

Michigan Technical Note

USDA-Natural Resources Conservation Service

BIOLOGY #12

Subject: Wildlife Habitat Evaluation

Date: April 2007

WILDLIFE HABITAT EVALUATION PROCEDURE

BACKGROUND

Wildlife habitat models are a valuable tool for assessing the landscape for wildlife. Generally two types of models have been developed, either models for a particular species or models for the land use being considered such as cropland, forestland, or pastureland.

Consideration of the effects of resource management decisions on the quality of wildlife habitat has been a part of the planning process of the Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) since its beginning.

National quality criteria for the animal (wildlife) resource have been set at 50 percent of potential to meet the resource management system requirement, regardless of land use. National quality criteria for the animal (wildlife) resource have been set at 75 percent of potential to meet the resource management system requirement, when wildlife is the primary objective of the landowner. The potential of the resource system requirement for wildlife is identified by the attached Habitat Evaluation Procedure. In order to measure the degree to which the resource management system meets the quality criteria, a method of evaluation is required. A subjective evaluation based on the planner's knowledge is the easy form; however, this method is dependent on the interest, ability, and knowledge of the planner. Conservation planning certification by NRCS field personnel will require a basic understanding and the ability to employ a basic wildlife habitat evaluation procedure.

The attached Habitat Evaluation Procedure is designed for use when planning a resource management system where wildlife is not the primary objective and intensive management for a particular wildlife species is not desired. This evaluation procedure is based primarily on diversity to give a general rating applicable to many different wildlife species based on inferred benefits as a result of the application of agricultural conservation practices. The Habitat Suitability Index Models (HSI) have been developed for when managing for a particular species is the landowner's objective. These models are available at: http://www.nwrc.usgs.gov/wdb/pub/hsi/hsiindex.htm.

This procedure may continue to be used when wildlife land is the primary land use (wildlife planning is a primary landowner objective), but intensive wildlife planning will often require a more detailed habitat assessment addressing a particular species and specific habitat needs.

This Habitat Evaluation Procedure is NOT to replace program-specific evaluations used in ranking, where such program specific evaluations have been developed (e.g., Wildlife Habitat Incentives Program).

INTRODUCTION

The following evaluation is designed for use by employees who provide assistance in farm planning and have limited training and knowledge in wildlife management principles. It is based on a numerical scale from 0 to 1. It is intended to assist decision-makers in understanding the effects of various agricultural practices on wildlife and to provide documentation of the effects of Resource Management System implementation on wildlife resources. This evaluation is primarily "conservation practice oriented," and may not properly indicate the true quality of the habitat for a targeted wildlife species without considering additional criteria such as specific habitat needs for that species, life cycles, population dynamics, etc.

This habitat evaluation is simplified to limit data input and the time required to complete it. It is not designed to make detailed management recommendations required for intensive wildlife management. If the primary objective for a conservation treatment unit is wildlife, or it is to be intensively managed for wildlife, a species-based wildlife habitat appraisal procedure should be used.

PROCEDURE

- (1) Determine the planned Conservation Treatment Unit (CTU). For this evaluation, the planned CTU may be an individual field, group of fields, tract, or whole farm. The CTU is determined jointly by the decision-maker and the planner.
- (2) Identify habitat types within the planned CTU according to the following categories: (a) cropland; (b) woodland; (c) pastureland/hayland; (d) grassland; (e) wetland; and (f) shrubland. Recognize and consider the land cover types making up the borders of the CTU. Wildlife habitat benefits extend beyond property lines. If a particular type of land use does not seem to fit any of those listed, contact the NRCS State Biologist.
- (3) If the CTU has only one field in a habitat type, or all fields within a habitat type are similar, only one field needs to be evaluated. If the conservation treatment unit has fields that vary in habitat quality within a habitat type, fields may be grouped with multiple inventories and a weighted average score computed. If there are significant differences in the same field, it may be divided and more than one evaluation done. For example, if the CTU is forested with a clearly defined area as strictly a hardwood forest and another clearly defined area as a pine plantation, the two areas should be evaluated separately. If more than one of these variations occurs within the CTU, use the weighted average score for the land use.

- (4) Complete the Worksheet Inventory form(s), as appropriate, for the CTU (see attachments) and compute the score for each habitat type. This evaluation will provide information on the quality of the habitat for the EXISTING CONDITION. Noting those features that receive a low score will help the planner select alternative practices or treatments that could improve the habitat. For example, the index for a large cropland field could be improved by adding hedgerows or field windbreaks to reduce the field size. The index rating for a woodlot may be improved by planting understory shrubs or creating snag and den trees.
- (5) Repeat the evaluation for each of the Resource Management System PLANNED ALTERNATIVES being considered to determine anticipated effects on the wildlife (animal) resource.
- (6) Complete the summary sheet to determine: (a) the composite or weighted score for all land uses within the conservation treatment unit; and (b) if the selected alternative meets the quality criteria for a Resource Management System and is acceptable to the decision-maker.

QUALITY CRITERIA

In order to meet the Field Office Technical Guide (FOTG) Quality Criteria for the wildlife (animal) resource, the composite Habitat Type Index for the conservation treatment unit must have an index greater than 0.5, where wildlife land is not the primary land use. The index is calculated by dividing the site-specific score by the potential maximum resource score. Where wildlife land is the primary land use, the habitat index must be greater than 0.75 to meet the Quality Criteria. In general, a habitat index below 0.3 indicates poor habitat, between 0.3 and 0.49 indicates fair habitat, between 0.5 and 0.75 is good habitat, and above 0.75 would be considered excellent habitat.

$CROPLAND^1$

Participant	_ Tract No	Date	Field N	lo
Observer	Acres			
CROPLAND HABITAT INDEX		POINTS	EXISTING	PLANNED
1. Average Field Size ² - Separated	by hedgerows or	field borders (min	imum 25 feet wide))
< 10 acres		10		
10-40 acres		7		
40.1-60 acres		3		
60.1-80 acres		1		
> 80.1 acres		0		
2. Crop Rotation				
Row crop-small grain-grass/legum	e	10		
Row crop-small grain		6		
Continuous row crop		0		
3. Crop Residue Management				
No fall tillage, residue undisturbed		10		
> 50% residue after fall tillage		7		
10-50% residue after fall tillage		3		
Fall moldboard plowing		0		
4. Crop Management				
> 5% unharvested or equivalent fo	od plots present	10		
3-5% unharvested or equivalent fo	od plots present	7		
1-2% unharvested or equivalent fo	od plots present	4		
Total crop harvest, weeds present		3		
Total crop harvest, clean field in w	inter	0		

CROPLAND HABITAT INDEX	POINTS	EXISTING	PLANNED
5. Distance to Undisturbed Cover or Woodland ³			
> 75% of cropland within 330 feet of cover	10		
25-75% of cropland within 330 feet of cover	5		
10-25 % of cropland within 330 feet of cover	2		
< 10% of cropland within 330 feet of cover	0		
6. Average Width of the Field - Separated by hedgerow	s or field borde	rs (minimum 25 fee	et wide)
< 670 feet	10		
< 1,340 feet	5		
> 1,340 feet	0		
(A) TOTAL CROPLAND HABITAT POINTS (60 MA	AX.)		
(B) CROPLAND HABITAT INDEX (A/60)			

- 1. Includes row crop, small grain, orchards, vegetables, or grass as part of rotation.
- 2. Field size impacts distance to cover. Similar fields separated only by field roads or clean fencerows should be combined for evaluation.
- 3. Concealment cover must be at least 25 feet wide and greater than 10,000 square feet in size.

$WOODLAND^1\\$

Participant	_ Tract No	Date	Field N	lo
Observer	Acres			
WOODLAND HABITAT INDEX		POINTS	EXISTING	PLANNED
1. Grazing				
Ungrazed		8		
Grazed within last 3 years		5		
Currently grazed		0		
2. Plant Community Diversity ²				
5 tree species common ³ and >2 age	classes ⁴	10		
3-4 tree species common and >2 ag	e classes	7		
3-4 tree species common 1-2 age cl	asses	5		
2 tree species common		3		
1 tree species common, only 1 age	class	0		
3. Mast Producing Tree Species Pr	esent			
Estimate % canopy of hard mast (or	ak, hickory) and	soft mast		
(maple, elm, ash) trees present. As	sign 1 point for o	each % hard		
mast and 0.5 for each % soft mast c	cover with a max	imum of 40 points.		
4. Forest Size and Configuration				
Contiguous >50 acres with corridor	•	10		
Contiguous>50 acres		8		
Contiguous 25-50 acres with corrid	or	6		
Contiguous 25-50 acres		4		
Woodland < 25 acres; corridor con	nected	2		
Woodland < 25 acres; isolated		0		

WOODLAND HABITAT INDEX	POINTS	EXISTING	PLANNED
5. Snags and Cavity Trees ⁵			
At least 3 snags and cavity trees per acre	8		
2 snag and cavity trees per acre	6		
1 snag and cavity tree per acre	2		
0 snag or cavity trees per acre	0		
6. Shrub and Herbaceous Cover > 2 feet tall			
> 50% canopy cover	5		
25-50% canopy cover	10		
10-24% canopy cover	5		
5-9% canopy cover	2		
< 5% cover	0		
7. Presence of Invasive Species - Percent of the woodland	d with invasiv	e plants	
0-5%	10		
6-10%	7		
11-15%	4		
> 15%	0		
8. Percent of Conifers (Applies only to northern LP and U	ЈР)		
> 75%	4		
60-75%	6		
35-59%	10		
15-34%	6		
< 15%	0		
(A) TOTAL WOODLAND HABITAT POINTS (96 - 10	6)		
(B) WOODLAND HABITAT INDEX (A/96 or 106)			

^{1.} Different woodland types may need to be evaluated separately (e.g., pine forest, upland hardwood forest, lowland hardwood). Woodlots are greater than 1 acre in size and have a tree canopy cover of greater than 30%.

^{2.} Diversity of tree species supports more wildlife species.

^{3.} Common is defined as comprising at least 10% of the stand.

^{4.} Age classes are recognized as being seedling, sapling, pole and mature or saw timber >10% of stand.

^{5.} Snags are standing dead trees or limbs > 4 inches in diameter. Cavity trees are greater than 10 inches in diameter with existing cavities.

PASTURELAND/HAYLAND¹

Participant	Tract No	Date	Field N	0
Observer	_ Acres			
PASTURELAND/HAYLAND H.	ABITAT INDEX	POINTS	EXISTING	PLANNED
Species Composition (Diversit	y)			
2 points for each common ² grass s	species up to 6 points			
and 2 points for each common leg	ume species up to 6 poir	nts		
with a maximum total of 12 points	S.			
2a. Hayland Management				
Add points for each management	practice up to a maximum	m		
of 10 points.				
> 10% uncut refuge area from 4/1	to 9/1	10		
> 10% uncut refuge area from 6/1	to 8/1	4		
Mowing during daytime from insi	de out or adjacent passes	s 2		
2 or less harvests per year		2		
Mower uses a flushing bar		2		
2b. Pasture Management				
Follow wildlife friendly grazing p	lan ⁴	10		
Rotational grazed		4		
Other		0		
3. Distance to Concealment Cove	rr^3			
> 75% of area within 330 feet of c	cover	10		
25-75 % of area within 330 feet of	f cover	5		
< 25% of area within 330 feet of c	cover	1		

PASTURELAND/HAYLAND HABITAT INDEX	POINTS	EXISTING	PLANNED
4. Undesirable Vegetation			
Less than 10% of the area covered by undesirable plant species for wildlife (tall fescue, smooth brome, common reed, reed canary grass, or noxious weeds).	10		
Less than or equal to 20% of the area covered by undesirable plant species for wildlife (tall fescue, smooth brome, common reed, reedcanary grass, or noxious week			
Greater than 20% of the area covered by undesirable plant species for wildlife (tall fescue, smooth brome, common reed, reed canary grass, or noxious weeds).	0		
(A) TOTAL HABITAT POINTS (42 MAX.)			
(B) PASTURELAND/HAYLAND HABITAT INDEX	(A/42)		

- 1. This worksheet should be used for herbaceous areas that are mowed, grazed, or managed for nonwoody vegetation primarily for livestock production, hay production, or non-wildlife purposes.
- 2. Common is defined as comprising at least 10% of the stand.
- 3. Concealment cover must be at least 25 feet wide and greater than 10,000 square feet in size.
- 4. Wildlife friendly grazing plan includes: rotational grazing system with average 8 inch minimum height; 10% annually rotated refuge area located away from edges; haying less than 50% of paddocks according to wildlife friendly haying plan; a diversity of forage species including both warm (minimum 5 % of stand) and cool season grasses; and a winter sacrifice area which will be reseeded.

$\mathsf{GRASSLAND}^1$

Participant	_ Tract No	Date	Field No	
Observer	Acres			
GRASSLAND HABITAT INDEX	POINTS	EXISTING	PLANNED	
1. Species Composition (Diversity))			
2 points for each common ² grass sp	ecies and 2 points for	each common		
forb or broadleaf species with a ma	ximum total of 14 poi	nts.		
2. Presence of Bare Ground				
> 40% bare ground/light litter		0		
30-39% bare ground/light litter		5		
10-29% bare ground/light litter		10		
1-9% bare ground/light litter		5		
< 1% bare ground/light litter		0		
3. Average Field Size				
> 40 acres		10		
20-40 acres		8		
5-19 acres		4		
< 5 acres		0		
4. Abundance of Grasslands Within	n 1 Mile Radius			
Comprises >25% of area		10		
Comprises 11-25% of area		7		
Comprises 1-10% of area		3		
Comprises <1% of area		0		

GRASSLAND HABITAT INDEX	POINTS	EXISTING	PLANNED
5. Undesirable Vegetation			
Less than 10% of the area covered by undesirable plant species for wildlife (tall fescue, smooth brome, common reed, reed canary grass, or noxious weeds).	10		
Less than or equal to 20% of the area covered by undesirable plant species for wildlife (tall fescue, smooth brome, common reed, reedcanary grass, or noxious we			
Greater than 20% of the area covered by undesirable plant species for wildlife (tall fescue, smooth brome, common reed, reed canary grass, or noxious weeds).	0		
(A) TOTAL GRASSLAND HABITAT POINTS (54	MAX.)		
(B) GRASSLAND HABITAT INDEX (A/54)			

- 1. Includes abandoned cropland, unmanaged idle grasslands, or other such as CRP or WRP. Areas where woody vegetation exceeds 2% of the area should use the shrub or woodland worksheet.
- 2. Common is defined as comprising at least 10% of the stand.

HABITAT INDEX WORKSHEET

$WETLAND^1$

Participant	Tract No	Date	Field N	lo
Observer	_ Acres			
WETLAND HABITAT INDEX		POINTS	EXISTING	PLANNED
1. Plant Community (Diversity)				
2 points for each herbaceous plant	species which co	mprises		
at least 5% of stand in a herbaceou	us wetland and 2 p	oints for		
each woody species which comprise	ises at least 5% of	the stand		
in a shrub or forested wetland with	h a maximum total	of 14 points.		
2. Percent Open Water Througho	ut Majority of the	Year		
>71%		3		
51-70%		10		
30-50%		7		
10-30%		5		
5-10%		2		
< 5%		0		
3. Upland Buffers (Average Widt	rh)			
Calculate by the following: % of	wetland edge (exp	ressed as a decimal	1)	
with a buffer times the average wi	dth of the buffer in	n feet (maximum 60	0 feet)	
divided by 6 with a maximum total	al of 10 points.			
4. Presence of Invasive Species - loosestrife	Including phragm	nities, reed canaryg	rass, glossy bucktho	orn, and purple
Percent of the wetland with invasi	ve plants:			
0-5%		10		
6-10%		7		
11-15%		4		
> 15%		0		

WETLAND HABITAT INDEX	POINTS	EXISTING	PLANNED
5. Landscape Significance			
> 40 acres of wetland w/i ½ mile	10		
> 40 acres of wetland w/i 1 mile	6		
> 40 acres of wetland w/i 1½ mile	4		
6. Livestock Use			
Uncontrolled livestock access	0		
Limited access or light use	4		
Livestock excluded	10		
7. Adjacent Grass to Wetland Buffer Ratio			
5:1 or greater	10		
4:1	8		
3:1	6		
2:1	4		
1:1	2		
< 1:1	0		
(A) TOTAL WETLAND HABITAT POINTS (74	MAX.)		
(B) WETLAND HABITAT (A/74)			

1. Evaluate all hydric soil areas except PC areas which are considered cropland. However, unique wetlands such as bogs, fens, or sedge meadows do not need to be evaluated.

HABITAT INDEX WORKSHEET

SHRUBLAND

Participant	Tract No	Date	Field N	lo
Observer	Acres			
SHRUBLAND HABITAT INDE	XX	POINTS	EXISTING	PLANNED
Species Composition (Diversi	ty)			
2 points for each common ² wood	y species and 1 poi	nt for each common	n	
grass or broadleaf species with a	maximum total of	14 points.		
2. Openings - Percent of field in	grass/forb opening	s:		
50-75%		3		
25-50%		6		
15-25%		10		
5-15%		5		
< 5% OR > 75%		0		
3. Average Field Size				
> 40 acres		10		
20-40 acres		8		
5-20 acres		4		
< 5 acres		0		
4. Abundance of Shrubland With	nin 1 Mile Radius			
Comprises >25% of area		5		
Comprises 11-25% of area		10		
Comprises 1-10% of area		3		
Comprises <1% of area		0		

SHRUBLAND HABITAT INDEX	POINTS	EXISTING	PLANNED
5. Presence of Invasive Species - Percent of sh	rubland with invasive p	lants	
0-5%	10		
6-10%	7		
11-15%	4		
> 15%	0		
(A) TOTAL SHRUBLAND HABITAT POIN	TS (54 MAX.)		
(B) SHRUBLAND HABITAT INDEX (A/54)			

- 1. Includes abandoned cropland, unmanaged idle shrub, or other odd areas. Areas where woody vegetation exceeds 50% of the area should use the woodland worksheet.
- 2. Common is defined as comprising at least 10% of the stand.

MICHIGAN WILDLIFE HABITAT EVALUATION SUMMARY

Participant	Tract No			Date		Field No
Observer	Acres					
		<u>EXISTI</u>	NG COND	<u>ITI(</u>	<u>ON</u>	
Habitat Type	Habitat Index		Acres		Weighted Index	CTU
Cropland		X		. =		
Woodland		X		. =		
Pastureland/Hayland		X		. =		
Grassland		X		. =		
Shrubland		X		. =		
Wetland		X		. =		
	SUM TOTALS	S		-		
	TOTAL WEIG	HTED II	NDEX/TO	TAL	ACRES	
		<u>PLANNI</u>	ED COND)ITI(<u>ON</u>	
Habitat Type	Habitat Index		Acres		Weighted Index	CTU
Cropland		X		. =		
Woodland		X		. =		
Pastureland/Hayland		X		. =		
Grassland		X		. =		
Shrubland		X		. =		
Wetland		X		. =		
	SUM TOTALS					
	TOTAL WEIG	HTED II	NDEX/TO	TAL	ACRES	

Note: In general, a habitat index below 0.3 indicates poor habitat, between 0.3 and 0.49 indicates fair habitat, between 0.5 and 0.75 is good habitat, and above 0.75 would be considered excellent habitat.