

**NATURAL RESOURCES CONSERVATION SERVICE
CONSERVATION PRACTICE STANDARD**

FIELD BORDER

(Ac.)

CODE 386

DEFINITION

A strip of permanent vegetation established at the edge or around the perimeter of a field.

PURPOSE

This practice may be applied to accomplish one or more of the following purposes.

- Reduce erosion from wind and water – Resource Concern (SOIL EROSION - Sheet, rill, & wind erosion)
- Protect soil and water quality – Resource Concerns (SOIL QUALITY DEGRADATION – Compaction and WATER QUALITY DEGRADATION – Excess nutrients in surface and ground waters)
- Provide wildlife food and cover and pollinator or other beneficial organism habitat – Resource Concern (INADEQUATE HABITAT FOR FISH AND WILDLIFE – Habitat degradation)
- Increase carbon storage – Resource Concern (SOIL QUALITY DEGRADATION – Organic matter depletion)
- Improve air quality – Resource Concern (AIR QUALITY IMPACTS - Emissions of Particulate Matter - PM - and PM Precursors)

CONDITIONS WHERE PRACTICE APPLIES

This practice is applied around the inside perimeter of fields. Its use can support or connect other buffer practices within and between fields. This practice applies to cropland and grazing lands.

CRITERIA

General Criteria Applicable to All Purposes

Field borders shall be established at field edges to the extent needed to meet the resource needs and producer objectives. Minimum field border widths shall be based on local design criteria specific to the purpose or purposes for installing the practice.

The field borders shall be established to adapted species of permanent grass, forbs and/or shrubs that accomplish the design objective.

Plants selected for field borders will have the physical characteristics necessary to control wind and water erosion to tolerable levels on the field border area. No plant listed by the state as a noxious or invasive species shall be established in the field border.

Seedbed preparation, seeding rates, dates, depths, fertility requirements, and planting methods will be consistent with approved local criteria and site conditions.

Eliminate ephemeral gullies and rills present in the planned border area as part of seedbed preparation. If present, treat ephemeral gullies and rills located immediately upslope from the planned border area to ensure more of a sheet flow into the planned border area.

Additional Criteria to Reduce Erosion from Wind and Water

Time establish of the field border, in conjunction with other practices, so the soil will be adequately protected during the critical erosion period(s).

Establish stiff-stemmed, upright grasses, grass/legumes or forbs to trap wind- and or water-borne soil particles.

The amount of surface and/or canopy cover needed from the field border shall be determined using current approved water and or wind erosion prediction technology. Soil erosion estimates shall account for the effects of other practices in the management system.

Wind Erosion Reduction

Locate borders to provide a stable area on the windward edge of the field as determined by prevailing wind direction data during the critical erosion period(s).

Minimum height of grass or forbs shall be one foot during the critical erosion period.

Water Erosion Reduction

Locate borders to eliminate sloping end rows, headlands, and other areas where concentrated water flows will enter or exit the field.

Orient plant rows as closely as possible to perpendicular to sheet flow direction.

Additional Criteria to Protect Soil and Water Quality

Do not burn the field border.

Water Quality – Adsorbed, Dissolved and Suspended Contaminants

As a minimum, locate field borders along the edge(s) of the field where runoff enters or leaves the field. The minimum width for this purpose shall be 30 feet and have a vegetation stem density/retardance of moderate to high (e.g. equivalent to a good stand of wheat).

Design border widths to comply with all applicable State and local regulations regarding manure and chemical application setbacks.

Reducing Soil Compaction from Equipment Parking and Traffic

Border widths will be designed to accommodate equipment turning, parking, loading/unloading equipment, grain harvest operations, etc.

Additional Criteria to Provide Wildlife Food and Cover and Pollinator or other Beneficial Organism Habitat

Select species that provide adequate habitat, food source and/or cover for the wildlife species of interest. The minimum width for this purpose shall be 30 feet. Schedule mowing, harvest, weed control, and other management activities within the field border to accommodate reproduction and other life cycle requirements of target wildlife species. Vehicle traffic should be restricted in the field border area to achieve this purpose.

For beneficial organisms (e.g. predatory and parasitic insects, spiders, insectivorous birds and bats, raptors, and terrestrial rodent predators) that prey on target pests, select diverse plant species that meet dietary, nesting and cover requirements for the intended beneficial species during the critical period for control of target pests, and ideally year round.

The optimal vegetative successional state shall be maintained to accommodate target wildlife species' requirements.

When wildlife and/or pollinators are a purpose for planning and application of this practice, a lower percent groundcover than would be necessary to protect soil and water quality may be acceptable provided the predicted soil erosion is \leq the soil loss tolerance T.

Additional Criteria to Increase Carbon Storage

Establish plant species that will produce adequate above- and below-ground biomass for the site (i.e. a positive soil conditioning index will be achieved).

Maximize the width and length of the herbaceous border to fit the site and increase total biomass production.

Do not burn the field border

Do not disturb the roots of the established vegetation with tillage.

Additional Criteria to Improve Air Quality

Establish plant species with morphological characteristics that optimize interception and adhesion of airborne particulates. Select plants with persistent roots and residue that stabilize soil aggregates and mitigate the generation of airborne particulates.

Do not burn if the main goal of the field border is to improve air quality.

Establish species resistant to damage from equipment traffic.

CONSIDERATIONS

Applicable to All Purposes

Design border widths to comply with all applicable State and local regulations regarding manure and chemical application setbacks.

Consider planting field borders around the entire field, not just on the field edges where water enters or leaves the field, for maximizing multiple resource protection.

Establishing a narrow strip of stiff-stemmed upright grass at the crop/field border interface can increase soil particle and other airborne particulate trapping efficiency of the field border.

Native plants are best suited for wildlife and pollinator habitat enhancement and provide other ecological benefits where adapted to site conditions and when consistent with producer objectives.

When enhancement of wildlife habitat is a purpose, plant species diversity should be encouraged. Plantings that result in multiple structural levels of vegetation will maximize wildlife use.

Include native plants that provide diverse pollen and nectar sources to encourage local pollinator populations. Where possible, re-establish the native plant community for the site.

Organic producers may have to submit plans and specifications to their certifying agent for approval prior to installation, as part of the organic producer's Organic System Plan.

Use State-approved plant species that provide wildlife food and cover for the target wildlife species and/or pollinator habitat.

Field borders can serve as corridors to connect existing or planned habitat blocks.

Prescribed burning, prescribed grazing, strip disking, or selective herbicide applications are management tools that can be used to maintain suitable habitat for specifically desired wildlife species, provided that such management activities do not compromise the purpose(s) of the practice.

To minimize wildlife mortality and habitat degradation, turn or drive machinery on field borders only when necessary, at low speed, and with implements fully raised. If extensive turning/traffic will be necessary on the field border during the nesting season, mortality may be reduced by mowing it early to reduce its attractiveness as a nesting site, if alternative nesting cover is available.

Overseed the field border with forbs for increased plant diversity, soil quality, pollinators, and wildlife benefits.

Waterbars or berms may be needed to breakup or redirect concentrated water flow within the field borders.

In selecting plant species, among other items, consider the plant's tolerance to:

- Sediment deposition and chemicals planned for application
- Drought in arid areas or where evapotranspiration can potentially exceed precipitation during the field border's active growing period(s).
- Equipment traffic.

Design border widths to match the required field application setback widths for easier management (i.e. land-use and management changes occur in the same location).

Establish plant species that will have the desired visual effects and that will not interfere with field operations or field border maintenance.

Consider the amount of shading that the field border or portions of the field border may experience and select species for those locations accordingly.

The use of native perennial plant species as opposed to annual species provides a longer period of resource protection.

Consider installing a contour buffer system, No Till practice or other conservation practices on adjacent upland areas to reduce surface runoff and excessive sedimentation of field borders.

Additional Considerations for Organic Systems

Where genetic drift is a concern, use buffer vegetation to create a barrier between the pollen producing crop and the crop that must be protected or increase the distance between them so that cross-pollination is less likely.

PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS

Prepare plans and specifications for each field or treatment unit according to the planning criteria and operation and maintenance requirements in this Standard.

Specifications shall describe the requirements for applying this practice to achieve the intended purpose(s).

Document the following specification components in a Colorado 386 – Field Border, Implementation Requirements document.

- Field Border widths and lengths based on local design criteria
- Field Border location(s) within the field(s) or farm boundary
- Operation and maintenance requirements

Document the following site preparation and seeding specifications in a Colorado CO-ECS-05, Grass Seeding Planned and Applied worksheet.

- Selected species and seeding rates
- Site preparation requirements
- Timing of planting and planting method
- Liming or fertilizer requirements

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE

Field borders require careful management and maintenance for performance and longevity. The following O&M activities will be planned and applied as needed:

- Repair storm damage.
- Remove sediment from above, within and along the leading edge of the field border when accumulated sediment either alters the function of the field border or threatens the degradation of the planted species.
- Shut off sprayers and raise tillage equipment to avoid damage to field borders.
- Shape and reseed border areas damaged by animals, chemicals, tillage, or equipment traffic.
- Do not use the field border as a hay yard or machinery parking lot for any extended period of time, especially if doing so will damage or impair the function of the field border.

- Maintain desired vegetative communities and plant vigor by liming, fertilizing, mowing, disking, or burning and controlling noxious and invasive weeds to sustain effectiveness of the border.
- Repair and reseed ephemeral gullies and rills that develop in the border.
- Minimally invasive vertical tillage (e.g. paraplowing) may be performed in rare cases where compaction and vehicle traffic have degraded the field border function. The purpose of the tillage is strictly to relieve soil compaction and increase infiltration rates so as to provide a better media for reestablishment of vegetation and field border function.
- When managing for wildlife, maintenance activities that result in disturbance of vegetation should not be conducted during the primary nesting, fawning and calving seasons. Activities should be timed to allow for regrowth before the growing season ends whenever possible.
- Periodic removal of some products such as medicinal herbs, nuts, and fruits is permitted provided the conservation purpose is not compromised by the loss of vegetation or harvesting disturbance.
- Avoid vehicle traffic when soil moisture conditions are saturated.
- Maintain records of the field border maintenance as needed by the land user.

REFERENCES

Baumgartner, J et. al. 2005. Biodiversity Conservation – An Organic Farmer’s Guide. Wild Farm Alliance.

<http://www.wildfarmalliance.org>

Renard, K.G., G.R. Foster, G.A. Weesies, D.K. McCool, and D.C. Yoder, Coordinators. 1997. Predicting soil erosion by water: A guide to conservation planning with the Revised Universal Soil Loss Equation (RUSLE). USDA Agriculture Handbook No. 703. Washington DC. http://www.ars.usda.gov/SP2UserFiles/Place/64080530/RUSLE/AH_703.pdf

OMRI Organic Seeds Database. Organic Materials Review Institute.

<http://www.omri.org/seeds>

Sources of Organic and Untreated Non-GMO Seeds. National Sustainable Agriculture Information Service.

<http://attra.ncat.org/sorg/seeds.html>

USDA-AMS National Organic Program National List of Allowed and Prohibited Substances.

<http://www.ams.usda.gov/AMSV1.0/nop>

USDA-AMS National Organic Program Regulations, 7 CFR Part 205.

<http://www.ams.usda.gov/AMSV1.0/nop>

USDA, ARS. 2013. Wind Erosion Prediction System, ver 1.3.9. Manhattan, KS.

<http://ars.usda.gov/services/software/download.htm?softwareid=416>

USDA, ARS, NRCS. 2014. Wind Erosion Prediction System. Manhattan, KS.

<http://weru.ksu.edu/nrcs/wepsnrcs.html>

USDA, ARS, NRCS. 2014. Revised Universal Soil Loss Equation Version 2 (RUSLE2) website. Washington, DC.

http://fargo.nserl.purdue.edu/rusle2_dataweb/RUSLE2_Index.htm

USDA, NRCS. 2013. Colorado Field Office Technical Guide, Section IV. CO-ECS-05, Grass seeding planned and applied worksheet.

Denver, CO.

http://efotg.sc.egov.usda.gov/references/public/CO/CO-ECS-05_130617.xlsm

USDA, NRCS. 2012. Colorado Field Office Technical Guide, Section I. Plant Materials Tech Note 59. Plant suitability and seeding rates for conservation plantings in Colorado. Denver, CO.

http://efotg.sc.egov.usda.gov/references/public/CO/COPMTN_59.pdf

USDA, NRCS. 2008. Colorado Field Office Technical Guide, Section I. Biology Tech Note 47. Pollinator biology and habitat. Denver, CO.

<http://efotg.nrcs.usda.gov/references/public/CO/biotechnote47.pdf>

USDA, NRCS. 2004. Colorado Field Office Technical Guide, Section I, Biology Tech Note 4, Wildlife food and cover values of selected plants. Denver, CO.

<http://efotg.nrcs.usda.gov/references/public/CO/biotechnote4.pdf>