

NATURAL RESOURCES CONSERVATION SERVICE
CONSERVATION PRACTICE STANDARD
AQUATIC ORGANISM PASSAGE

(Mi.)

CODE 396

DEFINITION

Modification or removal of barriers that restrict or impede movement of aquatic organisms.

PURPOSE

Improve or provide passage for aquatic organisms.

CONDITIONS WHERE PRACTICE APPLIES

All aquatic habitats where barriers impede passage of aquatic organisms.

CRITERIA

Planning and Evaluation

Evaluate sites for variations in stage and discharge, tidal influence, hydraulics, geomorphic impacts, sediment transport and continuity, and organic debris movement. Design passage features to account for the known range of variation resulting from this evaluation.

Mitigate undesirable channel plan or profile shifts resulting from the modification or removal of a passage barrier.

Plan and locate passage for compatibility with local site conditions and stream geomorphology, to the extent possible.

Avoid locating fishway entrances and exits in areas that will obstruct function, increase harassment or predation, or result in excessive operation and maintenance requirements.

Design Requirements

Design passage to accommodate present and reasonably anticipated changes in watershed conditions.

Design passage structures according to known swimming and leaping capabilities of target

species or a similar species with comparable swimming abilities. See [Biology Technical Note #29](#) for more information. Utilize hydraulic computations to document how designs satisfy the physiological requirements of target organisms.

Design passage structures to mimic channel geometry and morphology referenced from an adjacent reach or analog stream when the swimming and leaping abilities of target species are unknown, or when a project will benefit multiple aquatic organisms.

At a minimum, design and evaluate passage structures for hydraulic performance and structural integrity at the bankfull and 25-year peak flow events.

Design passage features to minimize or avoid energy deficits, physical stress, and harm to migratory organisms.

Design passage features to minimize or avoid excessive delays during migration periods.

Provide adequate attraction flow into a passage facility across the full range of discharge during which target species will move.

Use trashracks on culverts or fishways only if required or necessary. Ensure that trashracks are self-cleaning and/or easily maintained.

Select construction materials that are non-toxic and resistant to degradation.

Plan construction logistics, methods, and sequencing to minimize adverse effects to aquatic organisms, riparian areas, and instream habitat.

CONSIDERATIONS

Develop or adopt a quantitative method to identify and evaluate passage barriers (see References).

Conservation practice standards are reviewed periodically and updated if needed. To obtain the current version of this standard, contact your Natural Resources Conservation Service [State Office](#) or visit the [Field Office Technical Guide](#).

NRCS, CO
February 2012

Information derived from this method can assist planning and budgeting activities.

Consider removing a passage barrier before installing or retrofitting a new facility or structure. Complete or partial barrier removal often provides better passage conditions, and is more economical than designing, constructing, operating, and maintaining many new passage structures.

Culverts or bottomless arches designed using the stream simulation approach (USFS 2008) that incorporate natural streambed substrates throughout their length are preferred over other culvert configurations for passage purposes. Natural streambeds provide numerous passage and habitat benefits to many life stage requirements for fish and other aquatic organisms compared to man-made surfaces.

Design and locate features to improve or provide passage for as many different aquatic species and age classes as possible.

Retain as much riparian and streambank vegetation as possible during project access and construction activities to maintain shade, riparian continuity, and sources of nutrient and structural inputs for aquatic ecosystems. Where appropriate, consider removing access roads or trails and restoring native vegetation representative of the site.

Replacing or removing an existing instream structure may trigger channel adjustments (e.g., aggradation and/or degradation) upstream and/or downstream of the work site. Install grade controls or other slope modifications to mitigate adverse physical or ecological consequences (see conservation practice standards Channel Stabilization – Code 584 and Grade Stabilization Structure – Code 410).

Analyze any potentially negative interactions, including hybridization, disease, competition, or predation, between target and aquatic nuisance species when passage is provided above a barrier. If serious consequences are likely, take steps to minimize adverse effects.

Consider not locating fish passages on sites that will open up isolated populations of native species to predation by or hybridization with non-native species. Consult an NRCS biologist or Colorado Parks and Wildlife fish biologist before proceeding on these sites.

Consider not locating fish passages on sites that will open up isolated populations of native species to predation by or hybridization with non-native species. Consult an NRCS biologist or Colorado Parks and Wildlife fish biologist before proceeding on these sites.

Consider the habitat requirements of other aquatic or terrestrial species that may be affected by a passage project. Some passage facilities may improve survival for terrestrial vertebrates by providing safe migration routes under roadways through the use of additional floodplain relief culverts.

Assess the amount of habitat upstream and downstream of a barrier to evaluate project feasibility, cost effectiveness, and/or potential for connecting fragmented habitats. Using a watershed approach whenever possible provides a framework for project planning.

Fish passage facilities are often associated with water diversions or intakes that may injure or kill aquatic species. Prevent fish entrainment or impingement, particularly of juveniles, into diversions, penstocks, or pumps by installing screens.

Passage projects can affect water management practices such as diversion, power generation, or storage. Strive to balance aquatic organism passage with other water management objectives.

Consider upstream and larger watershed issues that may affect passage. Common solutions may include maintaining or restoring adequate instream flow and/or other water quality parameters (e.g., temperature, dissolved oxygen).

Barrier removal, especially dams and road crossings, can significantly affect wetlands, flooding potential, existing infrastructure, and social and cultural practices and resources. Evaluate and address the full range of impacts when planning or designing barrier removal projects.

Floodplain and water development often alter historic river channel pattern and location. Consider bypassing a barrier by restoring streamflow to former, stable natural channels.

Passage facilities can assist population recovery and management. Consider local, state, or federal brood stock collection and

species management initiatives when planning passage features.

Consider using self-regulating tidegates in marine environments. These structures can be adjusted to automatically regulate saltwater intrusion into estuaries, and often improve estuarine functions and passage conditions.

In the case of low-water crossings, water quality impacts from vehicular pollutants and erosion caused by tire action can be severe. Where possible, reroute roadways or install hardened instream crossings (see Stream Crossing, code 578).

PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS

Provide site-specific plans for this practice. Plans will specify passage structure design, layout, and overall objectives, and include (at a minimum):

Location map and plan view of site, description of design flows, and a short summary of operating criteria.

Detailed construction drawings showing existing and planned site conditions including elevations, typical profiles, and cross-sections of planned structures.

Construction specifications describing materials, logistics (including erosion control), and timing.

Guidance for post-construction evaluation and monitoring to assess structural integrity and compliance with design criteria.

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE

Develop an operation and maintenance plan for all applications of this standard. Within the plan, provide for periodic inspection and corrective action should passage conditions become impaired because a structure is damaged or inoperable. At a minimum, operation and maintenance items should include:

Specifying what entity is responsible for the daily operation and maintenance of a passage structure.

Annual, seasonal, and/or daily operating activities necessary to ensure proper function of the structure

Check passage structure at regular intervals to ensure it is operating within design criteria.

Clean trashracks and debris collectors or remove debris accumulations regularly.

Adjust gates, orifices, valves, or other control devices as needed to regulate flow and maintain a passage structure within operating criteria.

Periodically check staff gages or other flow metering devices for accuracy.

Annually inspect passage structures for structural integrity and disrepair.

Inspect gate and valve seals for damage.

Replace worn or broken stoplogs, baffles, fins, or other structural components.

Remove sediment accumulations from within passage structure where applicable.

REFERENCES

[Aquatic Nuisance Species Information](#). 2006. (per Nonindigenous Aquatic Nuisance Prevention and Control Act of 1990 [16 U.S.C. 4701]).

Bell, M.C. 1990. Fisheries Handbook of Engineering Requirements and Biological Criteria. United States Army Corps of Engineers, Fish Passage Development and Evaluation Program, Portland, OR. 290 p.

Clay, C.H. 1995. Design of Fishways and Other Fish Facilities. Second Edition. CRC Press, Inc. Boca Raton, FL. 248 pp.

Jungwirth, M., S. Schmutz, and S. Weiss, editors. 1998. Fish Migration and Fish Bypasses. Fishing News Books, Oxford, UK. 438 pp.

[Lang, M., M. Love, and W. Trush. 2004. Improving stream crossings for fish passage. Final report to the National Marine Fisheries Service, produced in cooperation with Humboldt State University Foundation under NMFS contract 50ABNF800082. Arcata, CA. 128 pp.](#)

[NRCS. 2001. Fish Passages. Biology Technical Note #29.](#)

NRCS. 2006. Fish passage and screening designs. Technical Supplement 14-N to NEH-654 – Stream Restoration Design Handbook.

Taylor, R.N. and M. Love. 2003. [Fish passage evaluation at stream crossings](#). Part IX in: California Stream Habitat Restoration

Manual, 3rd edition, 1998. Prepared by G. Flosi, S. Downie, J. Hopelain, M. Bird, R. Coey, and B. Collins. Sacramento, CA. 100 electronic pp.

United States Forest Service (USFS). 2006. Low water crossings: Geomorphic, biological, and engineering design considerations. 0625 1808, SDTDC, San Dimas, CA.

USFS. 2008. Stream Simulation: An ecological approach to providing passage for aquatic organisms at road-stream crossings. 0877 1801P, NTDP, San Dimas, CA.

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife (WDFW). 2000. [Fishway guidelines for Washington State](#). Olympia, WA. 57 pp.

WDFW. 2000. [Fish passage barrier and surface water diversion screening and prioritization manual](#). WDFW Habitat Program, Environmental Restoration Division, Salmon Screening, Habitat Enhancement and Restoration Section, Olympia, WA. 158 pp.

WDFW. 2003. [Design of road culverts for fish passage](#). , WA. 110 pp.