

Checklist of Resource Concerns Forest

CLIENT		LOCATION	
PLANNER		DATE	
LAND UNITS		TOOLS	

This check sheet is designed to assist planners and clients in identifying resource concerns during the planning process. The planning criteria outlined in Section III of the FOTG sets the minimum level of treatment. If a screening question is NO, this indicates no resource concern exists and no assessment is required. If a screening question is YES, the assessment must be completed to evaluate if there is a resource concern. If the Assessment is YES, Planning Criteria is met. If the Assessment is NO, the Planning Criteria is not met and a Resource Concern exists. For screening questions with multiple conditions, circle those conditions that have been identified for the site.

Resource Concern * required response	Screening Questions NO = Met Screening (Not a RC) YES = Go to Assessment	YES	NO	Assessment Tools	Assessment Level Required to Meet Planning Criteria YES = Meets Planning Criteria NO = Identified Resource Concern	YES	NO
SOILS RESOURCES							
1a. SOIL EROSION: Sheet and Rill erosion *	Is soil surface organic residue cover < 80%?			➤ Visual inspection	Is the site stable and without visible signs of erosion?		
1b. SOIL EROSION: Wind erosion *				➤ Visual inspection	Is the site stable and without visible signs of erosion?		
2b. SOIL EROSION: Classic gully erosion *	Are classic gullies present?			➤ Field measurements ➤ Planner observation	Is classic gully management adequate to stop the progression of head cutting and widening and are offsite impacts minimized by vegetation and/or structures?		
3. SOIL EROSION: Excessive bank erosion from streams, shorelines or water conveyance channels *	Are streams or shoreline on or adjacent to site?			➤ SVAP2	For shorelines and water conveyance channels; are banks stable or commensurate with normal geomorphological processes? AND For stream banks:- SVAP2 bank condition ≥5		
4. SOIL QUALITY DEGRADATION: Subsidence	Are Histosol soils present?			➤ Client input ➤ Planner observation	Is subsidence adequately managed to meet Client's objectives?		
	OR Are there Histosols present that are exhibiting subsidence?						
5. SOIL QUALITY DEGRADATION: Compaction	Is soil compaction a problem? OR Do activities cause soil compaction problems?			➤ Observation of soil and plant condition ➤ Client input/Planner observation	Is compaction managed to meet Client's production and management objectives?		
6. SOIL QUALITY DEGRADATION: Organic matter depletion	Is soil organic matter depletion a problem? OR Do activities cause soil organic matter depletion?			➤ Client input ➤ Planner observation	Does ground cover meet state criteria specific to ecological site? OR Is soil organic matter managed to meet Client objectives?		

Checklist of Resource Concerns

Forest

WATER RESOURCES						
8a. EXCESS WATER: <i>Ponding and Flooding</i>	Is ponding or flooding a problem? OR Do activities cause ponding/flooding problems?			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Client input ➤ Planner observation 	Is excess water managed to meet Client's objectives?	
8b. EXCESS WATER: <i>Seasonal high water table</i>	Does a seasonal high water table cause a problem?			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Client input ➤ Planner observation 	Is excess water managed to meet Client's objectives?	
8c. EXCESS WATER: <i>Seeps</i>	Does excess water from seeps cause a problem?			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Client input ➤ Planner observation 	Is excess water managed to meet Client's objectives?	
8d. EXCESS WATER: <i>Drifted snow</i>	Does drifted snow cause a problem?			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Client input ➤ Planner observation 	Is excess water managed to meet Client's objectives?	
9. INSUFFICIENT WATER: <i>Inefficient moisture management</i>	Is Moisture Management a problem? OR Do activities cause inefficient moisture management?			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Client input ➤ Planner observation 	Are runoff and evapotranspiration levels minimized to meet Client's management objectives?	
10. INSUFFICIENT WATER: <i>Inefficient use of irrigation water *</i>	Is the PLU irrigated?			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ FIRI (Farm Irrigation Rating Index) worksheet 	Is FIRI ≥ 85% of system potential	
11a. WATER QUALITY: <i>Excess nutrients in surface waters</i>	Are organic or inorganic nutrients applied? OR Is the PLU grazed?			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Client input ➤ Planner observation ➤ Nutrient budget 	Are nutrient and amendment applications based on soil or tissue tests and nutrient budgets for realistic yields? AND Are conservation practices and managements in place to minimize offsite impacts?	
11b. WATER QUALITY: <i>Excess nutrients in groundwater</i>		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Client input ➤ Planner observation ➤ Nutrient budget 	Are nutrient and amendment applications based on soil or tissue tests and nutrient budgets for realistic yields? AND Are conservation practices and managements in place to minimize offsite impacts?			
12a. WATER QUALITY DEGRADATION: <i>Pesticides transported to surface waters</i>	Are pest control chemicals applied?			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Client input ➤ Planner observation ➤ WinPST 	Are pesticides stored, handled, disposed and managed to prevent runoff, spills, and leaks? AND Are conservation practices and managements in place to minimize offsite impacts?	
12b. WATER QUALITY DEGRADATION: <i>Pesticides transported to groundwater</i>	Are pest control chemicals applied?			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Client input ➤ Planner observation ➤ WinPST 	Are pesticides stored, handled, disposed and managed to prevent runoff, spills, leaks and leaching? AND Are conservation practices and managements in place to minimize offsite impacts?	

Checklist of Resource Concerns
Forest

<p>13a. WATER QUALITY DEGRADATION: Excess <i>Pathogens, pharmaceuticals and Other Chemicals in surface waters</i></p>	<p>Are potential sources of pathogens or pharmaceuticals applied on the land?</p>			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Client input ➤ Planner observation 	<p>Are organic materials applied, stored, and/or handled to mitigate negative impacts to water sources?</p>		
<p>13b. WATER QUALITY DEGRADATION: Excess <i>Pathogens, pharmaceuticals and Other Chemicals in groundwater</i></p>				<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Client input ➤ Planner observation 	<p>Are organic materials applied, stored, and/or handled to mitigate negative impacts to water sources?</p>		
<p>14a. WATER QUALITY DEGRADATION: Excessive salts in surface waters</p>	<p>Is excess salt a problem?</p> <p>OR</p>			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Client input ➤ Planner observation 	<p>Are salt concentrations managed to mitigate off-site transport to surface waters?</p>		
<p>14b. WATER QUALITY DEGRADATION: Excessive salts in groundwater</p>	<p>Do activities contribute to excess salt production?</p>			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Client input ➤ Planner observation 	<p>Are salt concentrations managed to mitigate off-site transport to groundwater?</p>		
<p>15a. WATER QUALITY DEGRADATION: Petroleum and heavy metals and other pollutants transported to surface waters</p>	<p>Do activities present the potential for contamination?</p>			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Client input ➤ Planner observation 	<p>Are petroleum, heavy metals or other potential pollutants stored and handled to avoid runoff?</p>		
<p>15b. WATER QUALITY DEGRADATION: Petroleum and heavy metals and other pollutants transported to groundwater</p>				<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Client input ➤ Planner observation 	<p>Are petroleum, heavy metals or other potential pollutants stored and handled to avoid leaching?</p>		
<p>16. WATER QUALITY DEGRADATION: Excessive sediment in surface waters *</p>	<p>Are there untreated sources of erosion? OR Are streams or shoreline on or adjacent to site?</p>			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Client input ➤ Planner observation ➤ SVAP2 	<p>Do upslope treatment and buffer practices address concentrated flows to water bodies? AND Are heavy use areas stable? AND SVAP2 - bank condition ≥ 5?</p>		

Checklist of Resource Concerns

Forest

17. WATER QUALITY DEGRADATION: <i>Elevated water temperature</i>	Is there a water course on or adjacent to the site with State Agency identified temperature impairment? OR Is water course temperature a client concern?			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Client input ➤ Planner observation ➤ SVAP2 	Is SVAP2 - riparian area quality element score ≥ 5 ? AND Is SVAP2 - riparian area quantity element score ≥ 5 ? AND Is SVAP2 - canopy cover element score ≥ 6 ?		
	OR Are existing practices in place to address water temperature?			OR Are existing practices in place to address water temperature?			
PLANT RESOURCES							
18. DEGRADED PLANT CONDITION: <i>Undesirable plant productivity and health</i>	Is plant production and/or health a client objective? OR Is an RMS alternative being developed?			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Forest inventory plots and Transect forms ➤ Current Illinois Forest Management Plan (if available) 	Are forest species adapted to site? AND Do composition and stand density meet Client's objectives and production goals?		
	Will changes to the plant community structure or composition better support the desired ecological functions and intended land use?				OR Does Forest consist of healthy stands with vigorous growth having a "fully stocked" stand condition of desirable trees?		
19. DEGRADED PLANT CONDITION: <i>Inadequate structure and composition</i>	Will changes to the plant community structure or composition better support the desired ecological functions and intended land use?			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Forest inventory plots and Transect forms ➤ Current Illinois Forest Management Plan (if available) 	Do plant communities contain adequate diversity, composition and structure to support desired ecological functions?		
20. DEGRADED PLANT CONDITION: <i>Excessive plant pest pressure *</i>	Is plant productivity limited from pest pressure?			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Client input ➤ Planner observation 	Is pest damage to plants below economic or environmental thresholds or client-identified criteria? AND Are plant pests, including noxious and invasive species managed to meet client objectives?		
21. DEGRADED PLANT CONDITION: <i>Wildfire hazard, excessive biomass accumulation</i>	Is wildfire hazard a concern?			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Client input ➤ Planner observation 	Are fuel loads and fuel ladders managed to provide defensible space and meet client objectives?		

Checklist of Resource Concerns
Forest

ANIMAL RESOURCES						
<p>22a. INADEQUATE HABITAT FOR FISH AND WILDLIFE: <i>Quantity, quality of food is inadequate to meet requirements of identified fish, wildlife or invertebrate species</i></p>	<p>Is PLU managed for wildlife? (Wildlife Modifier)</p> <p>OR</p> <p>Is an RMS alternative being developed?</p>			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Biology Tech Note 18 ➤ Species-specific wildlife habitat assessment tools ➤ SVAP2 	<p>TN 18 rating \geq 0.5</p> <p>AND (when surface stream present and fish habitat is a concern)</p> <p>SVAP2 – fish habitat complexity element score \geq 7</p> <p>AND</p> <p>SVAP2 – barriers to movement element \geq 7</p>	
					<p>OR</p> <p>Are conservation practices and management are in place that meet or exceed species or guild-specific habitat model thresholds?</p>	
<p>22b. INADEQUATE HABITAT FOR FISH AND WILDLIFE: <i>Quantity, quality of water is inadequate to meet requirements of identified fish, wildlife or invertebrate species</i></p>	<p>Is PLU managed for wildlife? (Wildlife Modifier)</p> <p>OR</p> <p>Is an RMS alternative being developed?</p>			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Biology Tech Note 18 ➤ Species-specific wildlife habitat assessment tools ➤ SVAP2 	<p>TN 18 rating \geq 0.5</p> <p>AND (when surface stream present and fish habitat is a concern)</p> <p>SVAP2 – fish habitat complexity element score \geq 7</p> <p>AND</p> <p>SVAP2 – barriers to movement element \geq 7</p>	
					<p>OR</p> <p>Are conservation practices and management are in place that meet or exceed species or guild-specific habitat model thresholds?</p>	
<p>22c. INADEQUATE HABITAT FOR FISH AND WILDLIFE: <i>Quantity, quality or cover/shelter is inadequate to meet requirements of identified fish, wildlife or invertebrate species</i></p>	<p>Is PLU managed for wildlife? (Wildlife Modifier)</p> <p>OR</p> <p>Is an RMS alternative being developed?</p>			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Biology Tech Note 18 ➤ Species-specific wildlife habitat assessment tools ➤ SVAP2 	<p>TN 18 rating \geq 0.5</p> <p>AND (when surface stream present and fish habitat is a concern)</p> <p>SVAP2 – fish habitat complexity element score \geq 7</p> <p>AND</p> <p>SVAP2 – barriers to movement element \geq 7</p>	
					<p>OR</p> <p>Are conservation practices and management are in place that meet or exceed species or guild-specific habitat model thresholds?</p>	
<p>22d. INADEQUATE HABITAT FOR FISH AND WILDLIFE: <i>Habitat continuity is inadequate to meet requirements of identified fish, wildlife or invertebrate species</i></p>	<p>Is PLU managed for wildlife? (Wildlife Modifier)</p> <p>OR</p> <p>Is an RMS alternative being developed?</p>			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Biology Tech Note 18 ➤ Species-specific wildlife habitat assessment tools ➤ SVAP2 	<p>TN 18 rating \geq 0.5</p> <p>AND (when surface stream present and fish habitat is a concern)</p> <p>SVAP2 – fish habitat complexity element score \geq 7</p> <p>AND</p> <p>SVAP2 – barriers to movement element \geq 7</p>	
					<p>OR</p> <p>Are conservation practices and management are in place that meet or exceed species or guild-specific habitat model thresholds?</p>	

Checklist of Resource Concerns

Forest

23. LIVESTOCK PRODUCTION LIMITATION: <i>Inadequate feed and forage</i>				<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Client input ➤ Planner observation ➤ Livestock Inventory Worksheet ➤ Graze 4 Worksheet 	Are livestock forage, roughage and supplemental nutritional requirements addressed?		
24. LIVESTOCK PRODUCTION LIMITATION: <i>Inadequate livestock shelter</i>	Is Client actively grazing animals? (Grazing Modifier)			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Client input ➤ Planner observation 	Do artificial or natural shelters meet animal health needs and client objectives?		
25. LIVESTOCK PRODUCTION LIMITATION: <i>Inadequate livestock water</i>				<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Client input ➤ Planner observation 	Is water of acceptable quality and quantity adequately distributed to meet animal needs?		
ENERGY RESOURCES							
26. INEFFICIENT ENERGY USE: <i>Equipment and facilities</i>	Is the Client interested in improving equipment and/or facilities energy efficiency? OR Is an RMS alternative being developed?			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Client input ➤ Planner observation ➤ NRCS Energy Estimator ➤ USDA approved Energy Audit 	Has a USDA approved energy audit been implemented that address equipment and facilities to meet client objectives? OR Are on- farm renewable energy and/or energy conserving practices been implemented to meet client objectives?		
27. INEFFICIENT ENERGY USE: <i>Farming and ranching practices and field operations</i>	Is Client interested in improving energy use in farm field operations? OR Is an RMS alternative being developed?			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Client input ➤ Planner observation ➤ NRCS Energy Estimator ➤ USDA approved Energy Audit 	Has a USDA approved energy audit been implemented that address field operations to meet client objectives? OR Are on- farm renewable energy and/or energy conserving practices been implemented to meet client objectives?		

Checklist of Resource Concerns
Forest

AIR RESOURCES						
28. AIR QUALITY IMPACTS: Emissions of Particulate Matter - PM - and PM Precursors	<p>Have episodes or complaints of emissions of PM (dust, smoke, exhaust, etc.), or chemical drift occurred?</p> <p>OR</p> <p>Do activities contribute to agricultural source PM or PM precursor emissions?</p>			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Client input ➤ Planner observation 	<p>Are PM and PM Precursor emissions managed to meet client objectives?</p>	
29. AIR QUALITY IMPACTS: Emissions of Greenhouse Gases – (GHGs)	<p>Are GHGs regulated in this planning area?</p> <p>OR</p> <p>Do activities produce GHGs emissions?</p>			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Client input ➤ Planner observation ➤ COMET – Farm ➤ COMET 2.0 ➤ COMET – Quick Energy Tool 	<p>Are greenhouse gas emissions managed to meet client objectives?</p>	
30. AIR QUALITY IMPACTS: Emissions of Ozone Precursors	<p>Do operations produce ozone precursor emissions?</p>			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Client input ➤ Planner observation ➤ COMET – Quick Energy Tool 	<p>Are ozone precursor emissions are managed to meet client objectives?</p>	

Checklist of Resource Concerns

Forest

<i>Checklist Notes</i>	