

Checklist of Resource Concerns

Water

CLIENT		LOCATION	
PLANNER		DATE	
LAND UNITS		TOOLS	

This check sheet is designed to assist planners and clients in identifying resource concerns during the planning process. The planning criteria outlined in Section III of the FOTG sets the minimum level of treatment. If a screening question is NO, this indicates no resource concern exists and no assessment is required. If a screening question is YES, the assessment must be completed to evaluate if there is a resource concern. If the Assessment is YES, Planning Criteria is met. If the Assessment is NO, the Planning Criteria is not met and a Resource Concern exists. For screening questions with multiple conditions, circle those conditions that have been identified for the site.

Resource Concern * required response	Screening Questions NO = Met Screening (Not a RC) YES = Go to Assessment	Y	E	Assessment Tools	Assessment Level Required to Meet Planning Criteria YES = Meets Planning Criteria NO = Identified Resource Concern	Y	E	S	N	O
		S	S			S	O			
SOILS RESOURCES										
3. SOIL EROSION: <i>Excessive bank erosion from streams, shorelines or water conveyance channels *</i>	Are streams or shoreline on or adjacent to site?			➤ SVAP2	Are banks stable or commensurate with normal geomorphological processes? AND For stream banks:- SVAP2 bank condition ≥5					
					OR Bank erosion caused solely by upstream/upland landuse(s) and management decisions that are beyond the Client's control?					
WATER RESOURCES										
11a. WATER QUALITY: <i>Excess nutrients in surface waters</i>	Are organic or inorganic nutrients applied?			➤ Client input ➤ Planner observation ➤ Nutrient budget	Are nutrient and amendment applications based on soil or tissue tests and nutrient budgets for realistic yields? AND Are conservation practices and managements in place to minimize offsite impacts?					
11b. WATER QUALITY: <i>Excess nutrients in groundwater</i>				➤ Client input ➤ Planner observation ➤ Nutrient budget	Are nutrient and amendment applications based on soil or tissue tests and nutrient budgets for realistic yields? AND Are conservation practices and managements in place to minimize offsite impacts?					
12a. WATER QUALITY DEGRADATION: <i>Pesticides transported to surface waters</i>	Are pest control chemicals applied?			➤ Client input ➤ Planner observation ➤ WinPST	Are pesticides stored, handled, disposed and managed to prevent runoff, spills, and leaks? AND Are conservation practices and managements in place to minimize offsite impacts?					
12b. WATER QUALITY DEGRADATION: <i>Pesticides transported to groundwater</i>				➤ Client input ➤ Planner observation ➤ WinPST	Are pesticides stored, handled, disposed and managed to prevent runoff, spills, leaks and leaching? AND Are conservation practices and managements in place to minimize offsite impacts?					

Checklist of Resource Concerns

Water

13a. WATER QUALITY DEGRADATION: Excess Pathogens, pharmaceuticals and Other Chemicals in surface waters	Are potential sources of pathogens or pharmaceuticals applied on the land?			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Client input ➤ Planner observation 	Are organic materials applied, stored, and/or handled to mitigate negative impacts to water sources?		
13b. WATER QUALITY DEGRADATION: Excess Pathogens, pharmaceuticals and Other Chemicals in groundwater				<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Client input ➤ Planner observation 	Are organic materials applied, stored, and/or handled to mitigate negative impacts to water sources?		
14a. WATER QUALITY DEGRADATION: Excessive salts in surface waters	Is excess salt a problem? OR			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Client input ➤ Planner observation 	Are salt concentrations managed to mitigate off-site transport to surface waters?		
14b. WATER QUALITY DEGRADATION: Excessive salts in groundwater	Do activities contribute to excess salt production?			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Client input ➤ Planner observation 	Are salt concentrations managed to mitigate off-site transport to groundwater?		
15a. WATER QUALITY DEGRADATION: Petroleum and heavy metals and other pollutants transported to surface waters	Do activities present the potential for contamination?			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Client input ➤ Planner observation 	Are petroleum, heavy metals or other potential pollutants stored and handled to avoid runoff?		
15b. WATER QUALITY DEGRADATION: Petroleum and heavy metals and other pollutants transported to groundwater				<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Client input ➤ Planner observation 	Are petroleum, heavy metals or other potential pollutants stored and handled to avoid leaching?		
16. WATER QUALITY DEGRADATION: Excessive sediment in surface waters	Is permanent ground cover < 90% OR Is slope > 10%? OR Are classic gullies present? OR Are streams or shoreline on or adjacent to site?			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Client input ➤ Planner observation ➤ RUSLE2 ➤ SVAP2 ➤ WEPS 	Do upslope treatment and buffer practices address concentrated flows to water bodies? AND SVAP2 - bank condition ≥ 5. AND Are livestock and vehicle water crossings stable? AND Is water erosion rate ≤ T? AND Is wind erosion rate ≤ T?		

Checklist of Resource Concerns

Water

17. WATER QUALITY DEGRADATION: Elevated water temperature	Is there a water course on or adjacent to the site with State Agency identified temperature impairment? OR Is water course temperature a client concern?			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Client input ➤ Planner observation ➤ SVAP2 	Is SVAP2 - riparian area quality element score ≥ 5 ? AND Is SVAP2 - riparian area quantity element score ≥ 5 ? AND Is SVAP2 - canopy cover element score ≥ 6 ?		
	OR Are existing practices in place to address water temperature?			OR Are existing practices in place to address water temperature?			
PLANT RESOURCES							
19. DEGRADED PLANT CONDITION: Inadequate structure and composition	Will changes to the plant community structure or composition better support the desired ecological functions and intended land use?			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Ecological Site Descriptions 	Do plant communities contain adequate diversity, composition and structure to support desired ecological functions?		
20. DEGRADED PLANT CONDITION: Excessive plant pest pressure	Is plant productivity limited from pest pressure?			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Client input ➤ Planner observation 	Is pest damage to plants below economic or environmental thresholds or client-identified criteria? AND Are plant pests, including noxious and invasive species managed to meet client objectives?		
21. DEGRADED PLANT CONDITION: Wildfire hazard, excessive biomass accumulation	Is wildfire hazard a concern?			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Client input ➤ Planner observation 	Are fuel loads and fuel ladders managed to provide defensible space and meet client objectives?		
ANIMAL RESOURCES							
22a. INADEQUATE HABITAT FOR FISH AND WILDLIFE: Quantity, quality of food is inadequate to meet requirements of identified fish, wildlife or invertebrate species	Is PLU managed for wildlife? (Wildlife Modifier) OR Is an RMS alternative being developed?			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Biology Tech Note 18 ➤ Species-specific wildlife habitat assessment tools ➤ SVAP2 	TN 18 rating ≥ 0.5 AND (when surface stream present and fish habitat is a concern) SVAP2 – fish habitat complexity element score ≥ 7 AND SVAP2 – barriers to movement element ≥ 7		
	OR Are conservation practices and management are in place that meet or exceed species or guild-specific habitat model thresholds?			OR Are conservation practices and management are in place that meet or exceed species or guild-specific habitat model thresholds?			

Checklist of Resource Concerns

Water

<p>22b. INADEQUATE HABITAT FOR FISH AND WILDLIFE: <i>Quantity, quality of <u>water</u> is inadequate to meet requirements of identified fish, wildlife or invertebrate species</i></p>	<p>Is PLU managed for wildlife? (Wildlife Modifier)</p> <p>OR</p> <p>Is an RMS alternative being developed?</p>			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Biology Tech Note 18 ➤ Species-specific wildlife habitat assessment tools ➤ SVAP2 	<p>TN 18 rating ≥ 0.5</p> <p>AND (when surface stream present and fish habitat is a concern)</p> <p>SVAP2 – fish habitat complexity element score ≥ 7</p> <p>AND</p> <p>SVAP2 – barriers to movement element ≥ 7</p>		
<p>22c. INADEQUATE HABITAT FOR FISH AND WILDLIFE: <i>Quantity, quality or cover/shelter is inadequate to meet requirements of identified fish, wildlife or invertebrate species</i></p>	<p>Is PLU managed for wildlife? (Wildlife Modifier)</p> <p>OR</p> <p>Is an RMS alternative being developed?</p>			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Biology Tech Note 18 ➤ Species-specific wildlife habitat assessment tools ➤ SVAP2 	<p>TN 18 rating ≥ 0.5</p> <p>AND (when surface stream present and fish habitat is a concern)</p> <p>SVAP2 – fish habitat complexity element score ≥ 7</p> <p>AND</p> <p>SVAP2 – barriers to movement element ≥ 7</p>		
<p>22d. INADEQUATE HABITAT FOR FISH AND WILDLIFE: <i>Habitat continuity is inadequate to meet requirements of identified fish, wildlife or invertebrate species</i></p>	<p>Is PLU managed for wildlife? (Wildlife Modifier)</p> <p>OR</p> <p>Is an RMS alternative being developed?</p>			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Biology Tech Note 18 ➤ Species-specific wildlife habitat assessment tools ➤ SVAP2 	<p>TN 18 rating ≥ 0.5</p> <p>AND (when surface stream present and fish habitat is a concern)</p> <p>SVAP2 – fish habitat complexity element score ≥ 7</p> <p>AND</p> <p>SVAP2 – barriers to movement element ≥ 7</p>		
<p>23. LIVESTOCK PRODUCTION LIMITATION: <i>Inadequate feed and forage</i></p>	<p>Is Client actively grazing animals? (Grazing Modifier)</p>			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Client input ➤ Planner observation ➤ Livestock Inventory Worksheet ➤ Graze 4 Worksheet 	<p>Are livestock forage, roughage and supplemental nutritional requirements addressed?</p>		
<p>24. LIVESTOCK PRODUCTION LIMITATION: <i>Inadequate livestock shelter</i></p>		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Client input ➤ Planner observation 	<p>Do artificial or natural shelters meet animal health needs and client objectives?</p>				

Checklist of Resource Concerns

Water

25. LIVESTOCK PRODUCTION LIMITATION: <i>Inadequate livestock water</i>	Is Client actively grazing animals? (Grazing Modifier)			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Client input ➤ Planner observation 	Is water of acceptable quality and quantity adequately distributed to meet animal needs?		
ENERGY RESOURCES							
26. INEFFICIENT ENERGY USE: <i>Equipment and facilities</i>	Is the Client interested in improving equipment and/or facilities energy efficiency? OR Is an RMS alternative being developed?			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Client input ➤ Planner observation ➤ NRCS Energy Estimator ➤ USDA approved Energy Audit 	Has a USDA approved energy audit been implemented that address equipment and facilities to meet client objectives? OR Are on- farm renewable energy and/or energy conserving practices been implemented to meet client objectives?		
27. INEFFICIENT ENERGY USE: <i>Farming and ranching practices and field operations</i>	Is Client interested in improving energy use in farm field operations? OR Is an RMS alternative being developed?			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Client input ➤ Planner observation ➤ NRCS Energy Estimator ➤ USDA approved Energy Audit 	Has a USDA approved energy audit been implemented that address field operations to meet client objectives? OR Are on- farm renewable energy and/or energy conserving practices been implemented to meet client objectives?		
AIR RESOURCES							
29. AIR QUALITY IMPACTS: <i>Emissions of Greenhouse Gases – (GHGs)</i>	Are GHGs regulated in this planning area? OR Do activities produce GHGs emissions?			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Client input ➤ Planner observation ➤ COMET – Farm ➤ COMET 2.0 ➤ COMET – Quick Energy Tool 	Are greenhouse gas emissions managed to meet client objectives?		
30. AIR QUALITY IMPACTS: <i>Emissions of Ozone Precursors</i>	Do operations produce ozone precursor emissions?			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Client input ➤ Planner observation ➤ COMET – Quick Energy Tool 	Are ozone precursor emissions are managed to meet client objectives?		

Checklist of Resource Concerns

Water

<i>Checklist Notes</i>	