An AgEMP is developed to assist an owner/operator in meeting all applicable local, tribal, State, and Federal water quality goals or regulations. Associated Practices: 122 Agricultural Energy Management Plan - Headquarters CAP, 374 Farmstead Energy Improvement, or other applicable practices approved in the NRCS Field Office Technical Guide.

After Situation:

After EQIP contract approval, participant has obtained services from a certified TSP for development of the "Agricultural Energy Management - Landscape" conservation activity plan. The CAP criteria requires the plan to meet quality criteria for energy conservation and efficiency. The CAP plan may include recommendations for associated conservation practices which address energy conservation. The CAP meets the basic quality criteria for the 124 plan as cited in the NRCS Field Office Technical Guide.

Scenario Feature Measure: Number

Scenario Unit: Number

Scenario Typical Size: 1

Scenario Cost: \$2,707.40

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$2,707.40

Cost Details (by category):

| Component Name | ID | Component Description | Unit | Price (\$/unit) | Quantity | Cost |
|-------------------------------------|------|---|------|-----------------|----------|------------|
| <i>Labor</i> | | | | | | |
| CAP Labor, Energy Auditor | 1740 | Conservation Activity Plan labor involving analyzing energy efficient measures and conducting energy audits of industrial areas and facilities. | Hour | \$44.30 | 12 | \$531.60 |
| CAP Labor, Administrative Assistant | 1739 | Conservation Activity Plan labor involving routine clerical and administrative functions such as drafting correspondence, scheduling appointments, organizing and maintaining paper and electronic files, or providing information to callers. | Hour | \$37.07 | 1.5 | \$55.61 |
| Cap Labor, conservation scientist | 1300 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to manage, improve, and protect natural resources to maximize their use without damaging the environment. Interprets resource information and assess resource conditions to provide conservation practice alternatives to producers to make decisions on the treatment of their soil, water, air, plant, animal, and energy resources. May instruct farmers, agricultural production managers, or ranchers in best ways to use crop rotation, contour plowing, or terracing to conserve soil and water; in the number and kind of livestock and forage plants best suited to particular ranges; and in range and farm improvements, such as fencing and reservoirs for stock watering. | Hour | \$53.09 | 15 | \$796.35 |
| CAP Labor, Manager | 1603 | Conservation Activity Plan labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hour | \$47.28 | 28 | \$1,323.84 |

Practice: 124 - Agricultural Energy Management Plan-Landscape (AgEMP)

Scenario: #6 - Irrigated Medium, 50 to 499 acres

Scenario Description:

Typical irrigated medium cropping operation with 50-499 acres. Natural Resource Concern: Energy Conservation

Before Situation:

Agricultural producer currently has minimal knowledge of and no plan for energy conservation. Producer currently manages a medium irrigated operation with 50-499 acres. Producer is willing to collaborate with a certified TSP to develop an AgEMP 124 CAP (on-farm energy audit). Participant to obtain an AgEMP by a certified Technical Service Provider, in accordance with ASABE S612, July 2009, for irrigated crops farmed on 50-499 acres. The purpose of this AgEMP is to provide the producer with specific recommendations for increasing energy efficiency and reducing energy use for each major cropping activity on the farm. The AgEMP is to provide estimates of energy savings for the landscape operations and does not include the headquarter operations. Energy useage may include, but is not limited to: irrigation pumping; manure land application; agricultural practices (i.e., on-farm-use of mobile agricultural equipment). An AgEMP is developed to assist an owner/operator in meeting all applicable local, tribal, State, and Federal water quality goals or regulations. Associated Practices: 122 Agricultural Energy Management Plan - Headquarters CAP, 374 Farmstead Energy Improvement, or other applicable practices approved in the NRCS Field Office Technical Guide.

After Situation:

After EQIP contract approval, participant has obtained services from a certified TSP for development of the "Agricultural Energy Management - Landscape" conservation activity plan. The CAP criteria requires the plan to meet quality criteria for energy conservation and efficiency. The CAP plan may include recommendations for associated conservation practices which address energy conservation. The CAP meets the basic quality criteria for the 124 plan as cited in the NRCS Field Office Technical Guide.

Scenario Feature Measure: Number

Scenario Unit: Number

Scenario Typical Size: 1

Scenario Cost: \$3,598.04

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$3,598.04

Cost Details (by category):

| Component Name | ID | Component Description | Unit | Price (\$/unit) | Quantity | Cost |
|-------------------------------------|------|---|------|-----------------|----------|------------|
| <i>Labor</i> | | | | | | |
| Cap Labor, conservation scientist | 1300 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to manage, improve, and protect natural resources to maximize their use without damaging the environment. Interprets resource information and assess resource conditions to provide conservation practice alternatives to producers to make decisions on the treatment of their soil, water, air, plant, animal, and energy resources. May instruct farmers, agricultural production managers, or ranchers in best ways to use crop rotation, contour plowing, or terracing to conserve soil and water; in the number and kind of livestock and forage plants best suited to particular ranges; and in range and farm improvements, such as fencing and reservoirs for stock watering. | Hour | \$53.09 | 18 | \$955.62 |
| CAP Labor, Manager | 1603 | Conservation Activity Plan labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hour | \$47.28 | 38 | \$1,796.64 |
| CAP Labor, Energy Auditor | 1740 | Conservation Activity Plan labor involving analyzing energy efficient measures and conducting energy audits of industrial areas and facilities. | Hour | \$44.30 | 17 | \$753.10 |
| CAP Labor, Administrative Assistant | 1739 | Conservation Activity Plan labor involving routine clerical and administrative functions such as drafting correspondence, scheduling appointments, organizing and maintaining paper and electronic files, or providing information to callers. | Hour | \$37.07 | 2.5 | \$92.68 |

Practice: 124 - Agricultural Energy Management Plan-Landscape (AgEMP)

Scenario: #7 - Irrigated Large, 500 to 5000 acres

Scenario Description:

Typical irrigated large cropping operation with 500-5,000 acres. Natural Resource Concern: Energy Conservation

Before Situation:

Agricultural producer currently has minimal knowledge of and no plan for energy conservation. Producer currently manages a large irrigated operation with 500-5,000 acres. Producer is willing to collaborate with a certified TSP to develop an AgEMP 124 CAP (on-farm energy audit). Participant to obtain an AgEMP by a certified Technical Service Provider, in accordance with ASABE S612, July 2009, for irrigated crops farmed on 500-5,000 acres. The purpose of this AgEMP is to provide the producer with specific recommendations for increasing energy efficiency and reducing energy use for each major cropping activity on the farm. The AgEMP is to provide estimates of energy savings for the landscape operations and does not include the headquarter operations. Energy usage may include, but is not limited to: irrigation pumping; manure land application; agricultural practices (i.e., on-farm-use of mobile agricultural equipment). An AgEMP is developed to assist an owner/operator in meeting all applicable local, tribal, State, and Federal water quality goals or regulations. Associated Practices: 122 Agricultural Energy Management Plan - Headquarters CAP, 374 Farmstead Energy Improvement, or other applicable practices approved in the NRCS Field Office Technical Guide.

After Situation:

After EQIP contract approval, participant has obtained services from a certified TSP for development of the "Agricultural Energy Management - Landscape" conservation activity plan. The CAP criteria requires the plan to meet quality criteria for energy conservation and efficiency. The CAP plan may include recommendations for associated conservation practices which address energy conservation. The CAP meets the basic quality criteria for the 124 plan as cited in the NRCS Field Office Technical Guide.

Scenario Feature Measure: Number

Scenario Unit: Number

Scenario Typical Size: 1

Scenario Cost: \$4,641.52

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$4,641.52

Cost Details (by category):

| Component Name | ID | Component Description | Unit | Price (\$/unit) | Quantity | Cost |
|-------------------------------------|------|---|------|-----------------|----------|------------|
| <i>Labor</i> | | | | | | |
| Cap Labor, conservation scientist | 1300 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to manage, improve, and protect natural resources to maximize their use without damaging the environment. Interprets resource information and assess resource conditions to provide conservation practice alternatives to producers to make decisions on the treatment of their soil, water, air, plant, animal, and energy resources. May instruct farmers, agricultural production managers, or ranchers in best ways to use crop rotation, contour plowing, or terracing to conserve soil and water; in the number and kind of livestock and forage plants best suited to particular ranges; and in range and farm improvements, such as fencing and reservoirs for stock watering. | Hour | \$53.09 | 27 | \$1,433.43 |
| CAP Labor, Administrative Assistant | 1739 | Conservation Activity Plan labor involving routine clerical and administrative functions such as drafting correspondence, scheduling appointments, organizing and maintaining paper and electronic files, or providing information to callers. | Hour | \$37.07 | 2.5 | \$92.68 |
| CAP Labor, Manager | 1603 | Conservation Activity Plan labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hour | \$47.28 | 42 | \$1,985.76 |
| CAP Labor, Energy Auditor | 1740 | Conservation Activity Plan labor involving analyzing energy efficient measures and conducting energy audits of industrial areas and facilities. | Hour | \$44.30 | 25.5 | \$1,129.65 |

Practice: 124 - Agricultural Energy Management Plan-Landscape (AgEMP)

Scenario: #8 - Irrigated Extra Large, Greater Than 5000 acres

Scenario Description:

Typical irrigated extra large cropping operation with >5,000 acres. Natural Resource Concern: Energy Conservation

Before Situation:

Agricultural producer currently has minimal knowledge of and no plan for energy conservation. Producer currently manages a extra large irrigated operation with >5,000 acres. Producer is willing to collaborate with a certified TSP to develop an AgEMP 124 CAP (on-farm energy audit). Participant to obtain an AgEMP by a certified Technical Service Provider, in accordance with ASABE S612, July 2009, for irrigated crops farmed on >5,000 acres. The purpose of this AgEMP is to provide the producer with specific recommendations for increasing energy efficiency and reducing energy use for each major cropping activity on the farm. The AgEMP is to provide estimates of energy savings for the landscape operations and does not include the headquarter operations. Energy useage may include, but is not limited to: irrigation pumping; manure land application; agricultural practices (i.e., on-farm-use of mobile agricultural equipment). An AgEMP is developed to assist an owner/operator in meeting all applicable local, tribal, State, and Federal water quality goals or regulations. Associated Practices: 122 Agricultural Energy Management Plan - Headquarters CAP, 374 Farmstead Energy Improvement, or other applicable practices approved in the NRCS Field Office Technical Guide.

After Situation:

After EQIP contract approval, participant has obtained services from a certified TSP for development of the "Agricultural Energy Management - Landscape" conservation activity plan. The CAP criteria requires the plan to meet quality criteria for energy conservation and efficiency. The CAP plan may include recommendations for associated conservation practices which address energy conservation. The CAP meets the basic quality criteria for the 124 plan as cited in the NRCS Field Office Technical Guide.

Scenario Feature Measure: Number

Scenario Unit: Number

Scenario Typical Size: 1

Scenario Cost: \$5,212.01

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$5,212.01

Cost Details (by category):

| Component Name | ID | Component Description | Unit | Price (\$/unit) | Quantity | Cost |
|-------------------------------------|------|---|------|-----------------|----------|------------|
| <i>Labor</i> | | | | | | |
| Cap Labor, conservation scientist | 1300 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to manage, improve, and protect natural resources to maximize their use without damaging the environment. Interprets resource information and assess resource conditions to provide conservation practice alternatives to producers to make decisions on the treatment of their soil, water, air, plant, animal, and energy resources. May instruct farmers, agricultural production managers, or ranchers in best ways to use crop rotation, contour plowing, or terracing to conserve soil and water; in the number and kind of livestock and forage plants best suited to particular ranges; and in range and farm improvements, such as fencing and reservoirs for stock watering. | Hour | \$53.09 | 33 | \$1,751.97 |
| CAP Labor, Manager | 1603 | Conservation Activity Plan labor involving supervision or management activities. Includes crew supervisors, foremen and farm/ranch managers time required for adopting new technology, etc. | Hour | \$47.28 | 46 | \$2,174.88 |
| CAP Labor, Energy Auditor | 1740 | Conservation Activity Plan labor involving analyzing energy efficient measures and conducting energy audits of industrial areas and facilities. | Hour | \$44.30 | 26.5 | \$1,173.95 |
| CAP Labor, Administrative Assistant | 1739 | Conservation Activity Plan labor involving routine clerical and administrative functions such as drafting correspondence, scheduling appointments, organizing and maintaining paper and electronic files, or providing information to callers. | Hour | \$37.07 | 3 | \$111.21 |

Practice: 126 - Comprehensive Air Quality Management Plan

Scenario: #1 - Comprehensive Air Quality Management Plan CAP (Pacific Region Only)

Scenario Description:

Agricultural operations that have made a decision to consider air emissions resulting from normal on-farm activities which may cause an air quality resource concern. Natural Resource Concerns: Air Quality: Emissions of Particulate Matter (PM) and PM Precursors, Emissions of Ozone Precursors, Objectionable Odors, and Emissions of Greenhouse Gases.

Before Situation:

Agricultural operations have not made the decision to consider Farm -Related air emissions, and thus, have not developed a comprehensive air quality management plan that documents the consideration of emissions types and changes in farm management activities, that, when implemented, will reduce identified emissions of concern. Operation may or may not be subject to regulation or permit requirements by Federal, State or local jurisdictions. Associated Practices: FOTG approved practices with a purpose to address air quality concerns may be considered, such as: land treatment practices, crop residue management, manure management systems, and livestock feeding and housing-related practices.

After Situation:

After EQIP contract approval, participant has obtained services from a certified TSP for development of a Comprehensive Air Quality Management Plan that documents specific emissions of concern that have been considered by the producer, as well as farm management components and activities considered by the producer that, when implemented, will help mitigate identified on-farm emissions of concern. Emissions of concern to be considered by the producer will include particulate matter, ammonia, volatile organic compounds (VOCs), oxides of nitrogen (NOx), odors, carbon dioxide, methane, nitrous oxide, and others that may result from normal farming and ranching activities. The plan must document agricultural activities and producer decisions relative to these activities that may serve to manage the documented emission types to meet the quality criteria requirements cited in the NRCS planning document. Emissions mitigating agricultural activities may include any NRCS approved conservation practice which has a purpose to address air quality resource concerns. The plan must also address or provide recommendations for air quality related regulation or permitting requirements that the producer is subject to.

Scenario Feature Measure: Number

Scenario Unit: Number

Scenario Typical Size: 1

Scenario Cost: \$5,234.45

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$5,234.45

Cost Details (by category):

| Component Name | ID | Component Description | Unit | Price (\$/unit) | Quantity | Cost |
|----------------------------------|------|---|------|-----------------|----------|------------|
| <i>Labor</i> | | | | | | |
| CAP Labor, professional engineer | 1297 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to apply knowledge of engineering technology and biological science to agricultural problems concerned with power and machinery, electrification, structures, soil and water conservation, and processing of agricultural products. Cost associated with this component includes overhead and benefits (market price). | Hour | \$80.53 | 65 | \$5,234.45 |

Practice: 126 - Comprehensive Air Quality Management Plan

Scenario: #2 - Comprehensive Air Quality Management Plan CAP (Rest of US)

Scenario Description:

Agricultural operations that have made a decision to consider air emissions resulting from normal on-farm activities which may cause an air quality resource concern. Natural Resource Concerns: Air Quality: Emissions of Particulate Matter (PM) and PM Precursors, Emissions of Ozone Precursors, Objectionable Odors, and Emissions of Greenhouse Gases.

Before Situation:

Agricultural operations have not made the decision to consider Farm -Related air emissions, and thus, have not developed a comprehensive air quality management plan that documents the consideration of emissions types and changes in farm management activities, that, when implemented, will reduce identified emissions of concern. Operation may or may not be subject to regulation or permit requirements by Federal, State or local jurisdictions. Associated Practices: FOTG approved practices with a purpose to address air quality concerns may be considered, such as: land treatment practices, crop residue management, manure management systems, and livestock feeding and housing-related practices.

After Situation:

After EQIP contract approval, participant has obtained services from a certified TSP for development of a Comprehensive Air Quality Management Plan that documents specific emissions of concern that have been considered by the producer, as well as farm management components and activities considered by the producer that, when implemented, will help mitigate identified on-farm emissions of concern. Emissions of concern to be considered by the producer will include particulate matter, ammonia, volatile organic compounds (VOCs), oxides of nitrogen (NOx), odors, carbon dioxide, methane, nitrous oxide, and others that may result from normal farming and ranching activities. The plan must document agricultural activities and producer decisions relative to these activities that may serve to manage the documented emission types to meet the quality criteria requirements cited in the NRCS planning document. Emissions mitigating agricultural activities may include any NRCS approved conservation practice which has a purpose to address air quality resource concerns. The plan must also address or provide recommendations for air quality related regulation or permitting requirements that the producer is subject to.

Scenario Feature Measure: Number

Scenario Unit: Number

Scenario Typical Size: 1

Scenario Cost: \$3,623.85

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$3,623.85

Cost Details (by category):

| Component Name | ID | Component Description | Unit | Price (\$/unit) | Quantity | Cost |
|----------------------------------|------|---|------|-----------------|----------|------------|
| <i>Labor</i> | | | | | | |
| CAP Labor, professional engineer | 1297 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to apply knowledge of engineering technology and biological science to agricultural problems concerned with power and machinery, electrification, structures, soil and water conservation, and processing of agricultural products. Cost associated with this component includes overhead and benefits (market price). | Hour | \$80.53 | 45 | \$3,623.85 |

Practice: 130 - Drainage Water Management Plan

Scenario: #1 - DWMP - Tile Map Available

Scenario Description:

A Drainage Water Management Plan (DWMP) will be developed on a relatively flat crop field with a patterned drainage system, where a map of the tile system is available. The DWMP will document soil, topographic, and drainage system maps of the site, and identify the number and location of water control structures that are needed to implement drainage water management according to Field Office Technical Guide standards. The DWMP will also provide guidelines for management of the water control structures to achieve desired resource outcomes.

Before Situation:

Producer has no plan for or knowledge for controlling drainage water retention. The producer does not manage the field for the purpose of controlling water retention and therefore crop yields are reduced. Existing ditches and/or tile drains on the cropland field currently result in flow off field to waterways resulting in potential water quality resource concerns related to excessive nitrogen.

After Situation:

A certified Technical Service Provider (TSP) develops the "Drainage Water Management" conservation activity plan (CAP). The DWMP documents soil, topographic, and drainage system maps of the site, and identifies the number and location of water control structures that are needed to implement drainage water management according to Field Office Technical Guide standards. The DWMP also provides guidelines for management of the water control structures to achieve desired resource outcomes. The plan is ready for implementation with structural measures and management once the structures are installed. No actual benefits to resource concerns are achieved until the practices in the DWMP are implemented.

Scenario Feature Measure: Number

Scenario Unit: Number

Scenario Typical Size: 1

Scenario Cost: \$2,255.21

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$2,255.21

Cost Details (by category):

| Component Name | ID | Component Description | Unit | Price (\$/unit) | Quantity | Cost |
|-----------------------------------|------|---|------|-----------------|----------|----------|
| <i>Labor</i> | | | | | | |
| Cap Labor, conservation scientist | 1300 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to manage, improve, and protect natural resources to maximize their use without damaging the environment. Interprets resource information and assess resource conditions to provide conservation practice alternatives to producers to make decisions on the treatment of their soil, water, air, plant, animal, and energy resources. May instruct farmers, agricultural production managers, or ranchers in best ways to use crop rotation, contour plowing, or terracing to conserve soil and water; in the number and kind of livestock and forage plants best suited to particular ranges; and in range and farm improvements, such as fencing and reservoirs for stock watering. | Hour | \$53.09 | 13 | \$690.17 |
| CAP Labor, small surveying crew | 1296 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to perform surveying and mapping duties, usually under the direction of an engineer, surveyor, cartographer, or photogrammetrist to obtain data used for construction, mapmaking, boundary location, mining, or other purposes. May calculate mapmaking information and create maps from source data, such as surveying notes, aerial photography, satellite data, or other maps to show topographical features, political boundaries, and other features. Cost associated with this component includes two man field crew, equipment, vehicle, overhead, and miscellaneous supplies. | Hour | \$103.63 | 8 | \$829.04 |

Labor

| | | | | | | |
|--|------|---|------|---------|----|----------|
| Cap Labor, Survey and Mapping Technician | 1591 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to perform surveying and mapping duties, usually under the direction of an engineer, surveyor, cartographer, or photogrammetrist to obtain data used for construction, mapmaking, boundary location, mining, or other purposes. May calculate mapmaking information and create maps from source data, such as surveying notes, aerial photography, satellite data, or other maps to show topographical features, political boundaries, and other features. May verify accuracy and completeness of maps. | Hour | \$46.00 | 16 | \$736.00 |
|--|------|---|------|---------|----|----------|

Practice: 130 - Drainage Water Management Plan

Scenario: #2 - DWMP (PE) - Tile Map Available

Scenario Description:

A Drainage Water Management Plan (DWMP) will be developed on a relatively flat crop field with a patterned drainage system, where a map of the tile system is available. For this plan, the services of a Licensed Professional Engineer are required to meet state regulations. The DWMP will document soil, topographic, and drainage system maps of the site, and identify the number and location of water control structures that are needed to implement drainage water management according to Field Office Technical Guide standards. The DWMP will also provide guidelines for management of the water control structures to achieve desired resource outcomes.

Before Situation:

Producer has no plan for or knowledge for controlling drainage water retention. The producer does not manage the field for the purpose of controlling water retention and therefore crop yields are reduced. Existing ditches and/or tile drains on the cropland field currently result in flow off field to waterways resulting in potential water quality resource concerns related to excessive nitrogen.

After Situation:

A certified Technical Service Provider (TSP) develops the "Drainage Water Management" conservation activity plan (CAP). The DWMP documents soil, topographic, and drainage system maps of the site, and identifies the number and location of water control structures that are needed to implement drainage water management according to Field Office Technical Guide standards. The DWMP also provides guidelines for management of the water control structures to achieve desired resource outcomes. The plan is ready for implementation with structural measures and management once the structures are installed. No actual benefits to resource concerns are achieved until the practices in the DWMP are implemented.

Scenario Feature Measure: Number

Scenario Unit: Number

Scenario Typical Size: 1

Scenario Cost: \$2,416.27

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$2,416.27

Cost Details (by category):

| Component Name | ID | Component Description | Unit | Price (\$/unit) | Quantity | Cost |
|--|------|---|------|-----------------|----------|----------|
| <i>Labor</i> | | | | | | |
| CAP Labor, professional engineer | 1297 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to apply knowledge of engineering technology and biological science to agricultural problems concerned with power and machinery, electrification, structures, soil and water conservation, and processing of agricultural products. Cost associated with this component includes overhead and benefits (market price). | Hour | \$80.53 | 2 | \$161.06 |
| Cap Labor, Survey and Mapping Technician | 1591 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to perform surveying and mapping duties, usually under the direction of an engineer, surveyor, cartographer, or photogrammetrist to obtain data used for construction, mapmaking, boundary location, mining, or other purposes. May calculate mapmaking information and create maps from source data, such as surveying notes, aerial photography, satellite data, or other maps to show topographical features, political boundaries, and other features. May verify accuracy and completeness of maps. | Hour | \$46.00 | 16 | \$736.00 |
| Cap Labor, conservation scientist | 1300 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to manage, improve, and protect natural resources to maximize their use without damaging the environment. Interprets resource information and assess resource conditions to provide conservation practice alternatives to producers to make decisions on the treatment of their soil, water, air, plant, animal, and energy resources. May instruct farmers, agricultural production managers, or ranchers in best ways to use crop rotation, contour plowing, or terracing to conserve soil and water; in the number and kind of livestock and forage plants best suited to particular ranges; and in range and farm improvements, such as fencing and reservoirs for stock watering. | Hour | \$53.09 | 13 | \$690.17 |

Labor

| | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|------|--|------|----------|---|----------|
| CAP Labor, small surveying crew | 1296 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to perform surveying and mapping duties, usually under the direction of an engineer, surveyor, cartographer, or photogrammetrist to obtain data used for construction, mapmaking, boundary location, mining, or other purposes. May calculate mapmaking information and create maps from source data, such as surveying notes, aerial photography, satellite data, or other maps to show topographical features, political boundaries, and other features. Cost associated with this component includes two man field crew, equipment, vehicle, overhead, and miscellaneous supplies. | Hour | \$103.63 | 8 | \$829.04 |
|---------------------------------|------|--|------|----------|---|----------|

Practice: 130 - Drainage Water Management Plan

Scenario: #3 - DWMP - No Tile Map Available

Scenario Description:

A Drainage Water Management Plan (DWMP) will be developed on a relatively flat crop field with a patterned drainage system, where no map of the tile system is available. The DWMP will document soil, topographic, and drainage system maps of the site, and identify the number and location of water control structures that are needed to implement drainage water management according to Field Office Technical Guide standards. The DWMP will also provide guidelines for management of the water control structures to achieve desired resource outcomes.

Before Situation:

Producer has no plan for or knowledge for controlling drainage water retention. The producer does not manage the field for the purpose of controlling water retention and therefore crop yields are reduced. Existing ditches and/or tile drains on the cropland field currently result in flow off field to waterways resulting in potential water quality resource concerns related to excessive nitrogen.

After Situation:

A certified Technical Service Provider (TSP) develops the "Drainage Water Management" conservation activity plan (CAP). The DWMP documents soil, topographic, and drainage system maps of the site, and identifies the number and location of water control structures that are needed to implement drainage water management according to Field Office Technical Guide standards. The DWMP also provides guidelines for management of the water control structures to achieve desired resource outcomes. The plan is ready for implementation with structural measures and management once the structures are installed. No actual benefits to resource concerns are achieved until the practices in the DWMP are implemented.

Scenario Feature Measure: Number

Scenario Unit: Number

Scenario Typical Size: 1

Scenario Cost: \$2,832.81

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$2,832.81

Cost Details (by category):

| Component Name | ID | Component Description | Unit | Price (\$/unit) | Quantity | Cost |
|-----------------------------------|------|---|------|-----------------|----------|----------|
| <i>Labor</i> | | | | | | |
| Cap Labor, conservation scientist | 1300 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to manage, improve, and protect natural resources to maximize their use without damaging the environment. Interprets resource information and assess resource conditions to provide conservation practice alternatives to producers to make decisions on the treatment of their soil, water, air, plant, animal, and energy resources. May instruct farmers, agricultural production managers, or ranchers in best ways to use crop rotation, contour plowing, or terracing to conserve soil and water; in the number and kind of livestock and forage plants best suited to particular ranges; and in range and farm improvements, such as fencing and reservoirs for stock watering. | Hour | \$53.09 | 13 | \$690.17 |
| CAP Labor, small surveying crew | 1296 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to perform surveying and mapping duties, usually under the direction of an engineer, surveyor, cartographer, or photogrammetrist to obtain data used for construction, mapmaking, boundary location, mining, or other purposes. May calculate mapmaking information and create maps from source data, such as surveying notes, aerial photography, satellite data, or other maps to show topographical features, political boundaries, and other features. Cost associated with this component includes two man field crew, equipment, vehicle, overhead, and miscellaneous supplies. | Hour | \$103.63 | 8 | \$829.04 |
| CAP Labor, Skilled | 1604 | Conservation Activity Plan labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hour | \$36.10 | 16 | \$577.60 |

Labor

| | | | | | | |
|--|------|---|------|---------|----|----------|
| Cap Labor, Survey and Mapping Technician | 1591 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to perform surveying and mapping duties, usually under the direction of an engineer, surveyor, cartographer, or photogrammetrist to obtain data used for construction, mapmaking, boundary location, mining, or other purposes. May calculate mapmaking information and create maps from source data, such as surveying notes, aerial photography, satellite data, or other maps to show topographical features, political boundaries, and other features. May verify accuracy and completeness of maps. | Hour | \$46.00 | 16 | \$736.00 |
|--|------|---|------|---------|----|----------|

Practice: 130 - Drainage Water Management Plan

Scenario: #4 - DWMP (PE) - No Tile Map Available

Scenario Description:

A Drainage Water Management Plan (DWMP) will be developed on a relatively flat crop field with a patterned drainage system, where no map of the tile system is available. For this plan, the services of a Licensed Professional Engineer are required to meet state regulations. The DWMP will document soil, topographic, and drainage system maps of the site, and identify the number and location of water control structures that are needed to implement drainage water management according to Field Office Technical Guide standards. The DWMP will also provide guidelines for management of the water control structures to achieve desired resource outcomes.

Before Situation:

Producer has no plan for or knowledge for controlling drainage water retention. The producer does not manage the field for the purpose of controlling water retention and therefore crop yields are reduced. Existing ditches and/or tile drains on the cropland field currently result in flow off field to waterways resulting in potential water quality resource concerns related to excessive nitrogen.

After Situation:

A certified Technical Service Provider (TSP) develops the "Drainage Water Management" conservation activity plan (CAP). The DWMP documents soil, topographic, and drainage system maps of the site, and identifies the number and location of water control structures that are needed to implement drainage water management according to Field Office Technical Guide standards. The DWMP also provides guidelines for management of the water control structures to achieve desired resource outcomes. The plan is ready for implementation with structural measures and management once the structures are installed. No actual benefits to resource concerns are achieved until the practices in the DWMP are implemented.

Scenario Feature Measure: Number

Scenario Unit: Number

Scenario Typical Size: 1

Scenario Cost: \$2,993.87

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$2,993.87

Cost Details (by category):

| Component Name | ID | Component Description | Unit | Price (\$/unit) | Quantity | Cost |
|--|------|---|------|-----------------|----------|----------|
| <i>Labor</i> | | | | | | |
| CAP Labor, professional engineer | 1297 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to apply knowledge of engineering technology and biological science to agricultural problems concerned with power and machinery, electrification, structures, soil and water conservation, and processing of agricultural products. Cost associated with this component includes overhead and benefits (market price). | Hour | \$80.53 | 2 | \$161.06 |
| Cap Labor, Survey and Mapping Technician | 1591 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to perform surveying and mapping duties, usually under the direction of an engineer, surveyor, cartographer, or photogrammetrist to obtain data used for construction, mapmaking, boundary location, mining, or other purposes. May calculate mapmaking information and create maps from source data, such as surveying notes, aerial photography, satellite data, or other maps to show topographical features, political boundaries, and other features. May verify accuracy and completeness of maps. | Hour | \$46.00 | 16 | \$736.00 |
| Cap Labor, conservation scientist | 1300 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to manage, improve, and protect natural resources to maximize their use without damaging the environment. Interprets resource information and assess resource conditions to provide conservation practice alternatives to producers to make decisions on the treatment of their soil, water, air, plant, animal, and energy resources. May instruct farmers, agricultural production managers, or ranchers in best ways to use crop rotation, contour plowing, or terracing to conserve soil and water; in the number and kind of livestock and forage plants best suited to particular ranges; and in range and farm improvements, such as fencing and reservoirs for stock watering. | Hour | \$53.09 | 13 | \$690.17 |

Labor

| | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|------|--|------|----------|----|----------|
| CAP Labor, small surveying crew | 1296 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to perform surveying and mapping duties, usually under the direction of an engineer, surveyor, cartographer, or photogrammetrist to obtain data used for construction, mapmaking, boundary location, mining, or other purposes. May calculate mapmaking information and create maps from source data, such as surveying notes, aerial photography, satellite data, or other maps to show topographical features, political boundaries, and other features. Cost associated with this component includes two man field crew, equipment, vehicle, overhead, and miscellaneous supplies. | Hour | \$103.63 | 8 | \$829.04 |
| CAP Labor, Skilled | 1604 | Conservation Activity Plan labor requiring a high level skill set: Includes carpenters, welders, electricians, conservation professionals involved with data collection, monitoring, and or record keeping, etc. | Hour | \$36.10 | 16 | \$577.60 |

Practice: 134 - Conservation Plan Supporting Transition from Irrigation to Dry-land Farming Plan (Only for use in approved AWEP project areas)

Scenario: #1 - AWEP Transition CAP

Scenario Description:

Typical operation is 100 acres of irrigated corn, soybeans, wheat or other grain crops. Cropland fields are typically less than 1% slope and irrigated using a sprinkler system. Natural Resource Concern: Water Quality and Water Quantity on Irrigated Cropland.

Before Situation:

Producer farms field crops on 100 acres of irrigated land. Source of irrigation water is pumped from a depleting groundwater aquifer. Fields are sometimes subject to erosion causing sedimentation and impacts to surface water quality. Associated Practices: 328-Conservation Crop Rotation; 340-Cover Crop; 330-Contour Farming; 386-Field Border; 393-Filter Strip; 422-Hedgerow Planting; 484-Mulching; 512-Forage and Biomass Planting; 345-Residue and Tillage Management, Mulch Till; 329-Residue Management, No Till/Strip Till/Direct Seed; 346-Residue Management, Ridge Till; 344-Residue Management, Seasonal; 585-Stripcropping; 380-Windbreak/Shelter Belt Establishment; 590-Nutrient Management; 595-Integrated Pest Management; 528-Prescribed Grazing; 600-Terrace; 636-Water Harvesting Catchment; Other engineering practices as needed.

After Situation:

After EQIP contract approval, participant has obtained services from a certified TSP for development of the "Conservation Plan Supporting Transition from Irrigation to dry-land Farming" conservation activity plan (CAP). The CAP criteria requires the plan to identify the resource concerns associated with water quality and water conservation as prioritized in the approved AWEP project area. The AWEP project partner has encouraged the participant to develop a plan to transition from irrigated cropland to dry-land cropland. The CAP plan will provide the participant with alternatives and identify conservation practices which will assist the producer during the transition period as well as address water quality/quantity resource concerns.

Scenario Feature Measure: Number

Scenario Unit: Number

Scenario Typical Size: 1

Scenario Cost: \$1,592.70

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$1,592.70

Cost Details (by category):

| Component Name | ID | Component Description | Unit | Price (\$/unit) | Quantity | Cost |
|-----------------------------------|------|---|------|-----------------|----------|------------|
| <i>Labor</i> | | | | | | |
| Cap Labor, conservation scientist | 1300 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to manage, improve, and protect natural resources to maximize their use without damaging the environment. Interprets resource information and assess resource conditions to provide conservation practice alternatives to producers to make decisions on the treatment of their soil, water, air, plant, animal, and energy resources. May instruct farmers, agricultural production managers, or ranchers in best ways to use crop rotation, contour plowing, or terracing to conserve soil and water; in the number and kind of livestock and forage plants best suited to particular ranges; and in range and farm improvements, such as fencing and reservoirs for stock watering. | Hour | \$53.09 | 30 | \$1,592.70 |

Practice: 138 - Conservation Plan Supporting Organic Transition

Scenario: #1 - Conservation Plan Supporting Organic Transition CAP

Scenario Description:

Agricultural operation where producer will transition from conventional to organic to meet USDA National Organic Program (NOP) requirements. Natural Resource Concern: Soil Erosion, Water Quality, Plant Condition, and other identified natural resource concerns.

Before Situation:

Agricultural operation currently managed using traditional and conventional methods for farming and/or ranching. The producer currently manages operation based upon personal knowledge, or other local criteria. Producer is interested in transitioning part or all of the management unit to meet national USDA requirements for certified operation. Producer is willing to collaborate with a certified TSP to develop a plan and collect/coordinate data recording to monitor per requirements of plan. Associated Practices: Refer to the NRCS Plan Criteria for conservation practices associated with operations transitioning to organic certification and typically needed to address identified natural resource concerns.

After Situation:

After EQIP contract approval, participant has obtained services from a certified TSP to develop the "Conservation Plan Supporting Organic Transition" conservation activity plan. The CAP criteria requires the plan to meet quality criteria for applicable resource concerns and provides for opportunities to implement a system of conservation practices which assist the producer to transition from conventional farming or ranching to an organic production system. The CAP plan will include conservation practices which address related resource concerns. CAP meets the basic quality criteria for the 138 plan as cited in the NRCS Field Office Technical Guide.

Scenario Feature Measure: Number

Scenario Unit: Number

Scenario Typical Size: 1

Scenario Cost: \$2,176.69

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$2,176.69

Cost Details (by category):

| Component Name | ID | Component Description | Unit | Price (\$/unit) | Quantity | Cost |
|-----------------------------------|------|---|------|-----------------|----------|------------|
| <i>Labor</i> | | | | | | |
| Cap Labor, conservation scientist | 1300 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to manage, improve, and protect natural resources to maximize their use without damaging the environment. Interprets resource information and assess resource conditions to provide conservation practice alternatives to producers to make decisions on the treatment of their soil, water, air, plant, animal, and energy resources. May instruct farmers, agricultural production managers, or ranchers in best ways to use crop rotation, contour plowing, or terracing to conserve soil and water; in the number and kind of livestock and forage plants best suited to particular ranges; and in range and farm improvements, such as fencing and reservoirs for stock watering. | Hour | \$53.09 | 41 | \$2,176.69 |

Practice: 138 - Conservation Plan Supporting Organic Transition

Scenario: #2 - Conservation Plan Supporting Organic Transition CAP No Local TSP

Scenario Description:

Agricultural operation where producer will transition from conventional to organic to meet USDA National Organic Program (NOP) requirements. No qualified TSP within 300 miles. Natural Resource Concern: Soil Erosion, Water Quality, Plant Condition, and other identified natural resource concerns.

Before Situation:

Agricultural operation currently managed using traditional and conventional methods for farming and/or ranching. The producer currently manages operation based upon personal knowledge, or other local criteria. Producer is interested in transitioning part or all of the management unit to meet national USDA requirements for certified operation. Producer is willing to collaborate with a certified TSP to develop a plan and collect/coordinate data recording to monitor per requirements of plan. Associated Practices: Refer to the NRCS Plan Criteria for conservation practices associated with operations transitioning to organic certification and typically needed to address identified natural resource concerns.

After Situation:

After EQIP contract approval, participant has obtained services from a certified TSP to develop the "Conservation Plan Supporting Organic Transition" conservation activity plan. The CAP criteria requires the plan to meet quality criteria for applicable resource concerns and provides for opportunities to implement a system of conservation practices which assist the producer to transition from conventional farming or ranching to an organic production system. The CAP plan will include conservation practices which address related resource concerns. CAP meets the basic quality criteria for the 138 plan as cited in the NRCS Field Office Technical Guide.

Scenario Feature Measure: Number

Scenario Unit: Number

Scenario Typical Size: 1

Scenario Cost: \$3,397.76

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$3,397.76

Cost Details (by category):

| Component Name | ID | Component Description | Unit | Price (\$/unit) | Quantity | Cost |
|-----------------------------------|------|---|------|-----------------|----------|------------|
| <i>Labor</i> | | | | | | |
| Cap Labor, conservation scientist | 1300 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to manage, improve, and protect natural resources to maximize their use without damaging the environment. Interprets resource information and assess resource conditions to provide conservation practice alternatives to producers to make decisions on the treatment of their soil, water, air, plant, animal, and energy resources. May instruct farmers, agricultural production managers, or ranchers in best ways to use crop rotation, contour plowing, or terracing to conserve soil and water; in the number and kind of livestock and forage plants best suited to particular ranges; and in range and farm improvements, such as fencing and reservoirs for stock watering. | Hour | \$53.09 | 64 | \$3,397.76 |

Practice: 142 - Fish and Wildlife Habitat Management Plan

Scenario: #1 - Fish and Wildlife Habitat Management CAP

Scenario Description:

Various on-farm land uses. Natural Resource Concern: Fish and Wildlife, and other applicable resource concerns on an agricultural operation.

Before Situation:

Agricultural currently producer has no plan or knowledge of development or management of fish and/or wildlife habitat. The producer does not currently manage or enhance habitat to promote opportunities for fish and/or habitat. Within existing land uses, producer is interested in management of land or for establishment of new habitat for benefit of appropriate fish or wildlife species. Associated Practices: Applicable conservation practices cited in the CAP criteria and NRCS Field Office Technical Guide.

After Situation:

After EQIP contract approval, participant has obtained services from a certified TSP for develop of the "Fish and Wildlife Management" conservation activity plan. The CAP criteria requires the plan to meet quality criteria for the primary fish/wildlife habitat resource concern and other applicable resource concerns and provides for opportunities to improve, restore, or enhance habitat that supports native and/or managed species. The CAP plan may include recommendations for associated conservation practices which address other related resource concerns. CAP meets the basic quality criteria for the 142 plan as cited in the NRCS Field Office Technical Guide.

Scenario Feature Measure: Number

Scenario Unit: Number

Scenario Typical Size: 1

Scenario Cost: \$2,966.04

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$2,966.04

Cost Details (by category):

| Component Name | ID | Component Description | Unit | Price (\$/unit) | Quantity | Cost |
|----------------------|------|---|------|-----------------|----------|------------|
| <i>Labor</i> | | | | | | |
| CAP Labor, biologist | 1298 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to study the origins, behavior, diseases, genetics, and life processes of animals and wildlife. May specialize in wildlife research and management. May collect and analyze biological data to determine the environmental effects of present and potential use of land and water habitats. Cost associated with this component includes overhead and benefits (market price). | Hour | \$70.62 | 42 | \$2,966.04 |

Practice: 146 - Pollinator Habitat Enhancement Plan

Scenario: #1 - Pollinator Habitat Enhancement Plan CAP

Scenario Description:

Various on-farm land uses. Natural Resource Concern: Fish and Wildlife, Plant Condition, Soil Erosion, Water Quality on an agricultural operation.

Before Situation:

Agricultural producer currently has no plan or knowledge of development or management of pollinator habitat. The producer does not currently manage or enhance habitat to promote opportunities for pollinator habitat. Within existing land uses, producer may be interested in management of land or for establishment of new habitat for benefit of appropriate pollinator species. Associated Practices: 311, 322, 327, 328, 656, 332, 340, 342, 647, 386, 393, 412, 422, 603, 379, 512, 595, 338, 528, 550, 329, 643, 391, 390, 381, 395, 580, 585, 612, 645, 601, 659, 657, 644, 380, 650.

After Situation:

After EQIP contract approval, participant has obtained services from a certified TSP for develop of the "Pollinator Habitat Enhancement" conservation activity plan. The CAP criteria requires the plan to meet quality criteria for applicable resource concerns and provides for opportunities to improve, restor, or enhance flower-rich habitat that supports native and/or managed pollinator species. The CAP plan may include recommendations for associated conservation practices which address other related resource concerns. CAP meets the basic quality criteria for the 146 plan as cited in the NRCS Field Office Technical Guide.

Scenario Feature Measure: Number

Scenario Unit: Number

Scenario Typical Size: 1

Scenario Cost: \$2,966.04

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$2,966.04

Cost Details (by category):

| Component Name | ID | Component Description | Unit | Price (\$/unit) | Quantity | Cost |
|----------------------|------|---|------|-----------------|----------|------------|
| <i>Labor</i> | | | | | | |
| CAP Labor, biologist | 1298 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to study the origins, behavior, diseases, genetics, and life processes of animals and wildlife. May specialize in wildlife research and management. May collect and analyze biological data to determine the environmental effects of present and potential use of land and water habitats. Cost associated with this component includes overhead and benefits (market price). | Hour | \$70.62 | 42 | \$2,966.04 |

Practice: 146 - Pollinator Habitat Enhancement Plan

Scenario: #2 - Pollinator Habitat Enhancement Plan CAP - No Local TSP

Scenario Description:

Various on-farm land uses, No qualified TSP within 300 miles. Natural Resource Concern: Fish and Wildlife, Plant Condition, Soil Erosion, Water Quality on an agricultural operation.

Before Situation:

Agricultural producer currently has no plan or knowledge of development or management of pollinator habitat. The producer does not currently manage or enhance habitat to promote opportunities for pollinator habitat. Within existing land uses, producer may be interested in management of land or for establishment of new habitat for benefit of appropriate pollinator species. Associated Practices: 311, 322, 327, 328, 656, 332, 340, 342, 647, 386, 393, 412, 422, 603, 379, 512, 595, 338, 528, 550, 329, 643, 391, 390, 381, 395, 580, 585, 612, 645, 601, 659, 657, 644, 380, 650.

After Situation:

After EQIP contract approval, participant has obtained services from a certified TSP for develop of the "Pollinator Habitat Enhancement" conservation activity plan. The CAP criteria requires the plan to meet quality criteria for applicable resource concerns and provides for opportunities to improve, restor, or enhance flower-rich habitat that supports native and/or managed pollinator species. The CAP plan may include recommendations for associated conservation practices which address other related resource concerns. CAP meets the basic quality criteria for the 146 plan as cited in the NRCS Field Office Technical Guide.

Scenario Feature Measure: Number

Scenario Unit: Number

Scenario Typical Size: 1

Scenario Cost: \$4,307.82

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$4,307.82

Cost Details (by category):

| Component Name | ID | Component Description | Unit | Price (\$/unit) | Quantity | Cost |
|----------------------|------|---|------|-----------------|----------|------------|
| <i>Labor</i> | | | | | | |
| CAP Labor, biologist | 1298 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to study the origins, behavior, diseases, genetics, and life processes of animals and wildlife. May specialize in wildlife research and management. May collect and analyze biological data to determine the environmental effects of present and potential use of land and water habitats. Cost associated with this component includes overhead and benefits (market price). | Hour | \$70.62 | 61 | \$4,307.82 |

Practice: 154 - Integrated Pest Management Herbicide Resistance Weed Conservation Plan

Scenario: #1 - IPM Herbicide Resistance Weed Management CAP Small-Specialty Less Than or Equal to 50 Acres

Scenario Description:

On-farm cropland where weeds are resistant to herbicides, including organic and specialty crop operations. Natural Resource Concerns: Water quality, soil erosion, soil condition, and plant condition are the appropriate resource concerns.

Before Situation:

Agricultural producer currently has no plan or limited knowledge for management of cropland weeds or for adaptive techniques to address herbicide resistant weeds. The producer currently manages cropland weeds based upon herbicide label instructions, personal knowledge, or other local criteria, and has not implemented strategies to diversity crop rotations and rotate herbicide modes of action for purpose of managing resistant weed spread and protecting soil quality and plant condition. Producer is interested in management of weeds to maximize yields, profit margin, reduce costs, address challenges in herbicide resistant weeds, and for environmental benefit. Producer is willing to collaborate with a certified TSP to develop a plan and collect/coordinate data recording to monitor per requirements of plan. Associated Practices: Integrated Pest Management, Crop Rotation, Cover Crop, Field Boarder, Filter Strip, Stripcropping, and Residue and Tillage management practices, or other application conservation practices cited tin the NRCS Field Office Technical Guide.

After Situation:

After EQIP contract approval, participant has obtained services from a certified TSP for develop of the "Herbicide Resistance Weed" conservation activity plan. The CAP criteria requires the plan to meet quality criteria for applicable resource concerns and provides for opportunities to utilize the following strategies: Prevention, Avoidance, Monitoring, and Suppression, which will be implemented through use of Integrated Pest Management and may use one or more of the following conservation practices: Crop Rotation, Cover Crop, and Residue Management. Recommendaitons on crop system diversification and integration of herbicide mode of action rotation effective for weed control on recommended crop rotation are integral to the CAP. CAP plan may include recommendations for associated conservation practices which address other related resource concerns. CAP meets the basic quality criteria for the 154 plan as cited in the NRCS Field Office Technical Guide.

Scenario Feature Measure: Number

Scenario Unit: Number

Scenario Typical Size: 1

Scenario Cost: \$2,355.00

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$2,355.00

Cost Details (by category):

| Component Name | ID | Component Description | Unit | Price (\$/unit) | Quantity | Cost |
|-----------------------|------|---|------|-----------------|----------|------------|
| <i>Labor</i> | | | | | | |
| CAP Labor, agronomist | 1295 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to conduct research in breeding, physiology, production, yield, and management of crops and agricultural plants or trees, shrubs, and nursery stock, their growth in soils, and control of pests; or study the chemical, physical, biological, and mineralogical composition of soils as they relate to plant or crop growth. May classify and map soils and investigate effects of alternative practices on soil and crop productivity. May provide on-site consulting services to help growers troubleshoot nutrient and pest problems, establish appropriate agronomic sampling programs and implement management recommendations in a cost-effective and environmentally sound manner. | Hour | \$78.50 | 30 | \$2,355.00 |

Practice: 154 - Integrated Pest Management Herbicide Resistance Weed Conservation Plan

Scenario: #2 - IPM Herbicide Resistance Weed Management CAP Medium 51 - 250 Acres

Scenario Description:

On-farm cropland where weeds are resistant to herbicides, including organic and specialty crop operations. Natural Resource Concerns: Water quality, soil erosion, soil condition, and plant condition are the appropriate resource concerns.

Before Situation:

Agricultural producer currently has no plan or limited knowledge for management of cropland weeds or for adaptive techniques to address herbicide resistant weeds. The producer currently manages cropland weeds based upon herbicide label instructions, personal knowledge, or other local criteria, and has not implemented strategies to diversity crop rotations and rotate herbicide modes of action for purpose of managing resistant weed spread and protecting soil quality and plant condition. Producer is interested in management of weeds to maximize yields, profit margin, reduce costs, address challenges in herbicide resistant weeds, and for environmental benefit. Producer is willing to collaborate with a certified TSP to develop a plan and collect/coordinate data recording to monitor per requirements of plan. Associated Practices: Integrated Pest Management, Crop Rotation, Cover Crop, Field Boarder, Filter Strip, Stripcropping, and Residue and Tillage management practices, or other application conservation practices cited tin the NRCS Field Office Technical Guide.

After Situation:

After EQIP contract approval, participant has obtained services from a certified TSP for develop of the "Herbicide Resistance Weed" conservation activity plan. The CAP criteria requires the plan to meet quality criteria for applicable resource concerns and provides for opportunities to utilize the following strategies: Prevention, Avoidance, Monitoring, and Suppression, which will be implemented through use of Integrated Pest Management and may use one or more of the following conservation practices: Crop Rotation, Cover Crop, and Residue Management. Recommendaitons on crop system diversification and integration of herbicide mode of action rotation effective for weed control on recommended crop rotation are integral to the CAP. CAP plan may include recommendations for associated conservation practices which address other related resource concerns. CAP meets the basic quality criteria for the 154 plan as cited in the NRCS Field Office Technical Guide.

Scenario Feature Measure: Number

Scenario Unit: Number

Scenario Typical Size: 1

Scenario Cost: \$3,061.50

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$3,061.50

Cost Details (by category):

| Component Name | ID | Component Description | Unit | Price (\$/unit) | Quantity | Cost |
|-----------------------|------|---|------|-----------------|----------|------------|
| <i>Labor</i> | | | | | | |
| CAP Labor, agronomist | 1295 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to conduct research in breeding, physiology, production, yield, and management of crops and agricultural plants or trees, shrubs, and nursery stock, their growth in soils, and control of pests; or study the chemical, physical, biological, and mineralogical composition of soils as they relate to plant or crop growth. May classify and map soils and investigate effects of alternative practices on soil and crop productivity. May provide on-site consulting services to help growers troubleshoot nutrient and pest problems, establish appropriate agronomic sampling programs and implement management recommendations in a cost-effective and environmentally sound manner. | Hour | \$78.50 | 39 | \$3,061.50 |

Practice: 154 - Integrated Pest Management Herbicide Resistance Weed Conservation Plan

Scenario: #3 - IPM Herbicide Resistance Weed Management CAP Large - Greater Than 250 Acres

Scenario Description:

On-farm cropland where weeds are resistant to herbicides, including organic and specialty crop operations. Natural Resource Concerns: Water quality, soil erosion, soil condition, and plant condition are the appropriate resource concerns.

Before Situation:

Agricultural producer currently has no plan or limited knowledge for management of cropland weeds or for adaptive techniques to address herbicide resistant weeds. The producer currently manages cropland weeds based upon herbicide label instructions, personal knowledge, or other local criteria, and has not implemented strategies to diversity crop rotations and rotate herbicide modes of action for purpose of managing resistant weed spread and protecting soil quality and plant condition. Producer is interested in management of weeds to maximize yields, profit margin, reduce costs, address challenges in herbicide resistant weeds, and for environmental benefit. Producer is willing to collaborate with a certified TSP to develop a plan and collect/coordinate data recording to monitor per requirements of plan. Associated Practices: Integrated Pest Management, Crop Rotation, Cover Crop, Field Boarder, Filter Strip, Stripcropping, and Residue and Tillage management practices, or other application conservation practices cited tin the NRCS Field Office Technical Guide.

After Situation:

After EQIP contract approval, participant has obtained services from a certified TSP for develop of the "Herbicide Resistance Weed" conservation activity plan. The CAP criteria requires the plan to meet quality criteria for applicable resource concerns and provides for opportunities to utilize the following strategies: Prevention, Avoidance, Monitoring, and Suppression, which will be implemented through use of Integrated Pest Management and may use one or more of the following conservation practices: Crop Rotation, Cover Crop, and Residue Management. Recommendaitons on crop system diversification and integration of herbicide mode of action rotation effective for weed control on recommended crop rotation are integral to the CAP. CAP plan may include recommendations for associated conservation practices which address other related resource concerns. CAP meets the basic quality criteria for the 154 plan as cited in the NRCS Field Office Technical Guide.

Scenario Feature Measure: Number

Scenario Unit: Number

Scenario Typical Size: 1

Scenario Cost: \$4,710.00

Scenario Cost/Unit: \$4,710.00

Cost Details (by category):

| Component Name | ID | Component Description | Unit | Price (\$/unit) | Quantity | Cost |
|-----------------------|------|---|------|-----------------|----------|------------|
| <i>Labor</i> | | | | | | |
| CAP Labor, agronomist | 1295 | Conservation Activity Plan labor to conduct research in breeding, physiology, production, yield, and management of crops and agricultural plants or trees, shrubs, and nursery stock, their growth in soils, and control of pests; or study the chemical, physical, biological, and mineralogical composition of soils as they relate to plant or crop growth. May classify and map soils and investigate effects of alternative practices on soil and crop productivity. May provide on-site consulting services to help growers troubleshoot nutrient and pest problems, establish appropriate agronomic sampling programs and implement management recommendations in a cost-effective and environmentally sound manner. | Hour | \$78.50 | 60 | \$4,710.00 |