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Preface

In the arid and semi-arid Great Basin and Intermountain West, streams and riparian areas are rare and precious resources, often visible in the landscape for miles as ribbons of green. These habitats provide a disproportionately large number of benefits for such a small percentage of the landscape.

The condition of streams and riparian areas reflect the health of the surrounding landscape. Where rivers and riparian areas are healthy, full of life and energy, the stewards of that place must respect the land. Where rivers and riparian areas are degraded, it is most likely that the area’s residents do not understand the interconnectedness of these resources.

This publication was written to provide guidance for those interested in streambank bioengineering. It was also written to increase awareness of streams and riparian areas, their importance, and their interconnectedness with other resources. In essence, they reflect our values, our sense of place, and our concern for fellow citizens. Streams and riparian areas record these values and beliefs to be read by future generations. Let’s make sure the record is one of stewardship.

Gary Bentrup
J. Chris Hoag

"The care of rivers is not a question of rivers, but of human heart"

Tanka Shozo
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Introduction
Introduction

PURPOSE AND SCOPE

The Practical Stream Bioengineering Guide is a user's guide to natural stream stabilization techniques for the arid and semi-arid Great Basin and Intermountain West. Bioengineering can simply be defined as increasing the strength and structure of the soil with a combination of biological and mechanical elements.

This guide was produced primarily for the professional conservationist who provides technical resource assistance to individual landowners. The user should understand that riparian areas are complex ecosystems and that restoration efforts require interdisciplinary teams. The goal of this publication is to provide an easy to understand guide for coordinators of riparian restoration projects. A coordinator needs to have a basic awareness of the overall process and the disciplinary skills required for restoration. Other resources address specific issues in greater detail and should be consulted such as The Stream Corridor Restoration Handbook (a multi-agency publication to be published in 1998 - See Resource section).

The first part of this guide covers the basic principles of restoration and bioengineering. The second part consists of detailed, illustrated technique sheets for different bioengineering methods, including how to install, materials, type of use, and other special considerations (Appendix A). This guide was formatted to fit in a three-ring binder so that additional Technique Sheets can be added later. Appendix B includes data sheets and illustrations on plant species suitable for bioengineering techniques. Comments from users of this guide are extremely valuable and will be incorporated in future revisions and Technique Sheets. We have enclosed a comment sheet at the end of this guide for your use.

The condition of streams and riparian areas reflect the health of the surrounding landscape. Consequently, restoration of these areas needs to address land use management. Bioengineering should not be viewed as a substitute when management changes may be necessary. This guide briefly discusses general management issues for common land uses in the region. The Resource section of this guide as well as other professionals should be consulted for additional information on specific land use management.

WHAT IS A RIPARIAN AREA?

A riparian area is an ecosystem situated between aquatic and upland environments that is at least periodically influenced by flooding. (Fig. 1.1) (Mitsch and Gosselink 1993). Riparian zones often have a rich diversity of plant species and several vegetative layers.
Riparian vegetation composition and structure is regulated by: (1) frequency, magnitude, duration, and seasonal timing of stream flooding and (2) subsurface moisture conditions. These factors are the result of fluvial processes necessary for the formation and maintenance of riparian ecosystems (Brinson et al. 1981). In the West, riparian areas often appear as green ribbons winding through the gray-brown landscape of grasses and sagebrush.

**WHY ARE RIPARIAN AREAS VALUABLE?**

Riparian areas provide many important benefits which are well documented (Fig. 1.2) (Hellmund and Smith 1993; Mitsch and Gosselink 1993). The following are just a few of the many benefits that riparian areas provide:

**Water Quality Protection**

Nonpoint source water pollution occurs as a result of runoff and shallow groundwater flow from urban and rural areas. Nonpoint source pollution is estimated to be responsible for 99% of sediments, 88% of nitrates, 84% of phosphates, and 73% of the biological oxygen demand in our lakes and streams (Clark et al. 1985). Riparian areas can reduce the impacts of nonpoint source pollution in a variety of ways.

Riparian vegetation traps sediments and nutrients from surface runoff and prevents them from entering the aquatic system (Binford and Buchenau 1993). In addition, the dense matrix of roots in a riparian zone can serve as an effective filter of shallow groundwater (Shultz 1994). Nitrogen dissolved in groundwater is a major input to streams in some areas (Peterjohn and Correll 1984). In one study, woody riparian vegetation removed six times as much nitrogen from groundwater as was exported to...
the stream (Lowrance et al. 1985). This matrix of roots also reduces sediment delivery to the stream by minimizing streambank erosion (Binford and Buchenau 1993).

**Flood Control**
Riparian areas also act as a sponge by absorbing floodwaters. The water is then slowly released over a period of time which minimizes flood damage and sustains higher base flows during late summer (Binford and Buchenau 1993). When the flood storage capacity is compromised by human activity, the impacts from flooding can be aggravated. Examples include the mid-western floods of 1993, 1994, and 1997 and the floods in the Pacific Northwest in 1996 and 1997.

**Streamflow Maintenance**
In our semi-arid to arid environment, some riparian landowners are concerned that riparian vegetation reduces the stream water available for other uses. However, studies have shown that elimination of woody riparian vegetation and debris may result in the eventual loss of summer stream flow because the water storage capacity of the soils is greatly reduced (Stabler 1985). Studies in Utah have shown that mature woody riparian vegetation uses water from below the active stream-flow zone (Dawson and Ehleringer 1991).

**Water Temperature**
Water temperature in streams plays a critical role in the health of the riparian ecosystem. Riparian vegetation maintains cooler water temperatures by shading the water surface and is particularly important in headwater streams that have a small volume of water (Binford and Buchenau 1993). Temperature influences factors such as the rate of nutrient cycling and dissolved oxygen. For example, a slight increase in temperatures above 59° F will produce a substantial increase in the release of phosphorus (Karr and Schlosser 1978). Because phosphorus is often a limiting nutrient in freshwater systems, a substantial release of this nutrient can result in eutrophication which can reduce water quality and diversity of aquatic life. In addition, salmonid fish species and cold water macroinvertebrates require cool water temperatures in order to survive.

**Wildlife Habitat**
Riparian corridors are among the most productive wildlife habitats in many regions of the country (Noss 1993). They are particularly important habitats in arid and semi-arid landscapes (Szar 1991; Thomas et al. 1979). Both wildlife species diversity and density are high in healthy riparian habitats (Noss 1993). Thomas et al. (1979) found that 75% of the terrestrial vertebrates in the Blue Mountain study area in eastern Oregon were dependent upon or preferred riparian habitat. Best and Stauffer (1980) found an average of 506 breeding pairs of birds per 100 acres in riparian corridors compared to 339 pairs in upland forests.

The vegetative community in most riparian areas is structurally more varied than adjacent landscapes and thereby provides a rich diversity of habitat niches. This diversity translates to the fulfillment of the primary life requisites (e. g.; food, cover, reproductive habitat) for a great variety of wildlife. Water, aquatic invertebrates, and fish provide resources that support species that inhabit and utilize an aquatic/upland ecotone.

Riparian vegetation adjacent to a stream is an
important source of food for benthic macroinvertebrates which are a necessary food source for other forms of aquatic life. Detritus from leaves and herbaceous vegetation is consumed by these organisms as soon as it is deposited in streams while large woody debris provide long-term food reserves for aquatic life (Binford and Buchenau 1993).

In addition, the linear form of riparian areas may serve as critical wildlife corridors allowing for movement between different habitat areas (Forman 1995). Riparian corridors may be important for dispersal of juveniles (Noss 1993).

Recreation Benefits
Riparian areas are especially attractive locations for recreation, particularly for trails. The presence of water, diverse vegetation, moderated climate, and abundant wildlife enhance the recreation experience. Boating, rafting, kayaking, tubing, fishing, and hunting are popular activities in many corridors with perennial flowing water. Some riparian corridors have become so popular that demand frequently exceeds social and ecological carrying capacity. Conflicts between different types of users and degradation of the riparian resource often result (Cole 1993).

Economic Benefits
The economic value of these benefits is not always apparent and difficult to estimate. Thibodeau and Ostro (1981) used cost/benefit analysis techniques to calculate the value of riparian wetlands along the Charles River near Boston. They estimated the value of property value increase, water supply, flood prevention, pollution reduction, and recreation at between $153,000 and $190,000 per acre. However, in the arid West, one quickly realizes that these resources are actually priceless.

STATUS OF RIPARIAN AREAS AND STREAMS

Despite the multitude of benefits that riparian areas provide, many of these areas have not been managed with care. For example, only about 2% of the Southwest landscape consisted of riparian ecosystems before Anglo settlement. Today, Arizona and New Mexico are estimated to have lost 90% of their riparian areas (Johnson 1989).

A nationwide study of fisheries in 666,000 miles of perennial streams revealed some very disturbing trends (Judy et al. 1984). For example, 40% of the stream miles were adversely affected by turbidity, 32% by elevated temperature, 22% by bank erosion, and 21% by excess nutrients. Approximately 75% of the stream miles would only support a low-quality sport fishery, and only 5 to 6% would support high-quality sport fishery.

While preservation and conservation of healthy streams and riparian areas should receive high priority, it is clear that restoration of degraded areas is a necessity as well. "If the damage to these ecosystems is not reversed, they will most likely undergo further significant, and in
Chapter Two
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some cases irreversible, ecological deterioration” (NRC 1992). This guide provides some tools to help reverse this trend.

WATERSHED APPROACH

Riparian areas are shaped by the dynamic forces of water flowing across the landscape. Flooding, for instance, is a natural and necessary component of riparian areas. Many riparian plant species such as cottonwood require floods to regenerate. Geomorphological characteristics of the stream valley such as floodplain level, drainage area, stream capacity, channel slope, and soils are some of the factors that influence the frequency, duration, and intensity of flooding (Leopold et al. 1964). Flooding, in turn, influences the size and structure of the stream channel and composition of the riparian vegetation (Hupp and Osterkamp 1996).

Healthy streams and riparian areas are naturally resilient which allows recovery from natural disturbances such as flooding (Florsheim and Coats 1997). Streambank stability is a function of a healthy riparian area. When a stream and riparian system is degraded, this resiliency to natural disturbances is diminished. Excessive flooding, erosion, and sedimentation will often increase. Degraded riparian areas are less effective for storing floodwaters. As more sediment is deposited, water quality is also diminished. High levels of sediment in a stream suffocate fish, fill in spawning gravels and pools, and kill aquatic insects (Platts and Rinne 1985).

As additional sediment is deposited in streams, the streambed may aggrade and become shallower, forcing water to spread out and cause bank erosion (Leopold 1994). Excessive bank erosion causes wider, shallower channels and lowers the water table. A shallower stream also has a lower dissolved oxygen content and a higher temperature, which supports less aquatic life.

In other streams, headcutting may occur, which is the cutting of the streambed to a lower bed elevation. As the streambed lowers, the water table also lowers. This causes riparian vegetation to die-off and be replaced with upland vegetation, which is less successful in stabilizing the streambank (Briggs 1996). In either case, headcutting or aggradation greatly diminishes the natural resiliency of riparian areas.

Riparian area health and streambank stability is simply a reflection of the conditions in the surrounding landscape. When studying your stream, it is important to keep in mind that extensive stretches of eroding streambanks are only symptoms of an unhealthy system and are not the true cause of the problems.

Consequently, to understand the factors that are affecting your stream, one must look at the whole watershed to gain an understanding of the big picture (Hunter 1990). A watershed is simply the area of land that drains into a particular stream. There are many factors that can contribute to an unhealthy watershed and riparian system. To adequately address these factors, an interdisciplinary approach is essential. Chapter 3 lists some of the professionals that should be consulted in determining the true source of the problems. In many cases, land management practices may be the primary source of problems.
PROPER LAND USE MANAGEMENT

Current and past land management practices in the watershed will affect runoff, streamflow and sediment load of a stream (Hunter 1990). This in turn influences the natural dynamics and health of stream and riparian areas. Common land uses in the Great Basin and Intermountain Region that can impact streams include agriculture, livestock grazing, timber harvest, road building, recreational activities, and urbanization (Briggs 1996; Platts and Rinne 1985; Schueler 1995).

The first step in any restoration process is to determine land management problems that created the unhealthy stream and riparian area. A change in management practices may be required to allow the stream to begin the healing process. In many instances, a change in land management practices is all that is necessary to restore the stream and riparian area to a healthy condition (Briggs et al. 1994; Hunter 1990; Kauffman et al. 1995). This type of restoration is often preferred because it is usually more cost-effective and will generally respond better to site conditions than a project that just relies on bioengineering techniques. However, carefully planned bioengineering techniques can be used in these situations to accelerate the restoration process. Where riparian areas are extremely degraded, bioengineering techniques may be necessary for restoration, in addition to a change in land management practices.

It should be kept in mind that bioengineering should not be viewed as a substitute for proper land use management. Without changes in land management, the success of bioengineering efforts will most likely be short term.

The following section discusses common land use practices in the region and suggests basic best management practices to minimize the impact of these uses. A best management practice (BMP) may be defined as a structural and non-structural method to control erosion, improve water quality, and protect wildlife habitat. It is important not to rely only on one or two BMP because these practices are much more effective when applied in systems. It should also be noted that the discussion of specific BMP measures under one land use type...
Agriculture

### Potential Impacts to Riparian Areas

1. Sedimentation
2. Polluted return flows
3. Altered hydrology
4. Riparian vegetation clearing
5. Channelization

### Agriculture BMP

1. Crop residue management
2. Proper fertilizer management
3. Integrated pest management
4. Proper irrigation management
5. Riparian buffer strips

may also be applicable to another land use.

Additional references for proper land use management can be found in the Resources section of this guide.

Agricultural practices can strongly impact streams and riparian areas when proper management practices are not used (Binford and Buchenau 1993). Poor crop residue management and inefficient irrigation practices can contribute significant amounts of nutrients and sediment to streams. Altered hydrology due to irrigation diversions, stress riparian vegetation making it less capable of stabilizing streambanks (Briggs 1996). Another significant impact occurs where riparian areas are cleared to allow for more arable land. This removes vegetation that protects the land from erosion and reduces the natural filtering capabilities (Cooper et al. 1987). Channel straightening along cultural boundaries such as field borders often causes headcutting within the channel, lowers the water table, and increases sediment loads downstream.

### Proper Agricultural Management

A comprehensive analysis of farming operations should be completed to identify where BMP systems are needed and how they will protect riparian areas.

Crop residue management which increases infiltration and minimizes runoff should be encouraged, such as no or low-till cultivation practices (Harpstead et al. 1988). Wise and efficient use of water is a key component of an effective conservation plan. Crops and soil should be carefully monitored to prevent excessive water application which will minimize runoff. Conserved water may be used to enhance a riparian area, which will promote higher base flows later in the summer (Stabler 1985).

A soil analysis should be completed to determine the proper timing and amount of fertilizer needed. Also, through better irrigation management, less fertilizer is generally required, resulting in cost savings (Harpstead et al. 1988).

Integrated pest management involves monitoring pests to determine optimum pesticide timing, use of alternative pesticides, and use of biological controls. Proper management reduces the potential for excess chemicals to leave the field and enter nearby streams.

A riparian buffer strip is an area of riparian vegetation that has been managed to provide...
the many functions and benefits of these habitats (Shultz 1994). Riparian buffer strips generally consist of woody and herbaceous vegetation, that occur or are planted along the stream.

Diverse buffer strips containing a mixture of woody species such as willows, forbs, grasses, and grass-like species will often serve as effective filters of surface and subsurface water flows (Shultz 1994). It should be noted that in some areas, buffer strips with only herbaceous vegetation may be appropriate, i.e., where natural communities are dominated by sedges. Regional classification systems of riparian and wetland sites should be consulted such as Classification and Management of Montana’s Riparian and Wetland Sites (Hansen et al. 1995), Riparian Community Type Classification of Utah and Southeastern Idaho (Padgett et al. 1989), and others.

In many degraded areas, the natural plant community may have been eliminated and may need to be replanted. Regional classification systems and riparian vegetation specialists will be able to help you to determine which species are appropriate for your area.

The width of riparian buffers varies depending upon soils, climate, vegetation, landuse, nutrient and sediment load, and the wildlife or fish species being managed for. Presently, research is being done on buffer widths in different parts of the country. However, at this time only estimates are available. In areas where the riparian area is intact, the width of the buffer should be at least the width of the existing riparian area and could possibly include an adjacent, small upland buffer. In areas where buffer strips are being created, a general rule-of-thumb is that buffer strips should be 2 to 5 times the width of the stream (Firehock and Doherty 1995). In general, a buffer should become wider as the stream increases in width and flow.

It should be kept in mind that other BMPs should be used in concert to avoid overloading the capabilities of the riparian buffer strip.

Check with your local NRCS District Conservationist for assistance and additional information on BMPs and cost-share programs for implementing conservation plans.
Livestock Grazing

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Potential Impacts to Riparian Areas</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Sedimentation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Nutrient-laden runoff</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Overgrazed vegetation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Riparian vegetation trampling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Bank destabilization</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grazing BMP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Fenced riparian buffers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Prescribed grazing management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Alternative water sources</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Other structural improvements</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Since livestock are naturally drawn to riparian areas in the West, improper grazing management has resulted in a major impact to streams and riparian areas in the region (Briggs 1996; Johnson and Jones 1977; Platts and Nelson 1985; West 1995).

Overgrazing of riparian vegetation results in streambanks being more vulnerable to the effects of livestock trampling and to the erosive force of water. Reduced vegetation exposes soils to drying by wind and sunlight, reduces water storage capacity, accelerates runoff, and reduces infiltration (Cannon and Knopf 1984; Kauffman and Krueger 1984). Overgrazing also encourages the invasion of weedy species and reduces shade and thereby increases water temperature (West 1995).

Overgrazing uplands may also impact the health of the stream even if the riparian area is protected. Overgrazed uplands can contribute higher sediment and runoff quantities than properly grazed uplands (West 1995). Consequently, it is not a matter of better grazing management for riparian areas, but rather a holistic grazing plan for all areas. The goal should be a grazing system that protects riparian areas while improving long-term financial return. Restoring degraded riparian areas may create new sources of income such as fees collected from hunters and other outdoor recreationists (West 1995).

Proper Grazing Management

Where riparian habitat is severely degraded, complete rest is more effective and quicker than trying to manage grazing during the first few years (Cannon and Knopf 1984; Platts and Wagstaff 1984).

Fencing the riparian area (and possibly some adjacent upland) to control livestock and wildlife access is one of the most effective ways to accomplish this goal. Research has shown that riparian habitat often improves quickly when fenced to exclude grazing or to control the time and duration of grazing. This applies to wildlife as well as livestock. Duff (1980) reported that riparian habitat grazed all season long remained in poor condition while adjacent ungrazed riparian habitat attained good condition within 4 years. Another study showed that a reach of Horton Creek in Idaho, which was overgrazed by sheep, was 4 times wider and only 1/5 as deep as an adjacent but fenced stream reach (Platts 1981). Some research, however, has shown that riparian recovery may not be quick if the riparian system is severely degraded (Clary et al. 1996; Clary 1995).
One of the most daunting aspects of fence construction is the labor required. Volunteer labor for riparian fence construction has been used successfully on both private and public lands (West 1995). Managers should investigate the opportunity of using volunteers such as Fish and Game volunteers and NRCS Earth Team members.

When installing fences, managers should resist the temptation to put fences at the high water line. The fenced areas should include enough land to restore riparian and stream function and allow the stream to shift naturally over time. In addition, upland areas included in riparian pastures can decrease livestock impacts by providing more acreage for the livestock to use especially during the spring grazing season. A monitoring program should be established to determine when the riparian area has healed sufficiently to allow grazing. In some cases, it may be desirable not to graze riparian areas in the long run because of the benefits a healthy riparian areas will return to the overall operation (Platts and Wagstaff 1984). For example, a ungrazed riparian zone may sustain higher base flows later into the summer (Stabler 1985; West 1995).

The foundation of any prescribed grazing program is a documented plan with identified goals and objectives. The key components of this management plan should address timing, intensity, and duration of grazing (Chaney et al. 1993; West 1995). Several grazing strategies incorporate these and other factors to minimize impact on streams and riparian areas (Chaney et al. 1993; Platts 1990). Many of these strategies are based on avoiding riparian areas when soils are saturated and susceptible to compaction and bank collapse. Some plans are based on rotating livestock from one pasture to the next during the year. A key component of successful grazing plans is carefully planned pastures which can be given rest from livestock grazing during a critical time. By having riparian areas fenced in separate pastures from the uplands, grazing can be carefully controlled.

Another strategy is to minimize the time livestock spend in riparian areas by creating desirable conditions in upland areas (West 1995). These include structural measures such as water facilities and shelters. Providing water in uplands can assist in luring livestock away from riparian areas. Shelters or shade which provide protection from the elements should also be placed in strategic upland locations. Using salting locations in uplands may assist in drawing livestock from riparian habitats. Improving upland forage desirability through seeding and other techniques may also be incorporated in the management plan.

Range conservationists that understand riparian areas and livestock management should be consulted in preparing a grazing management plan to improve the entire operation and associated ecosystems.

A RESTORATION TOOL: BEAVER

Geyer Willow
Salix geyeriana
Timber Production

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Potential Impacts to Riparian Areas</th>
<th>Forestry BMP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Sedimentation</td>
<td>1. Riparian buffers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Stream crossings</td>
<td>2. Proper roadway design</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Riparian vegetation clearing</td>
<td>3. Proper stream crossings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Increased runoff</td>
<td>4. Sustainable logging strategies</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Although, timber harvesting activities usually occur in upland areas, logging practices can significantly impact streams and riparian areas (Firehock & Doherty 1995). Poorly designed and constructed access roads contribute large quantities of sediment to streams. Because riparian valleys usually have a flatter gradient than upland areas, roads are commonly aligned along streams, resulting in the clearing of riparian vegetation and other impacts. Timber harvesting methods that significantly reduce vegetation such as clear cutting, can contribute to higher sediment and runoff quantities. It can also increase peak flows due to lack of canopy allowing snowpack to melt quicker.

Proper Forestry Management

BMPs are available to reduce the impact of timber harvesting (Seyedbagheri 1996). Every few years, national forests must prepare a forest management plan which addresses the forestry practices in use. These plans are available for public review and comment. In addition, several states have forest practices acts which influence timber harvest practices in that state. Through existing laws and public participation in the planning process, BMPs can be applied to timber harvesting activities.

Riparian buffers, which were covered under the earlier sections, should be applied in all timber harvest locations. Essentially, the buffer should be as wide as the natural riparian area and should include some upland area. This should not be a problem because many riparian tree species have low economic value to the timber industry.

New access roads should be designed to avoid riparian areas. Although construction costs may be initially higher, lower maintenance should provide cost savings in the long run. Stream crossings should be avoided whenever possible.

When stream crossings are inevitable, new crossing designs are available to minimize impact. Refer to the *Riparian Road Guide* in the Resource section for ideas. This guide also provides valuable ideas on retrofitting existing roads with better stream crossing designs. In some cases, it may be appropriate to restore existing roads back to natural conditions.

Finally, the actual logging practice should be examined to see how timber can be harvested in a manner that protects all of the forest resources. A forester should assist with development of an appropriate logging strategy.
Urbanization Land Use

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Potential Impacts to Riparian Areas</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Polluted runoff</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Increased runoff</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Clearing of riparian vegetation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Riparian vegetation trampling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Channelization</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Urbanization BMP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Avoidance of riparian areas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Riparian buffers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Reduce impervious cover</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Limit disturbance and erosion of soils</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Treat stormwater runoff</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Urbanization has often resulted in serious impacts on streams and riparian areas (Binford and Buchenau 1993). In recent history, streams were considered a problem rather than an asset in communities. Consequently, streams were often channelized to reduce flooding and offered a means of getting rid of sewage. Ironically, these practices increased flooding and associated problems for all of the communities in the watershed.

Impervious surfaces such as massive parking lots increase runoff and flush pollutants into streams (Schueler 1995). In urbanizing areas, riparian vegetation is often cleared away for construction. Even in cases where some areas are protected from development, heavy recreational use has resulted in trampled riparian vegetation (Cole 1993).

Although a majority of the Great Basin and Intermountain West is rural, many urbanizing communities in the region already have impacted streams and riparian areas. Restoration of these habitats should be considered for the benefit of the community and the environment (Briggs 1996). Because rapid growth is beginning to occur in the region, growing communities should take a pro-active role in protecting their riparian resources.

Urban Land Use Management

Protection of stream and riparian areas in urbanizing environments is a holistic process that must encompass the whole range of the development sequence (Schueler 1995). Communities cannot rely on one BMP to protect their riparian areas.

The first step is to inventory streams and riparian areas in the community. This includes ephemeral streams that may only flow intermittently. These habitats still play a significant role in the arid West and should be protected from development by narrow buffers. Protection can occur in a variety of ways such as land acquisition or conservation easements.

A stream buffer system should be considered in communities (Herson-Jones et al. 1995). In some communities, a three level buffer system have been effective where different uses are allowed in each zone. For example, the first buffer zone adjacent to the stream could be primarily for natural functions to occur and would not allow many uses. The second zone placed farther back from the stream could allow some recreational uses such as pathways. The third zone, farthest away from the stream, would allow more uses. When this three-level
approach is not applied, many buffers become an extension of the adjacent landowner's yard. When these areas are treated as lawns, the benefits of the buffer are greatly diminished (Schueler 1995).

Impervious cover alters the natural hydrology of an area. Runoff is quickly conveyed to streams which results in higher peak flows and reduces the dry season base flows. Impervious cover in communities consists of everything from rooftops and sidewalks to parking lots. Some research shows that stream and riparian degradation occurs at relatively low levels of imperviousness from 10 to 20% per unit area of land (Schueler 1995).

Two main approaches can be used to deal with impervious cover. First, stormwater drainage should be shifted to infiltration and dispersal methods rather than allow runoff to concentrate. Impervious cover should be connected to infiltration trenches and recharge basins rather than piping it to a discharge point along a stream.

Second, the amount of impervious cover in a community should be reduced. This will require creative design and cooperation among designers, developers, and city officials. Methods to reduce impervious cover include zoning measures, realistic street and parking lot design requirements, use of porous paving materials, cluster development, and shared parking facilities.

To illustrate the cooperative nature of this endeavor, an example is a bank which is not open on Sundays, allows an adjacent church to use the parking facilities.

Communities should consider limiting disturbance and erosion of soils during construction. The timing and amount of ground that can be exposed at a given time can be controlled to minimize erosion. Effective erosion and sediment BMPs can also be applied. The International Erosion Control Association can offer guidance in this area (see Resource section).

After these measures have been applied, it may still be necessary to treat stormwater before it enters the riparian area. Stormwater treatment systems that allow for natural infiltration and recharge into the aquifer after treatment are a good option in our arid environment. Other worthwhile treatment systems include constructed wetlands, vegetated swales, and filter strips.

Urban stream and riparian protection is a complex and interrelated process that requires the involvement of professionals, city officials, and the community. Most importantly, it must be supported by the community at large.

A particularly good resource for this process is the book, *Site Planning for Urban Stream Protection* by Schueler (1995) which can be found in the Resource section of this guide.

Stream and riparian degradation often occurs when impervious cover reaches 10-20% in a watershed.

(Schueler 1995)
The use of beaver to restore riparian areas and recharge waters in rangelands and other areas is an excellent example of using natural processes (West 1995). Some believe beaver are the reason for riparian destruction. If this were the case, beaver would have eliminated every streamside tree in North America prior to European settlement. Obviously, this did not happen. Riparian areas developed with beaver and they are part of the natural dynamic equilibrium.

The dam-building behavior of beaver makes them effective riparian managers. Their dams trap sediment and pond water. This raises the local water table and slows down the overall velocity of the stream. In some areas, beaver have helped maintain year-round flow, even during periods of drought. More water is then available for livestock and wildlife. Because ponding raises the water table, a lush riparian area will often develop, which benefits livestock and wildlife. Beaver ponds also store spring runoff, often reducing the effects of seasonal downstream flooding.

Areas chosen for beaver reintroductions should have perennial flows no less than 0.5 cfs, channel slopes 3 percent or less, and adequate woody vegetation for food and construction material (West 1995). Large rivers, (4th order and higher) are probably not appropriate for beaver reintroductions. In some areas, it may be necessary to allow the woody vegetation to recover before bringing in beaver.

After beaver have been reintroduced, the new colony needs to be protected for the first 3 years while becoming established. After this time, some beaver should be harvested because natural predators are no longer as abundant as historically.

Those interested in reintroducing beaver should contact their state fish and game agency.
Installing small tree revetment to slow the stream velocity and deposit sediment against the bank.

Chapter Three
Streambank
Bioengineering
Streambank Bioengineering

**BIOENGINEERING: ADVANTAGES AND DISADVANTAGES**

Bioengineering can be defined as integrating living woody and herbaceous materials with organic and inorganic materials to increase the strength and structure of the soil. This is accomplished by a dense matrix of roots which hold the soil together. The above-ground vegetation increases the resistance to flow and reduces flow velocities by dissipating energy. The biomass also acts as a buffer against the abrasive effect of transported materials and allows sediment deposition due to low shear stress near the bank (Allen and Leech 1997).

In contrast, traditional engineered approaches to streambank stabilization include rip-rap, concrete revetments, bulkheads, concrete-lined channels, etc. These hard structures require some maintenance over the course of their usable lifespan. In addition, failure of a hard structure can be even more expensive to repair than the original construction costs. Bioengineering projects may be expensive initially, especially for labor, replanting, possible repairs, and monitoring. However, their maintenance costs will be significantly lower over time because of their resiliency and self-sustaining nature (Allen and Leech 1997).

Bioengineering techniques have a long history in central Europe where these practices have been used along small to large streams (Schiechtl and Stern 1994). In the United States, wattles and other bioengineering techniques were used in the 1930s (Kraebel 1936; Fry 1938). However, these techniques were largely ignored until recently and now are being applied in a variety of settings (Bentrup 1996; Gray and Sotir 1994; Hoag 1992; Rotar 1996).

Bioengineering projects do have some limitations (Gray and Leiser 1982; Schiechtl and Stern 1994): 1) sometimes the plants fail to grow, 2) plants and other components may be subject to scouring, 3) plants can be uprooted by freezing and thawing, ice flows, and debris loads, 4) livestock and wildlife often feed on the plants and may destroy them, and 5) the project may have to be maintained for a period of time, especially early in the project life.

Despite these limitations, a bioengineering approach offers several advantages over traditional approaches (Gray and Leiser 1982; Schiechtl and Stern 1994). Some of these advantages include:

**Cost Effectiveness**

As previously stated, typical bioengineering techniques are more cost-effective than hard engineered structures. Even when considering the occasional need to reinstall a bioengineered treatment (e.g. one which did not have time to establish roots before a flood), these techniques are usually less expensive in the long run. As a bioengineering project matures, little to no maintenance will be required.

**Environmental Compatibility**

Bioengineering techniques blend into the landscape, providing valuable fish and wildlife habitat. These methods improve water quality rather than diminish it like traditional approaches. These techniques will also evolve with the stream, adjusting naturally to flows and meandering.

**Indigenous, Natural Material**

Bioengineering techniques emphasize the use of natural, locally available materials: earth, vegetation, rock, and lumber in contrast to steel
and concrete. This is a particularly important consideration in more remote areas where it is infeasible to bring in artificial materials.

**Labor-Skill Requirements**

A final but important consideration is that bioengineering techniques tend to be more labor-skill intensive than energy-capital intensive (Gray and Leiser 1982). These techniques depend more on easily trained labor than on high-cost manufactured materials. As a result, these methods can be installed with well-supervised volunteers. Acquiring volunteers for these types of projects is usually quite easy. Potential volunteers include high school groups, fish and game volunteers, NRCS Earth Team members, Boy and Girl Scouts, etc. In addition to the free labor, there is significant value in having people play a role in restoration. Stream restoration can instill a sense of ownership and care for the region’s riparian areas (Lev 1995; McDonald 1995).

**Characteristics of Bioengineering**

**Vegetation Components**
Bioengineering techniques typically rely on woody plant materials because of their deep root system that reinforces the soil and their greater resistance to erosive flows. Herbaceous plant materials should also be used because they provide fine fibrous root systems. When herbaceous plants are used with woody vegetation, the combination will hold more soil and will buffer the force of the stream as it hits the streambank. Wetland herbaceous plant species also survive in areas of the streambank that have more water than the woody species can handle. Wetland plants can survive these conditions because of their aerenchyma cellular structures which move oxygen to the root systems and allow them to grow in anaerobic conditions.

**Structural Components**
These techniques often use non-living material such as wood and steel stakes, wire, twine, etc. Sometimes these methods utilize specially manufactured products such as biodegradable coir fiberschines and erosion control fabric. Other non-biodegradable products such as plastic geogrid cells may be appropriate in certain applications.

### Planning a Bioengineering Restoration Project

1. Analyze the watershed and determine the large scale reasons for degradation.
2. Work with the landowners to modify poor land management practices as necessary.
3. Enlist technical expertise and begin initial inventory of areas that may benefit from bioengineering. Begin to develop site-specific objectives.
4. Inventory and analyze prospective sites and determine causes of bank failure. Select a project site and refine objectives.
5. Design a site-specific bioengineering project to meet the objectives.
6. Gather input and permits as necessary from regulatory agencies.
7. Implement the project.
8. Monitor and maintain the project. Evaluate for future projects.

### Basic Planning and Design Principles

The above list illustrates the basic procedure for planning a bioengineering restoration project. **Steps 1 and 2** were covered in Chapter Two.

**Step 3 Preliminary Inventory**

---
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**Enlist Technical Expertise**

This step is essentially a review of the areas in a watershed which could benefit from having a bioengineering project. It is often worthwhile to enlist technical expertise at this point in the process to select sites that are suitable for bioengineering. An interdisciplinary team is always recommended. This team may consist of professionals like engineers, fluvial geomorphologists, grazing lands specialists, plant ecologists, fish and wildlife biologists, soil scientists, hydrologists, and landscape architects.

Also include local landowners and officials from regulatory agencies in the process. It is critical to involve individual landowners in the identification of any land management problems so that holistic solutions and support can be created. Including all of these people in the planning process will give them ownership in the project and will result in better restoration alternatives.

**Multiple Scales of Inventory and Assessment**

It is important to emphasize that restoration projects must incorporate several scales of inventory and assessment. Stanley and others (1997) provide a useful hierarchical framework for inventoring streams (Fig. 3.1).

In Step 3, the inventory should be focused at the watershed and phase scales. According to the framework by Stanley and others (1997), the spatial extent of the stream being inventoried at these scales would range from approximately 5 to 50 linear miles, although this will vary based on the context of the area. The main objective of the inventory at these scales is to highlight land management issues that may need to be addressed. In addition, this assessment should provide an understanding of the major problems and opportunities that exist in the watershed. Aerial photos that cover several decades may be extremely valuable tools for this process.

Potential sites identified during this step will be more thoroughly inventoried and analyzed at a finer scale in Step 4. At the section and reach scales, the spatial extent of the inventory may range from approximately 10 feet up to 1 mile. Again, these ranges may vary depending on the situation. The main objective of this inventory is to gain insight into the site specific problems and opportunities.

Successful bioengineering projects are often dependent upon the careful integration of inventories and analysis conducted at multiple scales.

**Initial Inventory: Geomorphic Valley Form**

*Fig. 3.1 Multiple Scales of Inventory and Assessment. Numbers indicate approximate spatial extent in 10’s of feet. (Modified from Stanley et al. 1997).*

*Eventually, all things merge into one. And a river runs through it.*

*Norman MacLean*
Fluvial geomorphology is the study of flowing water as it shapes the landscape. Riparian zones are the result of hydrologic and geomorphic conditions where water, energy, and materials from aquatic and upland ecosystems converge in a channel.

Bioengineering projects that fail usually lack an adequate multi-scale assessment of the fluvial geomorphic processes at work. It has been suggested that design teams should use geomorphic valley-forms for the Great Basin as described by Minshall and others (1989) as an initial guide in determining the feasibility of a bioengineering project (Carlson et al. 1995). Table 3.1 (pp. 20-21), based on the work by Carlson and others (1995) can be used as an initial guide.

The six classes of geomorphic valley-forms are glacial headwaters, glacial valleys, erosional fluvial canyons, depositional fluvial canyons, alluvial valleys, and lacustrine basins. The geomorphic valley forms can be correlated to the stream types described by Rosgen (1994), to vegetation community types described by Padgett and others (1989) and inferred from Brunsfeld and Johnson (1985). Under this correlation, alluvial valleys are subdivided into a mid- and low-elevation category, and braided stream channels are dealt with separately.

**Developing Objectives**

One the most important aspects of any restoration project is the development of specific objectives. By having firm objectives for the project, the chance of success increases dramatically. The entire project area should be considered and potential problems anticipated. At this stage in the process, preliminary objectives should be established and then refined in the next step as a specific site is selected. Hoag (1993) noted several factors that may be considered when developing objectives:

* If a decrease in water temperature and improvement of fish habitat are part of the project objectives, shade can be increased with tall and/or wide canopy species planted on the south side of the stream. A mixture of shrubs, short and tall trees may provide the most shade.

* If wildlife habitat is desired, determine the species of wildlife and their needs. Wildlife diversity is usually enhanced by having several vegetative layers; i.e. groundcover, mid-canopy and overstory. Habitat for food, shelter, nesting cover, brood habitat, and hiding cover should be determined and incorporated in the design.

* Select plant species that have low palatability if the site is an area where grazing (livestock or wildlife) is not desired.

* If aesthetics are a part of the objectives, select species that flower in different months and that have colorful berries, fruits, and fall color.

* If the revegetation site is an area where views are important, low growing shrubs might be more appropriate than taller shrubs and
### Table 3.1 Geomorphic Valley Forms

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Valley Form</th>
<th>Stream Characteristics</th>
<th>Gradient and Flow</th>
<th>Rosgen Type</th>
<th>Additional Information</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Highly permeable substrate minimizes flooding during high precipitation events.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Erosional Fluvial Canyons</td>
<td>Low to mid-order streams in V-shaped canyons.</td>
<td>High gradient.</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>Highly confined, may be downcutting.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deposional Fluvial Canyons</td>
<td>Low to mid-order streams in V-shaped canyons where deposition has occurred.</td>
<td>Moderate to high gradient.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Highly confined with restricted meandering. Flow regimes are widely fluctuating.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Braided Stream Channels</td>
<td>Often located where fluvial canyons empty into broad valleys and deposit coarse sediment.</td>
<td>Moderate gradient.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>These zones are naturally highly erodible.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid-elevation Confined Alluvial Valleys</td>
<td>Small to medium-sized low to mid-order streams.</td>
<td>Low gradient.</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>Moderately confined. Usually at 5,000 to 7,000 feet elevation in north, higher moving south in the region.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low-elevation Unconfined Alluvial Valleys</td>
<td>Low gradient and highly sinuous.</td>
<td>Low gradient and highly sinuous.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Slight to no confinement. Evaporation is high in Great Basin valleys.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lacustrine Basins</td>
<td>Slow moving, low gradient. Often ephemeral streamflow.</td>
<td>Slow moving, low gradient.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>May terminate in a saline lake, dry lake bed, or playa. Soil conditions often very saline.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

![Glacial Headwaters and Valleys](image1)
![Erosional Fluvial Canyons](image2)
![Depositional Fluvial Canyons](image3)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vegetation</th>
<th>Revegetation Potential</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Primarily herbaceous wetland species (<em>Juncus, Carex, Eleocharis</em>) with</td>
<td>Moderate revegetation potential due to high elevation and short growing season.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>levees and hummocks supporting low-growing willows; planeleaf willow (*Salix</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>planifolia*) and wolf willow (<em>S. wolfii</em>).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Narrow band of riparian vegetation, primarily deep-rooted species: river</td>
<td>Relatively low due to high flow velocities, erosion rates and/or rock. Rely on</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>alder (<em>Alnus incana</em>); water birch (<em>Betula occidentalis</em>); common shrubs</td>
<td>bioengineering methods that include adequate protection of plantings.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>include: dogwood (<em>Cornus spp.</em>), chokecherry (<em>Prunus virginiana</em>), geyer</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>willow (<em>Salix geyeriana</em>) and booth willow (<em>S. boothii</em>).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stream terraces support river alder, water birch or cottonwoods: (*Populus</td>
<td>Relatively low due to high flow velocities, floodplain scouring and/or rock. Rely on</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>trichocarpa, <em>P. angustifolia, P. fremonti</em>); common shrubs include:</td>
<td>bioengineering methods that include adequate protection of plantings.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dogwood, chokecherry, geyer and booth willow. Other willows include:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>whiplash willow (<em>S. lasiandra</em>), coyote willow (<em>S. exigua</em>) and</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>drummond willow (<em>S. drummondiana</em>).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gravel bars and secondary channels may support cottonwood, coyote willow,</td>
<td>Poor to fair; plantings are vulnerable to channel shifting; stream should be allowed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>and other species that establish on freshly deposited sediment.</td>
<td>to move as needed. Consider establishing and maintaining parent trees and shrubs as</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Booth and geyer willow dominate many communities on soils too waterlogged</td>
<td>seed sources if large areas are denuded.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>for deeper rooted alder, birch, and cottonwood; deeper rooted species may</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>occur on small terraces.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black cottonwood (north and west), narrowleaf cottonwood (east), and</td>
<td>High using booth and geyer willow as primary species for bioengineering treatments;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fremont cottonwood (south), are very common. Commonly associated with</td>
<td>river alder, water birch, and cottonwood may be planted where site conditions permit.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>coyote willow and yellow willow (<em>S. lutea</em>).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May include cottonwood and willow if in freshwater environment or salt-</td>
<td>High using native species where conditions are not excessively saline.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tolerant non-native, invasive species such as saltcedar (<em>Tamarix spp.</em>)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>or Russian olive (<em>Elaegnus angustifolia</em>).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Table 1: Vegetation and Revegetation Potential*
trees.

**STEP 4 DETAILED INVENTORY AND ANALYSIS.**

In this step, the areas should be inventoried and analyzed and a site with the most potential for improvement should be selected. The interdisciplinary team should consider factors such as topography, soils, climate, hydrology, vegetation, fluvial geomorphology, and geotechnical considerations as well as other factors deemed necessary by the design team. The following is a brief discussion of the type of data the team should collect and record on a base map (Fig. 3.2). A base map can be created by enlarging the project area from an U.S.G.S. 7.5 minute quadrangle map.

**Topography**

1. Determine degree of streambank slope in stable and unstable areas to assess a suitable angle of repose. Generally, final slopes should not exceed a 3H:1V slope.

2. Determine site-accessibility to stage materials such as brush and rock for revetment techniques.

**Soils**

1. Analyze soil type and depth for revegetation activities. Take soil cores to see what type of layers are present. It is difficult to get successful rooting in thick clay layers.

2. Other soil factors to consider include compaction, crusting, pH, fertility, organic matter, and special limiting conditions such as sodic, acidic, calcic, or saline soils.

Infertile, inorganic, and poorly drained subsoils can make the establishment of vegetation very difficult. Compacted soils are often saturated with high levels of carbon dioxide and may be deficient in oxygen, thus making plant establishment difficult.

**Fig. 3.2 Base Map with Field Notes**
Soil pH, either high or low, causes many problems with nutrient deficiency or toxicity (Munshower 1993). Limiting conditions such as sodic, acidic, calcic, and saline conditions are detrimental to root and shoot growth. Few plants are tolerant of these conditions. Plantings in these types of soils are rarely successful when conditions are extreme and only moderately successful if care is taken to use plants tolerant to these limitations.

**Climate**

1. Regional climate data should be collected and assessed for impacts on the proposed project, particularly in regards to planting. The USDA Plant Hardiness Zone Map (USDA Agricultural Research Service 1990) delineates zones in which day length, radiation, temperature, frost, heat, and rainfall are described.

2. It is critical to inventory microclimates at the project scale, because these can be very different from average regional climates. Microclimates are the result of local physical and biological factors in relation to climatic factors. For example, a south facing streambank receives more solar radiation than a north facing slope which will influence soil moisture conditions.

**Hydrology**

1. If the stream has a gauging station, the flow data should be analyzed for peak flows up to the 100-year flow frequency and late summer low flows.

2. If there is no gauging data, qualitative information can be collected from local residents and field indicators along the channel. Field indicators include old flotsam lines, water level markings on rocks and changes in the vegetation community.

3. Determine bankfull discharge also known as the channel forming flow. Bankfull discharge is the flow event where the flow is at the top of the point bar and ready to enter the floodplain and typically occurs every 1.5 years (Leopold 1994). In many incised streams, the flows may not be able to leave the channel due to entrenchment. In this case, it is still advisable to know where

---

Fig. 3.3 *Streambank Zones and Bankfull Discharge*
the top of the flow is for a 1.5 to 2 year event for design purposes (Fig. 3.3). The video, *A Guide to Field Identification of Bankfull Stage in the Western U.S.*, may be a useful tool for this task and is referenced in the Resource section.

4. Determine discharges and flow velocities of peak flow events. Often 2-, 5-, 10-, and 100-year return intervals are evaluated to determine design parameters associated with these events.

5. Determine late summer or permanent water-table levels for vegetation planting. Piezometers or shallow groundwater wells constructed of perforated PVC pipe may be used to monitor groundwater levels (Briggs 1996) (Fig. 3.4).

**Vegetation**

1. Inventory the vegetation in the area to determine suitable species for the restoration project. In degraded areas, historical data and professional judgment will be required to create a planting list.

2. Locate healthy vegetation communities in the area where cuttings may be harvested for the bioengineering techniques.

3. Determine where the different species occur in relationship to the stream channel and water table (Refer to Fig. 3.8 and Fig. 3.9). Use this as a biological benchmark for the restoration plan.

**Fluvial Geomorphology and Geotechnical Factors**

**Streambank Zones**

Johnson and Stypula (1993) provide a useful classification of streambank zones: the toe, bank, and overbank zones (Fig. 3.3).

**Toe Zone.** The toe zone is the portion of bank that is between the average high water level and the bottom of the channel at the toe of the bank. This zone is the most susceptible to erosion since it is inundated most of the year and experiences strong flows, wet-dry cycles, ice jams, and debris flows. Most of the bioengineering projects that fail inadequately address the erosive forces in the toe zone (Allen, pers. com.).

**Bank Zone.** The bank zone is that area between the average water level and the bankfull discharge level. This area will experience periodic erosive flows. In entrenched stream systems, the historic bankfull discharge volume may no longer reach the top of the bank due to downcutting.

**Overbank Zone.** The overbank area is situated above the bank zone and is traditionally considered the floodplain. This area only receives erosive flows during flooding events and commonly experiences dry periods.

![Fig. 3.4 Groundwater Monitoring Wells](image-url)
Streambank Composition
Streambank failure is closely related to the composition of the streambank material. Although these materials can be highly variable, they can be broadly divided into four categories. Johnson and Stypula (1993: pp 3-2) describe each as follows:

Bedrock. Outcrops of bedrock are generally quite stable; however, they can cause erosion in the opposite bank if it is softer material.

Cohesionless Banks. Cohesionless soils are heterogeneous mixtures of silts, sands, and gravels. These soils have no electrical or chemical bonding between particles and are eroded particle by particle. Erosion of cohesionless soils is determined by gravitational forces, bank moisture, and particle characteristics. Factors influencing erosion also include seepage forces, piping, and fluctuations in shear stress.

Cohesive Banks. These banks generally contain large quantities of clay particles which create a higher level of bonding between the particles. Consequently, cohesive soils are more resistant to surface erosion because they are less permeable. This reduces the effects of seepage, piping, and frost heaving. However, because of low permeability, these soils are more susceptible to failure during rapid drawdown of water levels due to the increase in soil pore water pressures.

Stratified or Interbedded Banks. These banks are generally the most common bank type in fluvial systems because of the natural layering process. These soils consist of layers of materials of various textures, permeability, and cohesion. When cohesionless layers are interbedded with cohesive soils, the erosion potential is determined by the characteristics of the cohesionless soil. When the cohesionless soil is at the toe of the bank, it will generally control the erosion rate of the overlaying cohesive layer (Fig. 3.5). When a cohesive soil is at the toe of the slope, it will generally

Fig. 3.5 Stratified Streambanks and Combination Failures
(Adapted from Johnson and Stypula 1993)
protect any cohesionless layers above (although these layers will still be subject to surface erosion).

Streambank Failure Mechanisms
Bank failures in fluvial systems generally occur in one of three ways (Fischenich 1989): hydraulic forces remove erodible bed or bank material, geotechnical instabilities result in bank failures, or a combination of hydraulic and geotechnical forces cause failure. Fischenich (1989: pp 103) describes each failure mechanism and its characteristics as follows:

Hydraulic Failures. Bank erosion occurs when flowing water exerts a tractive force that exceeds the critical shear stress for that particular streambank material. Hydraulic failure is generally characterized by a lack of vegetation, high boundary velocities, and no mass soil wasting at the toe of the slope.

Geotechnical Failures. Geotechnical failures that are unrelated to hydraulic failures are usually a result of bank moisture problems. Moisture can affect the ability of the bank material to withstand stresses. Failures are often the result of the shear strength of the bank material being exceeded. Characteristics of geotechnical failures can vary, although mass wasting of soil at the toe of the bank is often one indicator.

Combination. The most common failure is due to a combination of hydraulic and geotechnical forces (refer to Fig. 3.5). For example, bed degradation due to hydraulic forces can lead to an oversteepening of the banks which can result in a geotechnical failure of mass wasting.

Cause of Failures
Although bank failures result from three different mechanisms, the actual causes of erosion are complex and varied (Fischenich 1989). Successful bioengineering projects need to address the causes of failure.

Erosion from hydraulic forces is usually connected to flow velocities and/or its direction (Fischenich 1989). Human actions are often responsible. Channelization and constrictions caused by bridges are examples that will change velocities. Changes in flow direction often result from an obstruction along or in the channel. Any unnatural destruction of bank vegetation promotes erosion by hydraulic forces.

Fig. 3.6 Bank Erosion Due to Piping
(Adapted from Hagerty 1991).
Geotechnical failures are usually the result of moisture conditions in the streambank which create forces that exceed bank resistance. Common examples of the causes include (Hagerty 1991; USACE 1981):

* Banks are destabilized by the piping of cohesionless soil from lenses (Fig. 3.6).

* Capillary action temporarily decreases the angle of repose of the bank material to less than the existing bank slope.

* Liquefaction of fine-grained material causes fluid-like failures of the bank from pore pressure increase during rapid drawdown.

* Shrinking and swelling of clay soils during wetting and drying cycles causes tension cracks.

* Freezing and thawing of soil which weakens the shear strength.

* Subsurface moisture changes weaken the internal shear strength of the soil mass at the interface of different soil types.

Since the most common mode of failure is a combination of hydraulic and geotechnical forces, a interdisciplinary team is crucial in identifying the causes of failure. Some of the steps to assist in determining streambank failure mechanisms and causes include the following:

1. Determine streambank composition and stratification. Assess possible streambank failure mechanisms by observing the site over a period of time.

2. Several cross sections should be taken to graphically show the channel in relation to the floodplain. This information will help reveal the type of degradation (i.e., lateral erosion or downcutting) and will provide baseline data for future monitoring. If a channel is actively downcutting, these sites are significantly more difficult to stabilize and should generally be avoided unless instream structural measures are planned. If the streambank is cutting laterally, appropriate bioengineering methods may be more successful.

3. A survey should be completed of the longitudinal profile of the channel thalweg (the deepest point along a stream). This will illustrate any unusual characteristics of the stream slope which might indicate areas that may be more unstable.

4. Type of bed material and distribution should be determined. This will provide clues to the resistance of the material to erosive flows. Particle size distributions can be calculated by collecting and screening samples, or for the surface layer only, a pebble count of exposed particles can be sampled (Leopold 1994).

**STEP 5 DESIGN PROCESS**

The next step is to design a site specific
bioengineering project. Appendix A covers a selection of bioengineering techniques (Fig. 3.7). Many of these techniques should be combined with others to provide a method that will be most suitable for the project.

**DESIGN GUIDELINES**

The following are some important factors to consider during the design process. This discussion of factors is not complete but rather a starting point for a collaborative process between members of the design team.

**Hydrology**

Hydrology is one of the most important factors to consider in a bioengineering design. It is common for streams to have widely fluctuating flows from spring runoff to late summer flows. Bioengineering in the Great Basin and Intermountain region is a balancing act. In addition to providing protection during high flows, the vegetation must also have access to the late summer water table in order to survive.

Groundwater well data can provide insight on the fluctuations of the water table. Calculating the magnitude of discharge (Q) for different flow events can also provide valuable information. Discharge is based on:

\[
Q = V \times A
\]

where \( Q \) = discharge (ft\(^3\)/sec)  
\( V \) = velocity (ft/sec)  
\( A \) = cross-sectional area (ft\(^2\))

while velocity is based on Manning’s equation:

\[
V = 1.49 \times R^{2/3} \times S^{1/2} \times n
\]

where \( R \) = hydraulic radius (ft\(^2\)/ft)  
\( S \) = slope (ft/ft)  
\( n \) = coefficient of roughness  

(Dunne and Leopold 1978)

Using these equations, a hydrologist can construct a characterization of the hydrological parameters at the site. For example, different theoretical flood events can be used with cross-sectional data to estimate the water elevations at the proposed restoration site. Duration of flooding can also be estimated to determine if the plant species selected can handle the period of inundation.

In particular, bankfull discharge (Q\(_{1.5}\)), which typically has a recurrence interval of approximately 1.5 years, is an important benchmark because it is a dominant channel shaping flow (Leopold 1994). It is also crucial because it will provide some guidance for locating vegetation that may receive moisture from this frequent flooding activity.

**Streamflow Velocity**

Very little research is available on the relationship of the stability of woody streambank vegetation to flow velocity (Carlson et al. 1995). Parsons (1963) evaluated streambank willow plantings in the northeastern United States and equated a fully developed stand of densely stemmed purple-osier willow (\( S. \) purpurea) to a blanket of 6-inch angular rip-rap. Other research has focused primarily on grassed waterways and may not be directly transferable to the region’s cobble bed streams (Temple et al. 1987).

Instead, tractive force guidelines provided in the following section may be a better indicator of stability. In high velocity situations, a combination of bioengineering techniques and hard structures may be necessary. Hard structures will significantly reduce erosion in a
much shorter period of time than bioengineering structures, however, by incorporating bioengineering into the plans, a much better design will be obtained.

**Hydraulic Considerations**

**Tractive Forces**

One of the most important hydraulic design criteria for bioengineering projects is the erosive forces on the bed and banks usually referred to as tractive force or shear stress (Miller 1996). The average tractive force on a bank is equal to:

\[ T = ydS \]

where \( y \) = unit weight of water; 62.4 lb./ft\(^2\)
\( d \) = depth of flow for a particular discharge event in feet (ft); and
\( S \) = channel gradient in ft/ft

(Chen and Cotton 1988)

Schiechtl and Stern (1994) offer some guidelines for maximum tractive forces in lbs/ft\(^2\) for structures immediately after completion and after 3 to 4 years of root development (Table 3.2). Again, it should be remembered that some bank erosion is part of the natural process.

**Depth of Scour**

Another important design criteria is depth of scour. During high flow events, bed materials become mobile (Leopold 1994). For a given discharge, there is an average depth at which the bed will begin to move, referred to as the depth of scour. Excessive scour can undermine the bioengineering treatment and cause failure. The estimated scour depth can be used to identify the depth at which toe stabilization will need to be placed in order to remain stable during a particular discharge event (Miller 1996). There are different equations that can be used to estimate the depth of scour (Chang 1992).

**Endpoint Protection**

Another area that is subject to failure in a bioengineering project is the upstream and downstream ends of the installation. These endpoints must be protected so that the streamflow does not get behind the structure. If flows do get behind the structure, soil can be scoured out, stakes and wire can be dislodged, and the integrity of the structure can be weakened or destroyed. Sometimes the endpoints can be keyed into existing features such as boulders, large trees, etc. (Fig. 3.8). In other cases, the endpoints will need to be

---

**Table 3.2 Maximum tractive forces for bioengineering**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Technique</th>
<th>Force (lbs/ft(^2)) immediately after completion</th>
<th>Force (lbs/ft(^2)) after 3-4 seasons</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reed plantings (herbaceous)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deciduous trees plantings</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>290</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Willow Wattle</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>190</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brush Layer</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>340</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brush Mattress</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>725</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rip-rap with live cuttings</td>
<td>480</td>
<td>725</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Adapted from Schiechtl and Stern (1994).*

---
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protected by keying in the treatment ends using rock and other types of revetment. Remember to always start and extend the treatment beyond the obvious eroded areas.

**Fluvial Geomorphology**

Fluvial geomorphic parameters should be used in the design phase of the bioengineering project to assess how the treatment might affect the stream channel and flows. For example, it is important that the appropriate channel width, depth, and hydraulic radius are maintained to carry the bankfull discharge (Miller 1996; Dunne and Leopold 1978).

One tool that may be used to gain an understanding of fluvial geomorphology, at least in the West, is the Rosgen Stream Classification System (Rosgen 1994). It should be noted that the system is based on natural streams and may not be easily transferable to a degraded system.

This system should not be used as a recipe book for determining restoration techniques and specific channel geometry. Each project should be approached as an unique situation (Kondolf 1996). Beschta and Platts (1986) note that channel morphology is related to a large number of interacting variables such that the "expected" width or depth of a particular stream reach cannot be calculated or predicted. However, with caution, the Rosgen classification system may provide some guidance for width, depth, and sinuosity of similar natural streams.

**Geotechnical Consideration**

As Fischenich (1989) stated, erosional problems along streams often result from a combination of hydraulic and geotechnical mechanisms. The detailed inventory should reveal all geotechnical failures occurring at the project site. Once these factors are identified, the design should incorporate measures to address these problems. In general, most geotechnical deficiencies require an increase in soil shear strength (Fischenich 1989). This is usually accomplished with roots in the vegetative component of the bioengineering project (Gray and Leiser 1982). In addition to the tensile strength provided by the roots, they will also moderate saturated soil conditions and minimize effects of piping and liquefaction (Gray and Leiser 1982).

In some cases, supplementary drainage measures may be required when rapid drawdown of flood waters causes streambanks to fail due to increased soil water pressure (Miller 1996). Methods allowing internal drainage may be necessary such as sloped gravel drains and weep pipes (Miller 1996; Fischenich 1989).

Another geotechnical design consideration is determining a stable angle of repose or slope. Different theoretical analyses can be used to estimate a suitable angle of repose (Gray and Leiser 1982). Existing, stable slopes in the area can be used as a benchmark for design purposes. Be sure to select slopes that occupy similar channel positions compared to the treatment area; i.e. a concave bend may have a steeper slope than other areas. It should be pointed out that a natural stabilized slope can occur at a steeper angle than a newly vegetated slope unless additional protection measures such as erosion control fabric are incorporated in the design.

**Putting It All Together**

The following pages illustrate the different techniques found in Appendix A (Fig. 3.9a-b). Each treatment is described in separate technique sheets to clarify and highlight that specific technique. During the design process, these various techniques will usually be combined into one treatment that will address the problems identified during the inventory.
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and analysis phase. These technique sheets may be photocopied individually and handed out to landowners contemplating bioengineering work on their property. Additional technique sheets may be added in the future.

Many other bioengineering techniques are applicable for riparian areas. Some resources include Allen and Leech (1997), Schiechtl and Stern (1994) and Gray and Leiser (1982).

Fig. 3.10 demonstrates a theoretical application of the use of a combination of techniques. Although this is a simplified example, it illustrates how the different hydrologic, hydraulic, geotechnical, and vegetation considerations can be addressed.

**STEP 6 PERMIT PROCESS**

After a conceptual design has been completed, it is important to check with the Army Corps of Engineers, the state agency in charge of regulatory stream permits, and any local agencies that might have jurisdiction to determine the necessary permits. In some cases, it may be worthwhile to bring the regulatory agencies on site so they can fully understand the project’s objectives and design.

If the proposed project requires the placement of fill material in any waters of the United States, it will be necessary to obtain a Section 404 Permit from the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. Most stream work falls under the Nationwide permitting (NWP) process which includes over 30 types of NWP. The most commonly used NWP for stream stabilization projects is the NWP 13-Bank Stabilization.

NWP 13 allows bank stabilization measures for erosion prevention based on the following analysis:

* amount of material placed in the waters of the U.S.;

* length of the bank stabilization project;

* will material be placed in any special aquatic site;

* is the activity part of a single and complete project.

In some instances, a Letter of Permission may be all that is required to install a bioengineering project if fill is not being added to the stream channel. However, the regulatory agencies should **always** be contacted in order to prevent any surprises.
STEP 7 IMPLEMENTATION

Timing of bioengineering projects is critical. The most optimum time to install projects is usually in the spring. Periods of high flows should be avoided for safety reasons. Spring time projects allow the use of dormant cuttings which have the highest success rate. Implementation should also take into consideration wildlife and fisheries concerns. Critical spawning periods should be avoided.

Scheduling the sequence of work is also important. Dormant cuttings should be soaked for 5-7 days (see Chapter Four). Thus, harvesting and soaking of cuttings needs to be scheduled and completed a few days before construction. If the project incorporates nonliving material, such as brush revetment, it may be installed while the cuttings are soaking. Non-living components such as brush revetment may be constructed the season before the installation of the plantings.

Projects should always avoid or minimize impacts to wetlands and other sensitive areas. Never disturb the site unnecessarily. Remember the goal is to stabilize a site. The less it is disturbed, the easier it will be to restore.

STEP 8 MAINTENANCE AND MONITORING.

Maintenance and monitoring are probably the most important things that you can do to ensure the success of a bioengineering project. Many times, planned maintenance can make the difference between success and failure. Monitoring will help you to determine what has worked and what hasn’t. Remember that bioengineering is not exact science, but rather it is an art that must be designed from many different factors that are not always easy to determine. Some of the techniques will work well in one situation, but not in others. The secret is to learn over time and many different projects.

Maintenance and monitoring will be covered in detail in Chapter Five.
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Vegetation Selection and Procurement

SPECIES SELECTION

Establishment of riparian plant species depends on proper selection of species, plant material procurement and handling, planting location, and establishment techniques (Hoag 1993a). The success of a bioengineering project is dependent on the holistic integration of these steps.

A vegetation inventory of the area will indicate suitable species for the project. In the inventory process, healthy, native stands should be located as possible harvest locations for cuttings. In degraded areas, one may need to look upstream or downstream for a healthy representative plant community. One should realize, however, that conditions at the representative plant community may vary from the project site. In these cases, a person knowledgeable in riparian vegetation will be extremely helpful. Regional classification systems of riparian and wetland sites can also be consulted, such as, Classification and Management of Montana’s Riparian and Wetland Sites (Hansen et al. 1995) and Riparian Community Type Classification of Utah and Southeastern Idaho (Padgett et al. 1989).

Different species have characteristics that may make them more suitable for a particular bioengineering project. For example, willows with a deep, spreading root system may stabilize a bank better than species with a shallow root system. Tree species may be appropriate in some cases when shade is a desired goal. However, they need to be planted out of the bankfull discharge area because they will not survive the frequent, high flows that occur in this zone.

Bioengineering methods should rely on both woody and herbaceous plant materials. Look for wetland areas with herbaceous plants that can survive in flowing water. Wetland plants like bulrush (Scirpus spp.) and cattail (Typha spp.) can act as a buffer to reduce the velocity of streamflows that intercept the bank. It is important to remember that anything to reduce the streamflow velocity before it intercepts the bank will help to ensure a successful bioengineering project.

Plant Species Information Table

Table 4-1 (on pp. 40-43) provides information on some woody riparian species found in the Great Basin and Intermountain Region. As the table shows, willow, cottonwood, and dogwood species are the most appropriate plants to propagate from hardwood cuttings. Other riparian plants such as alder and birch do not sprout from hardwood cuttings and should be obtained as potted plants from a nursery.

Table 4-2 (on pp. 44-45) provides information on wetland herbaceous species found in the region and that may be appropriate for bioengineering projects.

The table is based on published information as well as current, personal experience of the authors and others. Some differences may be noted in your particular area and application.

PLANT MATERIAL PROCUREMENT AND HANDLING

Woody plant materials for bioengineering are typically bare-root stock or dormant unrooted hardwood cuttings. The main benefits of using hardwood cuttings are; lower cost, ease of planting, depth of planting, local ecotype, and availability.

Hardwood cuttings can be divided into three
general categories: pole, post, and bundled cuttings. Pole cuttings can be from shrub and tree species and usually range in diameter from 1/2 to 3 inches. Post plantings are from tree species and range in diameter from 3 to 6 inches (Hoag 1993b). In general, larger diameter cuttings have more stored energy than smaller diameters and thus have a higher potential survival rate. Bundled cuttings are small diameter cuttings (no smaller than 3/8 inch) from different species with the branches left on that are used in techniques, such as wattles, brush layering, brush mattress, and vertical bundles.

Timing

Cuttings can be collected any time during the dormant season, from leaf fall to just before the buds begin to break in spring. Cuttings can also be collected during the growing season if all the leaves are removed from the stem prior to planting, although establishment success will be lower (Hoag 1993b).

Planting should be geared for periods during which the plants will have adequate moisture for establishment and yet will not be subject to high flow events. In this region, installation usually occurs after spring run-off. Occasionally, a high run-off year may push the planting window into early summer. Summer plantings should generally be avoided because of hot temperatures and dry conditions. It may be desirable to delay installation until fall. Fall plantings, however, are susceptible to frost heave and ice flows which may rip out roots that are not yet established. Even when planting occurs at a proper time, a flash flood event may damage the cuttings before they have had enough time to root in the streambank. Consequently, maintenance of the project is critical during the first 2 to 3 seasons.

Harvest of Cuttings

Cuttings should be thinned from healthy, native stands. Collect cuttings from live wood that is at least two years old. Avoid cuttings from old stems that are heavily furrowed, or infested with insect or disease, and young sucker growth. Thinning can be done with loppers, chainsaws, or brush cutters. Make sure the equipment is sharp enough to make clean cuts. In general, one should avoid thinning more than 2/3 of the total individual plant to avoid harming it (Fig. 4.1). In the case of a high water event, the remaining 1/3 of the plant may still be above water, and therefore, able to supply oxygen to the root system (Hoag 1993b). This also ensures that some habitat for songbirds and other wildlife remains while the other cuttings are becoming established.

Try removing cuttings from inside the crown area, and spread the harvesting activity throughout the stand to minimize visual impact. Always obtain permission from landowners before harvesting.

Trim off all side branches and the terminal bud (the bud at the growing tip) so energy will be rerouted to the lateral buds for more efficient root and stem sprouting. Cuttings can be tied
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into bundles to facilitate transporting and soaking.

Cutting length is dependent on the application. Cuttings should be long enough to extend 6-8 inches into the permanent water table or capillary fringe. At least one-half to two-thirds of the cutting should be below ground to prevent it from being ripped out during high flows. The cutting should be long enough to extend above the competing herbaceous vegetation and should extend down beneath the competing plant root mass. Be careful not to extend the top of the cutting too high if planted in the channel below the bankfull zone, because the cutting may be susceptible to major damage from debris and ice flows during runoff (Hoag 1993b).

If cuttings are collected well in advance of project construction, they must be stored in a cool (34-36°F) humid, dark place until ready to plant (i.e., root cellar or cooler). Cuttings can usually be stored for up to 6 months without significant reduction in rooting establishment and success.

Prior to planting, soak the cuttings in water for 5 to 7 days (minimum 24 hours). Soaking swells the root primordia and may leach out natural anti-rooting hormones found in the cuttings. Remove the cuttings from water before root tips emerge. When the cuttings are removed from the water, they should be immediately taken to the project site and planted (Hoag 1993b).

Other Forms of Plant Materials

Other forms of plant materials include container stock, bare root plants, transplant plugs, rhizomes, clumps, and seeds. Where appropriate, several different forms of plant materials can be planted to increase the chances for a successful project.

Nursery Stock

Container stock and bareroot material are generally acquired from a nursery. Nursery stock usually has good root development, energy reserves, and few pests. The main disadvantage is cost. Bioengineering techniques often rely on density of brush (i.e., brush trench) which would be difficult and costly to achieve with nursery stock. Nursery stock is best reserved for species that can not be propagated from cuttings.

Another thing to keep in mind is genetic variability within species. Plants of the same species have ecotypes that are best suited to a particular region. Some suggest that ecotypes generally do not range more than 100 to 200 miles of latitude from a particular site. However, this varies considerably based on the plant’s breeding system. Consequently, one should find out where the nursery stock is originally from and determine if this meets the project’s goals.

Transplant Plugs

Transplanting plugs of wetland herbaceous plants is often a viable method for incorporating these species in a project. Plugs should be 3 to 12 inches in diameter and 5 to 6 inches deep. They can be collected with a shovel or with a coring device made from an appropriate diameter of PVC pipe.

Generally, one can harvest about 1 square foot in a 10 foot square area without harming the plant community (Hoag and Sellers 1995). When collecting plugs, avoid areas that have noxious weeds such as purple loosestrife.

Key to Planting in the West

1. Hydrology
2. Hydrology
3. Hydrology
(Lythrum salicaria). Incorporation of seeds of unwanted species can be a significant drawback to the use of this method. Observation of proposed collection sites over a growing season can help to identify potential problems.

When collecting and transporting plugs, it is very important to keep the plants moist and cool. Styrofoam coolers filled with enough water to cover the roots are effective containers for transporting plugs. They can also be floated in the stream while planting at the project site (Hoag and Sellers 1995). Plugs can be planted whole or subdivided into 3 to 4 individual plugs.

Plugs can be transplanted during most of the growing season with good success. Transplanting in late summer should be avoided due to heat stress and limited time for establishment before the first frost. Tops of the plants should be cut off to reduce transplanting shock. Leave enough of top exposed so that it will stick up out of the water and allow oxygen to get to the roots.

**Rhizomes**

Rhizomes are the underground horizontal stems produced by some herbaceous plants such as cattails (*Typha* spp.) and bulrushes (*Scirpus* spp.) Rhizomes can be dug and divided into sections, taking care to keep at least one viable growth point or node on each section. Care must be taken to ensure that material being collected is young and healthy, generally indicated by firmness of the material.

These materials can be collected early in the spring before plants break dormancy or at the end of the growing season when the energy stored in the material is at its’ greatest. The material can be planted at this time or stored in sand or peat. They should be kept at a cool temperature (40° F) until planting time (Marburger 1992). The growth node should be sticking up when planting these materials.

**Clump Plantings**

Clumps are large plugs that have a good functioning root system in addition to extensive above-ground biomass. Clumps are taken from existing stands, usually with a backhoe. Care should be taken so the backhoe operator does not dig too deeply. Usually 12 to 15 inches is enough to get most of the root mass. Minimal damage will occur if clumps are taken randomly from native stands.

Usually after one or two growing seasons; water, sediment, and remaining roots will fill in the holes. At higher elevations, where the growing season is short, this technique should be used with additional caution since it will take longer for the collection sites to revegetate. Holes should be backfilled and seeded if the growing season is short.

This is an extremely efficient technique that does
not require the plants to root or develop above-ground material to be effective.

Seeds
Seeds can be used to increase the diversity of the site. Seeding in disturbed soil will decrease weed invasion that typically occurs on exposed soil. Seeded areas can take longer than transplants to establish, so they should not be considered as an erosion control planting. Over the long term, however, seeding can provide additional root masses and above-ground biomass that will help reduce streamflow energy and promote sediment deposition. The Resource Section suggests possible references for determining an appropriate seeding mix and how to sow it.

PLANTING LOCATION

Observe the existing types of plants and their respective locations in relationship to the stream and water table (Fig. 4.2). This is the biological benchmark one is striving to create. Plants with flexible stems and rhizomatous root systems are usually located from the water-line to mid-bank zone. Larger shrubs are found from mid-bank zone to the top of the overbank zone. Tree species are usually found above the overbank zone in the floodplain. Wetland herbaceous species can be found throughout the streambank cross section, although most emergent aquatics will be found in the toe zone.

ESTABLISHMENT TECHNIQUES

Pole plantings are normally planted with planting bars, soil augers, and power augers. Post plantings are planted with post-hole diggers, tractor mounted posthole augers and a backhoe-mounted bar called “The Stinger” (see Post Planting Technique Sheet). Bundled cuttings are planted according to the specific

General Establishment Factors for Woody Cuttings

1. Cuttings must reach the permanent water table or capillary fringe.
2. Minimize major damage to the buds when inserting a cutting in the hole. Avoid separating the bark from the cambium layer.
3. Make sure there are no air pockets around the cutting. Backfill with a soil and water slurry to remove air pockets.
4. Experiment with planting methods to determine a suitable method for your site conditions.

Note: Fertilizers and rooting hormone rarely improve success of high volume plantings enough to offset the cost and the extra labor involved.
technique. Water tables will often determine the planting method and planting depth.

Whatever the planting method, general establishment factors are important and should be considered.

**MANAGEMENT**

Determine the land management that is in place at the project site. If management changes are needed, make sure you get commitment from the landowner before you begin planting. If landowner does not agree to needed changes, the chances of implementing and maintaining a successful bioengineering project are unlikely.

If the site is grazed, temporary fences must be installed before planting to ensure that no grazing takes place for the first 2 to 4 years after planting. Grazing after that period may be allowed only after careful examination of the site and plantings. The buffer strip edge should be grazed only under controlled conditions. Use willows and other woody species along the water’s edge as the key species to monitor when setting up a grazing management plan.

**Wildlife Issues**

Beaver, muskrats, ducks, geese, deer, elk, and other wildlife can do tremendous damage to new plantings. As part of the inventory process, one should identify if the site is in a deer or elk wintering range, if beaver or muskrat are active in the area, and if geese are commonly found on the site. If these wildlife are present on or near the site, bioengineering projects can still be installed, but the establishment period will be longer and the chances of success may be lowered. Generally, measures that are used to protect the planting will probably only be necessary for the first couple of years (your inventory should give a better idea of the length of time necessary). This allows enough time for good root growth. If the woody plants are browsed after they have been established for a year, they will generally resprout from the base.

Geese and other waterfowl can be kept from the plantings, especially the herbaceous components, by using temporary fencing such as electric fencing without the power. The area that needs to be protected can be fenced as a very narrow strip. Geese will not go into the fenced area as they avoid confining areas (Hoag 1993a). This fencing can be removed after 1 to 2 years.

Beaver and muskrat are the most difficult wildlife to manage in a bioengineering project. Various methods have been tried with various degrees of success. These methods include:

> "Come forth into the light of things. Let Nature be your teacher.”
> 
> *William Wordsworth*
Table 4-1a  Woody Species for Bioengineering in the Great Basin and Intermountain West

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Size/Form</th>
<th>Elevation Range¹</th>
<th>Root Type</th>
<th>Rooting Ability from cuttings</th>
<th>Availability In Field²</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Acer negundo</td>
<td>Med. Tree</td>
<td>Low - Mid.</td>
<td>Moderately Spreading</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>Common</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boxelder</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alnus rubra</td>
<td>Med. Tree</td>
<td>Mid. - High</td>
<td>Shallow Spreading</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>Fairly Common</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Red alder</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alnus sinuata</td>
<td>Sm.-Med. Tree</td>
<td>Mid. - High</td>
<td>Shallow Spreading</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>Fairly Common</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sitka alder</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alnus incana spp. tenuifolia</td>
<td>Sm.-Med. Tree</td>
<td>Mid. - High</td>
<td>Shallow Spreading</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>Common</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thinleaf alder</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Betula occidentalis</td>
<td>Lg. Shrub to Sm. Tree</td>
<td>Mid. - High</td>
<td>Shallow to Deep Spreading</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>Fairly Common</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water birch</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cornus sericea</td>
<td>Med. Shrub</td>
<td>Mid.</td>
<td>Shallow</td>
<td>Moderate-need to nick &amp; use hormone</td>
<td>Fairly Common</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Redosier dogwood</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crataegus douglasii</td>
<td>Sm. Tree</td>
<td>Low - Mid.</td>
<td>Shallow to Deep Spreading</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>Fairly Common</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black hawthorn</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pentaphylloides floribunda</td>
<td>Sm. Shrub</td>
<td>Low - Mid.</td>
<td>Shallow to Deep Spreading</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>Very Common</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shrubby cinquefoil</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Philadelphus lewisii</td>
<td>Sm. - Med. Shrub</td>
<td>Low-Mid.</td>
<td>Spreading Fibrous</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>Common</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mockorange</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Populus angustifolia</td>
<td>Lg. Tree</td>
<td>Mid.</td>
<td>Shallow</td>
<td>Very Good</td>
<td>Very Common</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Narrowleaf cottonwood</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Populus fremontii</td>
<td>Lg. Tree</td>
<td>Low - Mid.</td>
<td>Shallow Fibrous</td>
<td>Very Good</td>
<td>Fairly Common</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fremont cottonwood</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Populus tremuloides</td>
<td>Med. Tree</td>
<td>Mid. - High</td>
<td>Shallow</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>Very Common</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quaking aspen</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Populus trichocarpa</td>
<td>Lg. Tree</td>
<td>Low - Mid.</td>
<td>Shallow Fibrous</td>
<td>Very Good</td>
<td>Very Common</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black cottonwood</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prunus virginiana</td>
<td>Med. - Lg. Shrub</td>
<td>Low - Mid.</td>
<td>Rhizomatous</td>
<td>Good from root cuttings</td>
<td>Common</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chokecherry</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rhus trilobata</td>
<td>Med. - Lg. Shrub</td>
<td>Low - Mid.</td>
<td>Deep Spreading</td>
<td>Rhizomatous</td>
<td>Poor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skunkbush sumac</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Fairly Common</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Golden current</td>
<td>Sm. - Med. Shrub</td>
<td>Low - Mid.</td>
<td>Spreading</td>
<td>Good (in greenhouse)</td>
<td>Common</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ribes aureum</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rosa woodsii</td>
<td>Sm. - Med. Shrub</td>
<td>Low - Mid.</td>
<td>Shallow to Deep</td>
<td>Good (in greenhouse)</td>
<td>Very Common</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wood's rose</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salix amygdaloides</td>
<td>Sm. Tree</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Fibrous</td>
<td>Very Good</td>
<td>Common</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peachleaf willow</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salix bebbiana</td>
<td>Lg. Shrub</td>
<td>Low to Mid.</td>
<td>Shallow to Deep</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Common</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bebb's willow</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Footnotes:

1. **Elevation Range**: This refers to the data for this region. Details are Low: 2,000-4,500 feet, Middle: 4,500-7,000 feet, High: 7,000-10,000 feet.

2. **Availability in the Field**: This refers to the natural occurrence of species in the region. The order of ranking is from least to greatest: Fairly Common, Common, and Very Common.

3. **Commercial Availability**: This refers to whether or not it is currently available in the nursery trade. Refer to the Resource section for information on a nursery guide.

4. **Tolerance to Deposition**: Regrowth from shallow coverage by soil.

5. **Tolerance to Flooding**: High: Damage after 10 to 30 days of flooding; Medium: Damage after 6 to 10 days of flooding; Low: Damage after 1 to 5 days of flooding.

6. **Tolerance to Drought**: Resistance to drought relative to native vegetation on similar sites.

7. **Tolerance to Salinity**: Resistance to salinity relative to native vegetation on similar sites.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Commercial Availability</th>
<th>Deposition Tolerance</th>
<th>Flooding Tolerance</th>
<th>Drought Tolerance</th>
<th>Salinity Tolerance</th>
<th>Wildlife Value/Misc. Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Med.</td>
<td>Big game browse, upland bird food</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Med.</td>
<td>Med.</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Big game browse, upland bird food</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Med.</td>
<td>Med.</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Big game browse, upland bird food</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Med.</td>
<td>Med.</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Big game browse, upland bird food</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Med.</td>
<td>Med.</td>
<td>Med.</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>Big game browse, upland bird food</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>Big game browse, upland bird food</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>Big game browse, upland bird food</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Med.</td>
<td>Med.</td>
<td>Big game browse, upland bird food</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Med.</td>
<td>Med.</td>
<td>Med.</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>Big game browse, upland bird food</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low-Med.</td>
<td>Low-Med.</td>
<td>Birds and small mammals eat fruits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>Birds and small mammals eat fruits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low-High</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Rosehips eaten by many species</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes-limited</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Med.</td>
<td>Willows in general are good browse and provide excellent cover for many species</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes-limited</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Low - Med.</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Willows in general are good browse and provide excellent cover for many species</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

References:


### Table 4-1b Woody Species for Bioengineering in the Great Basin and Intermountain West

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Size/Form</th>
<th>Elevation Range¹</th>
<th>Root Type</th>
<th>Rooting Ability from cuttings</th>
<th>Availability In Field²</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Salix boothii</td>
<td>Med. Shrub</td>
<td>Mid.</td>
<td>Shallow to Deep</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>Very Common</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Booth willow</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salix brachycarpa</td>
<td>Sm. Shrub</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Shallow</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Common</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barrenground willow</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salix drummondiana</td>
<td>Sm. - Med. Shrub</td>
<td>Mid. - High</td>
<td>Shallow to Deep</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Common</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drummond willow</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salix exigua</td>
<td>Med. Shrub</td>
<td>Low - Mid.</td>
<td>Rhizomatous</td>
<td>Very Good</td>
<td>Very Common</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sandbar willow</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salix geyeriana</td>
<td>Med.. Shrub</td>
<td>Mid.</td>
<td>Shallow to Deep</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Very Common</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geyer willow</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salix glauca</td>
<td>Sm. - Med. Shrub</td>
<td>Mid. - High</td>
<td>Shallow to Deep</td>
<td>Need to treat with hormone</td>
<td>Fairly Common</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grayleaf willow</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salix lasiandra</td>
<td>Sm. Tree</td>
<td>Low - Mid.</td>
<td>Shallow to Deep</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Common</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pacific willow</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salix lasiolepis</td>
<td>Med. Shrub</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Shallow to Deep</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>Common</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arroyo willow</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salix lemmonii</td>
<td>Sm. - Med. Shrub</td>
<td>Mid. - High</td>
<td>Shallow to Deep</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Fairly Common</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lemmon willow</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salix lutea</td>
<td>Med. - Lg. Shrub</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Shallow to Deep</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Very Common</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yellow willow</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salix planifolia</td>
<td>Sm. Shrub</td>
<td>Mid. - High</td>
<td>Shallow to Deep</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>Fairly Common</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Planeleaf willow</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salix scouleriana</td>
<td>Lg. Shrub</td>
<td>Low - Mid.</td>
<td>Shallow to Deep</td>
<td>Need to treat with hormone</td>
<td>Fairly Common</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scouler willow</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salix wolfii</td>
<td>Sm. Shrub</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Shallow to Deep</td>
<td>Erratic</td>
<td>Fairly Common</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wolf willow</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sambucus coerulea</td>
<td>Sm. Tree</td>
<td>Mid.</td>
<td>Rhizomatous</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>Fairly Common</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blue elderberry</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sambucus racemosa</td>
<td>Med. Shrub</td>
<td>Mid. - High</td>
<td>Spreading</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>Fairly Common</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Red elderberry</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shepherdia argentea</td>
<td>Lg. Shrub</td>
<td>Low - Mid.</td>
<td>Rhizomatous</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>Fairly Common</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silver buffaloberry</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Footnotes:**

1. **Elevation Range**: data for this region.
   - Low: 2,000-4,500 feet
   - Middle: 4,500-7,000 feet
   - High: 7,000-10,000 feet
2. **Availability in the Field**: This refers to its natural occurrence in the region. This is particularly important for species that may be harvested for hardwood cuttings. The order of the ranking is from least to greatest:
   - Fairly Common
   - Common
   - Very Common
3. **Commercial Availability**: This refers to whether or not it is currently available in the nursery trade. Refer to the Resource section for information on a nursery guide.
4. **Tolerance to Deposition**: Regrowth from shallow coverage by soil.
5. **Tolerance to Flooding**: 
   - High: Damage after 10 to 30 days of flooding
   - Medium: Damage after 6 to 10 days of flooding
   - Low: Damage after 1 to 5 days of flooding
6. **Tolerance to Drought**: Resistance to drought relative to native vegetation on similar sites.
7. **Tolerance to Salinity**: Resistance to salinity relative to native vegetation on similar sites.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Commercial Availability</th>
<th>Deposition Tolerance</th>
<th>Flooding Tolerance</th>
<th>Drought Tolerance</th>
<th>Salinity Tolerance</th>
<th>Wildlife Value/Misc. Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes-limited</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Med. - High</td>
<td>Low - Med</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Willows in general are good browse and provide excellent cover for many species</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Med. - High</td>
<td>Low - Med.</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Willows in general are good browse and provide excellent cover for many species</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes-limited</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Med. - High</td>
<td>Low - Med</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Willows in general are good browse and provide excellent cover for many species</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Med. - High</td>
<td>Low - Med</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Willows in general are good browse and provide excellent cover for many species</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes-limited</td>
<td>Med.</td>
<td>Med. - High</td>
<td>Low - Med</td>
<td>Med.</td>
<td>Willows in general are good browse and provide excellent cover for many species</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Med. - High</td>
<td>Low - Med</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Willows in general are good browse and provide excellent cover for many species</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes-limited</td>
<td>Med.</td>
<td>Med. - High</td>
<td>Low - Med</td>
<td>Med.</td>
<td>Willows in general are good browse and provide excellent cover for many species</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Med. - High</td>
<td>Low - Med</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Willows in general are good browse and provide excellent cover for many species</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Med.</td>
<td>Med. - High</td>
<td>Low - Med</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Willows in general are good browse and provide excellent cover for many species</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Med. - High</td>
<td>Low - Med</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Willows in general are good browse and provide excellent cover for many species</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Med.</td>
<td>Med. - High</td>
<td>Low - Med</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Fruits are important for birds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Med.</td>
<td>Med. - High</td>
<td>Low - Med</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Big game browse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Fruits eaten by birds and small mammals</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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### Table 4-2 Herbaceous Species for Bioengineering in the Great Basin and Intermountain West

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Elevation Range</th>
<th>Root Type</th>
<th>Hydrologic Regime</th>
<th>Availability In Field</th>
<th>Commerical Availability</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Beckmannia syzigachne</td>
<td>Low-Mid.</td>
<td>Stoloniferous</td>
<td>Seasonally-Flooded</td>
<td>Fairly Common</td>
<td>Yes-Seed &amp; Potted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sloughgrass</td>
<td></td>
<td>Annual</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calamagrostis canadensis</td>
<td>Mid.-High</td>
<td>Rhizomatous</td>
<td>Seasonally-Saturated</td>
<td>Common</td>
<td>Yes-Seed &amp; Potted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blue-joint reed grass</td>
<td></td>
<td>Perennial</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carex aquatilis</td>
<td>Mid.-High</td>
<td>Rhizomatous</td>
<td>Up to 3&quot; Water Depth</td>
<td>Fairly Common</td>
<td>Yes-Seed &amp; Potted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water sedge</td>
<td></td>
<td>Perennial</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carex nebrascensis</td>
<td>Low-High</td>
<td>Rhizomatous</td>
<td>Seasonally-Saturated</td>
<td>Common</td>
<td>Yes-Seed &amp; Potted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nebraska sedge</td>
<td></td>
<td>Perennial</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carex utriculata</td>
<td>Low-High</td>
<td>Rhizomatous</td>
<td>Seasonally-Saturated</td>
<td>Common</td>
<td>Yes-Potted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beaked sedge</td>
<td></td>
<td>Perennial</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deschampsia cespitosa</td>
<td>Mid.-High</td>
<td>Fibrous</td>
<td>Seasonally-Saturated</td>
<td>Common</td>
<td>Yes-Seed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tufted hairgrass</td>
<td></td>
<td>Perennial</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distichlis stricta</td>
<td>Low-Mid.</td>
<td>Rhizomatous</td>
<td>Seasonally-Saturated</td>
<td>Very Common</td>
<td>Yes-Seed &amp; Potted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inland Saltgrass</td>
<td></td>
<td>Perennial</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eleocharis palustris</td>
<td>Low-High</td>
<td>Rhizomatous</td>
<td>Up to 6&quot; Water Depth (maybe more)</td>
<td>Very Common</td>
<td>Yes-Seed &amp; Potted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spikerush</td>
<td></td>
<td>Perennial</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glyceria striata</td>
<td>Mid.-High</td>
<td>Rhizomatous</td>
<td>Seasonally-Flooded</td>
<td>Fairly Common</td>
<td>Yes-Seed &amp; Potted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mannagrass</td>
<td></td>
<td>Perennial</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Juncus balticus</td>
<td>Low-High</td>
<td>Rhizomatous</td>
<td>Seasonally-Saturated</td>
<td>Very Common</td>
<td>Yes-Seed &amp; Potted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baltic rush</td>
<td></td>
<td>Perennial</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Juncus mertensianus</td>
<td>Mid.-High</td>
<td>Rhizomatous</td>
<td>Saturated</td>
<td>Fairly Common</td>
<td>Yes-Seed &amp; Potted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Merten's rush</td>
<td></td>
<td>Perennial</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Juncus tenuis</td>
<td>Mid.-High</td>
<td>Rhizomatous</td>
<td>Saturated</td>
<td>Fairly Common</td>
<td>Yes-Seed &amp; Potted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poverty rush</td>
<td></td>
<td>Perennial</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Puchinella nuttalliana</td>
<td>Low-Mid.</td>
<td>Fibrous</td>
<td>Seasonally-Saturated</td>
<td>Common</td>
<td>Yes-Seed &amp; Potted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alkali grass</td>
<td></td>
<td>Perennial</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scirpus acutus</td>
<td>Low-High</td>
<td>Rhizomatous</td>
<td>Up to 36&quot; Water Depth</td>
<td>Very Common</td>
<td>Yes-Seed &amp; Potted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hard-stem bulrush</td>
<td></td>
<td>Perennial</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scirpus maritimus</td>
<td>Low-Mid.</td>
<td>Rhizomatous</td>
<td>Up to 6&quot; Water Depth</td>
<td>Common</td>
<td>Yes-Seed &amp; Potted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alkali bulrush</td>
<td></td>
<td>Perennial</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scirpus pungens</td>
<td>Low-Mid.</td>
<td>Rhizomatous</td>
<td>Up to 6&quot; Water Depth</td>
<td>Very Common</td>
<td>Yes-Seed &amp; Potted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Three-square bulrush</td>
<td></td>
<td>Perennial</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spartina pectinata</td>
<td>Low-Mid.</td>
<td>Rhizomatous</td>
<td>Seasonally-Flooded</td>
<td>Fairly Common</td>
<td>Yes-Seed &amp; Potted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prairie cordgrass</td>
<td></td>
<td>Perennial</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Typha latifolia</td>
<td>Low-Mid.</td>
<td>Rhizomatous</td>
<td>Up to 12&quot; Water Depth</td>
<td>Very Common</td>
<td>Yes-Seed &amp; Potted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cattail</td>
<td></td>
<td>Perennial</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Verbena hastata</td>
<td>Low-Mid.</td>
<td>Fibrous</td>
<td>Seasonally-Saturated</td>
<td>Common</td>
<td>Yes-Seed &amp; Potted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blue vervain</td>
<td></td>
<td>Perennial</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Footnotes:

1. **Elevation Range**: data for this region.  
   - Low: 2,000-4,500 feet  
   - Middle: 4,500-7,000 feet  
   - High: 7,000-10,000 feet

2. **Hydrologic Regime**: This indicates optimal moisture conditions although local conditions are the best benchmarks for design.  
   - Seasonally-saturated species prefer soil that is saturated early in the season but later dries out.  
   - Seasonally-Flooded species prefer flooding in the early portion of the season.  
   - Saturated conditions indicates species that prefer saturated conditions all year.

3. **Availability in the Field**: This refers to natural occurrence in the region.  
   - The order of the ranking is from least to greatest:  
     - Fairly Common  
     - Common  
     - Very Common

4. **Commercial Availability**: This refers to whether or not this species is currently available in the nursery trade.  
   - Refer to the Resource section for information on a nursery guide.

5. **Rate of Spread**: This refers to the horizontal rate of growth.  
   - These rates are only guidelines since rates will vary with growing season, elevation, soil, etc.  
   - Rapid: Over 1.0 ft. per year  
   - Medium: Approximately 0.5 ft. per year  
   - Slow: Approximately 0.2 ft. per year

6. **Tolerance to Acidity**: Resistance to acidity relative to native vegetation on similar sites.

7. **Tolerance to Salinity**: Resistance to salinity relative to native vegetation on similar sites.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Height</th>
<th>Rate of Spread</th>
<th>Acidity Tolerance</th>
<th>Salinity Tolerance</th>
<th>Wildlife Value</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>36&quot;</td>
<td>Rapid</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>Waterfowl and small mammal food</td>
<td>Palatable forage grass</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24-36&quot;</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Med.</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Small mammal food and upland bird cover</td>
<td>Excellent soil stabilizer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10-24&quot;</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Med.</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Waterfowl food and cover</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10-24&quot;</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Waterfowl food and cover, small mammal cover</td>
<td>Tolerates heat if provided with adequate moisture</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10-40&quot;</td>
<td>Rapid</td>
<td>Med.</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Waterfowl and small mammal food</td>
<td>Also known as C. rostrata</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18-30&quot;</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Med.</td>
<td>Med.</td>
<td>Small mammal cover</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12-18&quot;</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Waterfowl food</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6-30&quot;</td>
<td>Rapid</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Med.</td>
<td>Waterfowl food</td>
<td>Excellent soil stabilizer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24-36&quot;</td>
<td>Rapid</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Waterfowl food and big game food</td>
<td>Excellent soil stabilizer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18-24&quot;</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Med.</td>
<td>Med.</td>
<td>Waterfowl food</td>
<td>Tolerates wide range of hydrologic conditions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-16&quot;</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>Waterfowl food</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6-12&quot;</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>Small mammal cover</td>
<td>Tolerates high alkaline sites</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6-12&quot;</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Small mammal cover, small mammal cover</td>
<td>Excellent soil stabilizer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Up to 6’</td>
<td>Rapid</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Med.</td>
<td>Waterfowl food and cover, small mammal cover</td>
<td>Tolerates high alkaline sites</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24-36&quot;</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Waterfowl cover and food</td>
<td>Tolerates some hydrologic drawdown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24-48&quot;</td>
<td>Rapid</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Med.</td>
<td>Waterfowl food and cover, small mammal cover</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24-48&quot;</td>
<td>Rapid</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Med.</td>
<td>Small game cover</td>
<td>Not palatable for livestock</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Up to 6’</td>
<td>Rapid</td>
<td>Med.</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Waterfowl food and cover, small mammal cover and food</td>
<td>Can be invasive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18-30&quot;</td>
<td>Slow</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Upland bird food</td>
<td>Very fibrous root system</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

References:


Crew surveying cross-section of the stream to determine effectiveness of the treatment. While surveying, the crew will also look for maintenance.

Chapter Five

Maintenance and Monitoring
MAINTENANCE

A newly installed bioengineering project will require some initial maintenance. Once vegetation is established, it generally becomes self-sustaining through regrowth and requires little to no maintenance. The establishment period varies from location to location. Common maintenance tasks are shown below. Some replanting is usually necessary to ensure the streambank is fully vegetated in a short time frame. The need to replant should not be looked at as a failure. In the Great Basin and Intermountain West, fluctuating stream levels from year to year influence the success of new riparian plantings. Planting success varies greatly from project to project. The following table illustrates some potential success rates for bioengineering. Your project’s success should be weighed against the project’s goals. Even one willow cutting that survives is an improvement in an area where riparian vegetation was absent.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Maintenance Tasks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Clear debris around plantings.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Secure stakes, wire, twine, etc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Control weeds.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Repair fences.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Replant.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Potential Success Rates</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Method</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pole Plantings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Live Fascines</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brush layers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post Plantings</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MONITORING

Periodic monitoring of the project site will provide valuable insight into the streambank stabilization process and important information for future projects. All too often, monitoring of stream restoration and bioengineering projects is neglected.

There are several reasons why projects are not monitored. There is a misconception that monitoring is expensive and time consuming. Many project managers and designers may not want to evaluate the project in case the project does not meet all of the objectives and may be perceived as a "failure" (Kondolf 1995a). In reality, there is no such thing as a failure if something is learned from each project.

Kondolf (1995b) outlines five key elements for effective evaluation of stream restoration projects: clear objectives, baseline data, good study design, commitment to the long term, and willingness to acknowledge and accept "failures".

Clear Objectives
A set of clear objectives is the most critical component of an evaluation program because it provides the benchmarks against which the project will be assessed. Project objectives should be clearly stated, not only in qualitative terms, but also quantitatively where possible.
Stating an objective such as "to improve fish habitat" is an acceptable goal in the early planning phase. However, more specific objectives are needed to design a realistic evaluation program.

**Baseline Data**
Project success can only be determined with reference to prior conditions. Baseline data is necessary for the planning and design phase of a project and provides a solid basis for future monitoring efforts. Establishing permanent photo points before restoration is very valuable for visually documenting changes over time. Stream cross-sections taken before construction can also be used to assess future changes in bank stability.

**Good Study Design**
A good study design does not need to result in a complex and elaborate evaluation program. Often a simple set of photo points and cross-sections, both upstream and downstream of the treatment area, can serve as baseline reference sites to be compared against the treatment area. Being able to document erosion rates in treated areas versus untreated areas can often be used to promote future projects. A simple and cost-effective method to measure erosion rates is the use of metal pins (Fig. 5.1) (Gordon et al. 1992).

**Long-Term Commitment**
Evaluation programs require long-term commitment to fully assess the effects of a restoration project. Riparian vegetation requires a few years of growth before success can be fully evaluated. Consequently, monitoring methods must be kept simple and cost-effective if they are to be repeated over several years. For example, measurements do not need to be collected each year but could be collected in alternate years. Monitoring can also be carried out by volunteer groups once they are trained. Many high school science and university classes would probably relish the opportunity to apply some of their lessons in a real setting.

**Willingness to Acknowledge "Failures"**
There should be a willingness to acknowledge when projects do not meet all objectives so that important lessons can be transferred to future projects. It should also be noted that due to the dynamic nature of streams and rivers, a very large flood in the first year may wash out a project before the roots have had a chance to stabilize the bank. This does not necessarily mean the project was a failure or poorly designed. It also does not necessarily imply the streambank should have been armored with rip-rap or concrete. Where possible, we must always strive for multiple objectives such as wildlife and fisheries habitat and water quality improvement in addition to bank stability.

**Evaluation Criteria and Methods**
Briggs (1996) offers some examples of the use of metal pins or large nails at least 10" in length can be inserted into the bank so only a small portion is exposed. Mark pin locations with painted stakes to facilitate future relocation. Bank retreat can be estimated from the increase in pin exposure over time.

**Fig. 5.1 Use of Metal Pins to Monitor Bank Erosion**
evaluation criteria for different project objectives. These include:

Channel Capacity and Stability. Criteria may include channel cross-sections, flood stage surveys, rates of bank or bed erosion, longitudinal profile, and aerial photography interpretation.

Improve Aquatic Habitat. Criteria may include water depth, water velocities, percentage of overhanging cover, increases in large woody debris, shading, stream temperatures, bed material composition, and population assessments for fish and invertebrates.

Improve Riparian Habitat. Criteria may include percentage of vegetative cover, species diversity, species densities, survival of plantings, size distribution, age-class distribution, and wildlife use.

Improve Water Quality. Criteria may include temperature, pH level, conductivity, dissolved oxygen concentration, nitrogen and phosphorus concentrations, and turbidity.

Recreation and Community Involvement. Criteria may include visual resource improvement and recreational use surveys.

Since most projects have several objectives, the project manager may need to select a few key criteria to measure each objective. Since the emphasis of this guide is on bank stabilization, bank morphology and vegetation are key factors to monitor. The following section offers some guidelines for evaluating these parameters.

Bank Morphology.
Beginning at the design phase of the project, a base map of the project area should be available. This map can be used during monitoring to record specific notes and locations of stream cross-sections or transects.

Cross-sections can be taken at the project area to document changes in channel width, bank shapes, deposition, and erosion. Cross-sections should be taken perpendicular to the flow of water. Usually, three cross-sections are taken in each treatment area: at the upstream end, middle, and downstream end, in addition to untreated reference areas.

To take a cross-section, two people, a line level, steel measuring tape, tension scale, stakes, twine, and a level rod are desirable (Refer to Fig. 5.2). First, determine a cross-section location and place a stake on both sides of the stream. Mark the location on the base map. Use permanent stakes that can be located during the following monitoring seasons. Secure the end of the steel measuring tape to

![Acquiring Cross-sectional Data](image)
the left side stake and stretch the tape across the channel. Use a line level to level the measuring tape. Using a tension scale, pull the tape until 10 to 20 pounds of tension is obtained and secure to the right stake. Record the height of the tape by both stakes \((x, y)\) so the tape can be set to that height during following evaluations. This line will become the permanent datum for future measurements.

The other person should take level rod readings \((Y_i)\) starting at the left bank. Usually readings are taken every foot to allow for a detailed cross-section. This data can then be plotted to scale on graph paper or on the sample monitoring sheet. Generally, the drawing of the cross-section should use the same horizontal and vertical scales to avoid exaggeration of features.

Drawings of the channel cross-sections can be visually compared from season to season to assess changes in bank morphology. For example, when some streams degrade, they tend to become wide and shallow. If the transects of the treatment area begin to show that the stream is becoming narrower and deeper, this may indicate a positive change depending on the specific context and objectives.

One way to compare pre-treatment and post-treatment cross-sections is simply by using a light table. If the cross-sections are taken at the same location using the same datum and scale, the cross-sections can be overlaid on a light table. A planimeter can be used to measure changes in area due to erosion or deposition.

Mathematical methods for quantifying channel morphology are also available. Several are described by Olson-Runtz and Marlow (1992).

In addition to monitoring channel geometry, it may be worthwhile to document peak flows that have occurred at the bioengineering project. If a gauging station is nearby, data can be acquired from it and used to determine the discharge and approximate velocity based on the known channel geometry. If a gauging station is not available, an inexpensive crest stage gauge can be constructed from a PVC tube. Briggs (1996) outlines the construction of a crest stage gauge which is a simple device constructed of a hollow tube mounted on a strong post that is anchored in the streambed (Fig. 5.4). Inside the tube, a strip of Velcro and a staff gauge are mounted while the bottom has a perforated cap. Small Styrofoam beads (chopped Styrofoam in a blender) are placed

---

**Vegetation Monitoring (Year 1)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Shoot Height</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Brush Layer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wattle #1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wattle #2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Fig. 5.3 Example of Vegetation Monitoring**
inside the tube. When a flow event occurs, water fills the tube and the beads float. As the flood recedes, the beads adhere to the Velcro strip recording the crest stage of the flood. By using at least three crest stage gauges, a slope of the flood peak can be obtained (Briggs 1996). Using Manning’s equation and the tractive force equation, discharge and shear stress can be estimated.

**Vegetation**

Another key component to evaluate is the vegetation. Different methods can be used to assess the success of the revegetation techniques including simple measurements of stem height, stem density, and vegetative cover (Refer to Fig. 5.3). Specific methods for vegetation sampling that may be particularly useful for bioengineering projects include visual estimation of percentage cover, line intercept, and quadrats. Many of these techniques are described in various publications (Gordon et al. 1992, Chambers and Brown 1983).

Many of the vegetative components of bioengineering are planted in a linear row (i.e. wattles, brush layer, etc.) and lend themselves to techniques such as measuring the number of live stems per linear foot of treatment. Table 5.1 offers some suggestions for monitoring different types of treatments. Permanent photo points should also be used.

**Monitoring Resources**

A good resource for additional information on evaluating riparian areas is the publication entitled *Methods for Evaluating Riparian Habitats With Applications to Management* (Platts et al. 1987). See the Resource section for information on additional monitoring guides.

**Sample Monitoring Sheet**

A sample monitoring sheet is shown on the following page. A blank copy of the sheet can be found in Appendix C. The monitoring sheet is only an example and can be tailored for specific projects.

Monitoring is a critical component of restoration and with a little imagination, it can be done in a cost-effective manner using simple tools and volunteers.

**Table 5.1 Potential Evaluation Methods**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bioengineering Technique</th>
<th>Evaluation Method</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Brush Layer</td>
<td>B,C,D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brush Trench</td>
<td>B,C,D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vertical Bundles</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Willow Wattles</td>
<td>B,C,D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pole Plantings</td>
<td>A,C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post Plantings</td>
<td>A,C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brush Mattress</td>
<td>B,C,D</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A. Percent survival of individual plants.
B. Average number of shoots per linear foot.
C. Average height of shoots per linear foot.

---

**Fig. 5.4 Crest Stage Gauge**  
Adapted from Briggs (1996)
Bioengineering Monitoring Sheet

Fig. 5-5

Project Name: Clear Creek - Phase 1
Project Location: Clear Creek S. of Hwy 95 Bridge
Monitoring Team: Clear Creek HS Biology Class
Date: 6/25/96
Bioengineering Technique: Brush revetment w/willow wattle & pole plantings
Riparian Species Present: *Salix exigua* (Sandbar willow wattles) *Populus fremontii* (Fremont Cottonwood), *Potamogeton pectinatus* - Cane, *Carex nebrascensis* (Nebraska Sedge)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total # of poles or post plantings:</th>
<th>21</th>
<th>Average # of live stems per linear foot:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td># of dead:</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Wattle #1 (12/1/95)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% survival:</td>
<td>87.5%</td>
<td>Wattle #2 (8/1/95)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average height:</td>
<td>3.4'</td>
<td>Wattle #3 (2/1/95)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: Brush revetment & erosion control fabric is holding up & is catching sediment. Wattles #1 & 2 are doing great - Wattle #3 is struggling. Probably should have planted pole plantings instead of Wattle #3 (probably not enough moisture). Water temp seems cooler now that channel narrowed & deeper - need to do some temp monitoring to assess this!

STREAM CROSS-SECTION

ID#: 4-4
Measuring Tape Height: x 18" y 14"

Diagram with measurements and notes on vegetation and water levels.
Glossary

**Aggradation:** To fill and raise the level of the bed of a stream by deposition of sediment.

**Alluvial:** Deposited by running water.

**Bankfull Discharge:** The discharge corresponding to the stage at which the natural channel is full. This flow typically has a recurrence interval of 1.5 to 2 years.

**Best Management Practices:** A practice used to reduce the impacts from a particular land use.

**Bioengineering:** The integration of living woody and herbaceous materials along with organic and inorganic materials to increase the strength and structure of soil.

**Buffer:** A vegetated area of grass, shrubs, or trees designed to capture and filter runoff from surrounding land uses.

**Canopy:** The overhead branches and leaves of vegetation.

**Capillary Fringe:** The distance water is wicked upwards above the water table by capillary action in the soil.

**Coir:** A woven mat of coconut fibers. Used for various soil erosion control applications. Biodegrades after a period of time.

**Degradation:** The process by which stream beds lower in elevation. Opposite of aggradation.

**Deposition:** The settlement of material out of water.

**Fiberschine:** A sausage-like bundle of coconut fibers woven together. Used to stabilize the toe of a streambank.

**Geomorphology:** The geologic study of the evolution and configuration of land forms.

**Habitat:** The area or environment in which an organism lives.

**Headcutting:** The cutting of the streambed to a lower elevation.

**Incised Channel:** A stream that has cut its channel into the bed of a valley.

**Nonpoint Source Pollution:** Pollution that originates from many diffuse sources.

**Piping:** Flow of water through subsurface conduits in the soil.

**Reach:** A short length of stream that has similar physical and biological characteristics.

**Riparian Area:** A riparian area is an ecosystem situated between aquatic and upland environments that is at least periodically influenced by flooding.

**Scour:** Erosive action of flowing water in a stream.

**Seepage:** Groundwater emerging from the face of a streambank.

**Substrate:** The mineral and organic material that from the bed of a stream.

**Thalweg:** A longitudinal line that follows the deepest part of the channel of a stream.

**Tractive Force:** The drag or force on a streambank or bed particles caused by flowing water.

**Velocity:** The distance that water travels in a given direction in a stream during a given interval of time.

**Watershed:** An area of land that drains into a particular river or stream, usually divided by topography.

**Wattle:** A sausage-like bundle of plant cuttings used to streambanks and other slopes.
Resources

BIOENGINEERING AND WATERSHED RESTORATION TECHNIQUES

Note: Phone numbers, addresses and prices are given for many of the publications. In the case with some of the non-governmental publications, these resources can usually be ordered from your local bookstore.

Stream Corridor Restoration Handbook. Available in 1998. An excellent, comprehensive technical resource developed by several federal agencies. Contains restoration technology applicable for streams in both urban and rural settings. For more information, visit web site (www.usda.gov/stream_restoration).


Guidelines for Bank Stabilization Projects in the Riverine Environments of King County. 1993. A 195 page manual developed by A.W. Johnson and J.M. Stypula to help professionals with the design of bank stabilization projects for streambank protection. Although geared to the Northwest, principles can be applied to other areas. Source: King County Department of Public Works, Surface Water Management Division, 700 Fifth Avenue, Suite 2200, Seattle, WA 98104 or call (206) 296-6519 (soft cover - $21.65)


Water Bioengineering Techniques. 1994. A technical guide originally published in German by H.M. Schiechtl and R. Stern. Covers the many different techniques used in Europe; very good resource. Source: Blackwell Science, 239 Main St., Cambridge, MA 02142 (800) 215-1000 (hardback-$64.95).
Soil Bioengineering for Upland Slope Protection and Erosion Reduction. Chapter 18 - Natural Resources Conservation Service Engineering Field Handbook. 1992. This guide is geared toward upland bioengineering but some information can still be applicable to riparian situations.

Streambank and Shoreline Protection. Chapter 16 - Natural Resources Conservation Service Engineering Field Handbook. 1996. This guide is geared specifically for riparian and shoreline bioengineering. Source: See your local NRCS Conservationist.

'Natural' Channel Design: Perspectives and Practice. 1994. A compilation of the Proceedings of the First International Conference on Guidelines for "Natural Channel Systems" held in Ontario, Canada. This 465 page publication covers both design and policy issues - D. Shrubsole eds. Source: (See IECA under organizations) (soft cover - $44.95).

Riparian Ecosystem Recovery in Arid Lands: Strategies and References. A 160 page guide to holistic riparian recovery in the Southwest by M. Briggs. Covers critical topics pertaining to arid riparian restoration such as salinity issues. Published by the University of Arizona Press, Tucson, AZ. (soft cover - $20).

Interagency Riparian/Wetland Plant Development Project. This multi-agency project is aimed at researching plants and techniques for restoration of riparian and wetland ecosystems in the Great Basin and Intermountain West. Project is based at the USDA NRCS Plant Materials Center in Aberdeen, ID. The following publications are available upon request:

Riparian/Wetland Project Information Series

No. 2 - Selection and acquisition of woody plant species and materials for riparian corridors and shorelines.
No. 3 - Use of willow and cottonwood cuttings for vegetating shorelines and riparian areas.
No. 4 - How to plant willows and cottonwoods for riparian rehabilitation.
No. 5 - Collection, establishment, and evaluation of unrooted woody cuttings to obtain performance tested ecotypes of native willows and cottonwoods.
No. 6 - Seed and live transplant collection procedures for seven wetland plant species.
No. 7 - Use of greenhouse propagated wetland plants versus live transplants to vegetate constructed or created wetlands.
No. 8 - Constructed wetland system for water quality improvement of irrigation wastewater.
No. 9 - Design criteria for riparian areas of the Intermountain region.
No. 10 - Seed germination enhancement of Carex nebrascensis (Nebraska Sedge)
No. 11 - Getting “bang for your buck” on your next wetland project.
No. 12 - Guidelines for planting, establishment, and maintenance of constructed wetlands systems.
No. 13 - A reference guide for the collection and use of ten common wetland plants of the Great Basin and Intermountain West.

Idaho NRCS Plant Materials Technical Note

No. 6 - The Stinger, a tool to plant unrooted hardwood cuttings of willow and cottonwood species for riparian or shoreline erosion control or rehabilitation.
No. 23 - How to plant willows and cottonwoods for riparian rehabilitation. (Describes planting methods for willows and cottonwoods in riparian revegetation projects in detail and includes technical references).

Riparian/Wetland Project Plant Guides

*Carex nebrascensis*, *Nebraska Sedge* — wetland plant fact sheet. Interagency Riparian/Wetland Plant Development Project, Plant Materials Center, USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service, Box 296, Aberdeen, ID.

*Eleocharis palustris*, *Creeping spikerush* — wetland plant fact sheet. Interagency Riparian/Wetland Plant Development Project, Plant Materials Center, USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service, Box 296, Aberdeen, ID.

*Juncus balticus*, *Baltic rush* — wetland plant fact sheet. Interagency Riparian/Wetland Plant Development Project, Plant Materials Center, USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service, Box 296, Aberdeen, ID.

*Scirpus acutus*, *Hardstem bulrush* — wetland plant fact sheet. Interagency Riparian/Wetland Plant Development Project, Plant Materials Center, USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service, Box 296, Aberdeen, ID.

*Scirpus maritimus*, *Alkali bulrush* — wetland plant fact sheet. Interagency Riparian/Wetland Plant Development Project, Plant Materials Center, USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service, Box 296, Aberdeen, ID.

*Scirpus pungens*, *Common threesquare* — wetland plant fact sheet. Interagency Riparian/Wetland Plant Development Project, Plant Materials Center, USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service, Box 296, Aberdeen, ID.

Available from: Interagency Riparian/Wetland Plant Development Project, Plant Materials Center, USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service, Box 296, Aberdeen, ID 83210 (208) 397-4133.

GENERAL BACKGROUND


RESTORATION PERIODICALS
**Restoration and Management Notes.** A biannual publication published by the Society for Ecological Restoration (refer to Organizations). Covers all types of restoration activities. Source: Journal Division, 114 N. Murray St., Madison, WI 53715 (1 year subscription - $27).

**Watershed Protection Techniques.** A quarterly journal on urban watershed restoration and protection techniques. Source: Center for Watershed Restoration, 8737 Colesville Road, Suite 300, Silver Springs, MD. 20910 (1 year subscription - $48).

**Land and Water.** A magazine covering topics such as erosion control, aquascaping and bioengineering. Source: Land and Water, P.O. Box 1197, Fort Dodge, IA 50501 (1 year subscription - $14).

**Erosion Control.** Official journal of the International Erosion Control Association (refer to Organizations). Published seven times a year. Source: Erosion Control, PO Box 3100, Santa Barbara, CA 93130 (1 year subscription - $36).

**LAND USE MANAGEMENT AND BEST MANAGEMENT PRACTICES**

**Site Planning for Urban Stream Protection.** 1995. An excellent 232 page resource on protecting urban streams by T. Schueler. Source: Center for Watershed Restoration, 8737 Colesville Road, Suite 300, Silver Springs, MD 20910 or call (301) 589-1890 (soft cover - $35).

**Riparian Road Guide: Managing Roads to Enhance Riparian Areas.** 1994. A 32 page booklet on road building to minimize impacts on riparian areas. Source: Terrene Institute, 4 Herbert St., Alexandria, VA 22305 or call (703) 548-5473. (soft cover - $10.95).

**Restoring The Range** 1995. A 40 page supplement to the Citizen's Streambank Restoration Handbook by J. West, published by the Izaak Walton League of America, Save Our Streams Program, 707 Conservation Lane, Gaithersburg, MD 20878-2983 or call (301) 548-0150 (notebook - $20; price includes the Citizen's Streambank Restoration Handbook).


**ASSESSMENT AND MONITORING**


**Rapid Bioassessment Protocols for Use in Streams and Rivers: Benthic Macroinvertebrates and**


Water Quality Indicators Guide: Surface Waters. Simplified approach to assessing water quality based on indicators without the use of elaborate chemical testing procedures. Source: Terrene Institute, orders accepted via phone (703) 548-5473 with payment by credit cards, checks or purchase orders (soft cover - $26.95).

Field Manual for Water Quality Sampling. A handy little field reference to provide for consistent sampling protocols. Source: Arizona Water Resources Research Center, College of Agriculture, 350 N. Campbell Avenue, University of Arizona, Tucson, AZ 85721 (520) 792-9591.(soft cover - $10).


HORTICULTURAL INFORMATION

Hortus West. A western United States native plant directory and journal. Source: Hortus West, P.O. Box 2870, Wilsonville OR 97070-9957 or call (800) 704-7927. (1 year subscription $9.00 or single copy $6.45).


Classification and Management of Montana’s Riparian and Wetland Sites. 1995. Good regional
classification system for Montana by P.L. Hansen and others. Source: The University of Montana, School of Forestry and Montana Forest and Conservation Experiment Station, Missoula, MT. (Miscellaneous Publication No. 54).

Riparian Community Type Classification of Utah and Southeastern Idaho. 1989. Good regional classification system by W.G. Padgett. Source: Rocky Mountain Research Station, 324 25th Street, Ogden, UT 84401. (R4-Ecol-89-01) (soft cover - free).

ORGANIZATIONS

Society for Ecological Restoration (SER). A non-profit organization dedicated to ecological restoration including both upland and riparian landscapes. Contact: SER, University of Wisconsin-Madison Arboretum, 1207 Seminole Highway, Madison, WI 53711. (608) 262-9547.
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Comment Sheet for The Practical Streambank Bioengineering Guide

1. What areas of the guide need more clarification and/or expansion?

_________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________

2. Which sections were the most helpful?

_________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________

3. What techniques sheets should be added in the future?

_________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________

4. What plant datasheets should be added in the future?

_________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________

5. What personal experience from bioengineering projects you would like to share with others?

_________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________

6. Any other comments?

_________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________

Thanks! Your comments are truly appreciated.
The Practical Streambank Bioengineering Guide

Appendix A
Bioengineering Techniques

Brush Mattress
Fiberschine

Description and Use

This technique uses a coconut-fiber roll product to protect the streambank by stabilizing the toe of the slope and by trapping sediment from the sloughing streambank. Cuttings and herbaceous riparian plants are planted into the fiberschine and behind it. By the time the fiberschine decomposes, riparian vegetation will have stabilized the streambank.

How To Install

1. Determine the length of treatment area and acquire the necessary amount of fiberschines from a supplier. Common tradenames for fiberschines include Biologs and Fiber Rolls. Be sure to order enough fiberschine to allow for 5 feet to extend past each end of the treatment area.

A list of suppliers can be obtained from the International Erosion Control Association listed in the Resource section of this guide. Fiberschines can be purchased in various diameters, with the 12 inch diameter being one of the more popular sizes.

2. Place the fiberschine along the toe of the streambank at approximately the low flow line. Submerge the fiberschine so that approximately 1/2 the fiberschine is below the water line. Place other fiberschines along the bank. Tie the ends of adjacent fiberschines together with strong twine.

3. It is critical to key the ends of the fiberschine into the bank to prevent flows from getting behind it. Both ends should then be protected with something hard such as rock to prevent the ends from being scoured.

4. Secure the fiberschine with 24 to 36 inch long wedge-shaped wooden stakes on both sides of the fiberschine at 5 foot intervals. Cut a 3/4” deep notch in each stake about 5” from the top. Tie twine or wire around each pair of stakes at the notches. Drive the stakes in so that the twine is secured against the top of the fiberschine.

Another option for securing the fiberschines is to use cable and soil anchors. This method will probably secure the fiberschine more firmly into the streambank.

5. Backfill behind the fiberschine by knocking down the top of the streambank onto the fiberschine.

6. Plant herbaceous wetland plants or willows into and behind the fiberschine. Herbaceous plants should be planted approximately 0.5-1 foot on center (see other Technique Sheets).

Materials:

- fiber rolls or biologs
- 10-12 gauge wire
- wood stakes
- sledgehammer
- 2 people minimum
Inventory & Planning Considerations

1. Installation of the fiberschine can usually be accomplished throughout the year. High water periods should be avoided for safety reasons.

2. The fiberschine should extend upstream and downstream past the eroded area being treated to prevent flows from getting behind the fiberschine. Analysis and calculations may reveal that additional toe protection is necessary (refer to Chapter 3 of the Streambank Bioengineering Guide). In many cases, rock may be appropriate if placed properly. Improperly placed rock can result in erosion problems on the opposite streambank as well as downstream.

3. Be sure to key the upstream and downstream end of the fiberschine into the streambank and secure it with some hard materials such as tree trunks or large rocks.

4. If this method is used in a highly erodible area and bank shaping is not possible, a tiered fiberschine technique may be necessary. Three fiberschines of different diameters are often used but various numbers and combinations of sizes can be used.

5. Never disturb the site unnecessarily. Remember the goal is to stabilize a site. The less it is disturbed,

Management

To ensure the highest success for the treated area, determine the land management practices that created the eroded streambanks and modify those land use practices necessary.

If the area is grazed, restrict livestock from treated areas to allow the eroded section of streambank to heal. Exclosure fences are the most efficient means to accomplish this goal. Managers should resist the temptation to put the exclosure fences at the high water line. The exclosure areas should include enough of the riparian zone to allow the stream to shift naturally over time.

If the area is farmed, a riparian buffer strip should be established and maintained. A buffer strip on both sides of the stream should be set aside to allow for natural riparian vegetation and stream function. A wider buffer strip is strongly encouraged and will yield greater benefits.

Check with your local NRCS district conservationist for cost-share programs and volunteers for fencing, planting, and other restoration activities.

Finally, a stream is an interconnected system and land use practices both upstream and downstream will affect the success of your bioengineering work. Talk with your neighbors and work together to create a healthier riparian and stream system that can benefit everyone.

Monitoring & Maintenance

Do not ignore the project after it has been installed. Periodic monitoring of the project will provide valuable insight into the stabilization process and may offer important information for future projects.

Periodic maintenance includes checking on the fiberschine to ensure that the posts and wire are holding the fiberschine in place. Additional native plantings may be necessary to accelerate the healing process.
Procedure for Fiberschines

**Step One: Acquisition of fiberschines**
Acquire the amount of fiberschines needed for the project.

**Step Two: Excavate Trench**
Place fiberschine at the toe of streambank at the low water line. Key in the ends of the fiberschine. See "How to Install".

**Step Three: Secure fiberschines**
Drive notched wooden stakes at 5’ intervals on each side of the fiberschine. Tie twine between each pair of notched stakes. Drive stakes in until twine is tight across the top of the fiberschine.

**Step Four: Backfill**
Backfill behind the fiberschine.

**Step Five: Willow Plantings**
Willow cuttings should be planted into and behind the fiberschine before backfilling.

**Step Five: Herbaceous Wetland Plantings**
Herbaceous wetland plants should be planted into the roll and the soil after backfilling. Make sure the root systems are in saturated soil.
Erosion Control Fabric

Materials:
- erosion control fabric
- shovel
- sledgehammer
- wedge-shaped wooden stakes
- 1 person minimum

Description and Use

Erosion control fabrics are commercially-available products that can be used to prevent erosion on slopes until vegetation establishes and has a chance to stabilize the slope. The fabrics are constructed of a variety of materials from coconut fiber or jute to straw mulch encased in plastic netting. For stream applications, a tightly woven coconut fiber blanket is the most durable option. Woody cuttings and herbaceous plants can be planted into the fabric and seed can be placed underneath the fabric. By the time the blanket decomposes (usually 2 to 5 years depending on local climate), vegetation will have significantly stabilized the streambank.

NOTE: Although this technique can be used by itself in a stream system, it is probably best to use this material with other techniques (see page 4).

How To Install

Determine the square footage of the treatment area and acquire the necessary amount of fabric from a supplier. Order extra material to allow for overlap. A list of suppliers can be obtained from the International Erosion Control Association which is listed in the Resource section of this guide.

1. Seed the streambank with native herbaceous seed and rake to ensure good seed-soil contact. Fabrics are most effective on slopes that are no steeper than 2H:1V.

2. Excavate two trenches, one at the toe of the slope and the other at the top of the bank. The trench at the toe should be 12 inches deep and 6 to 8 inches wide. The trench at the top of the bank should be located at least a foot from the edge and should be 12 inches deep and 6 to 8 inches wide.

3. A key trench at the upstream end should be excavated perpendicular to the flow, connecting the ends of the other trenches (See illustrations).

4. The fabric should be placed on the streambank with the ends of the fabric in the trench so that the fabric is touching the three sides of the trench. Use a wedge-shaped wood stake to secure the fabric to the bottom of the trench.

5. Continue to cover the rest of the streambank with the fabric blanket. Install the blankets so the edge overlaps are shingled away from the direction of the current. Overlap the blanket edges approximately 12 inches and secure with wedge-shaped wooden stakes. Secure the blanket to the slope according to manufacturer specifications. Usually, a triangular spacing of 24" on center is suitable for stream applications. The upstream end of the blanket should be keyed into the final trench.

6. Backfill the trenches with excavated soil or small cobble and compact it. Pole plantings should be planted through the fabric (See page 4).
Erosion Control Fabric

Inventory & Planning
Considerations

1. An important step with this technique is to ensure the upstream and downstream ends of the erosion control blanket are well keyed into the bank to prevent high flows from pulling the blanket out. Cobble should be placed in the key trenches to prevent the fabric from being pulled out.

2. Another important step is where the fabric overlaps, it should be shingled away from the direction of the current to prevent flows from pulling at the fabric.

3. Never disturb the site unnecessarily. Remember the goal is to stabilize a site. The less it is disturbed, the easier it will be to restore.

Management

To ensure the highest success for the treated area, determine the land management practices that created the eroded streambanks and modify those practices as necessary.

If the area is grazed, restrict livestock from treated areas to allow the eroded section of streambank to heal. Exclosure fences are the most efficient means to accomplish this goal. Managers should resist the temptation to put the exclosure fences at the high water line. The exclosure areas should include enough of the riparian zone to allow the stream to shift naturally over time.

If the area is farmed, a riparian buffer strip should be established and maintained. A buffer strip on both sides of the stream should be set aside to allow for natural riparian vegetation and stream function. A wider buffer strip is strongly encouraged and will yield greater benefits.

Check with your local NRCS district conservationist for cost-share programs and volunteers for fencing, planting, and other restoration activities.

Finally, a stream is an interconnected system and land use practices both upstream and downstream will affect the success of your bioengineering work. Talk with your neighbors and work together to create a healthier riparian and stream system that can benefit everyone.

Monitoring & Maintenance

Do not ignore the project after it has been installed. Periodic monitoring of the project will provide valuable insight into the stabilization process and may offer important information for future projects.

Periodic maintenance includes making sure the staples and key trenches are still securing the fabric blanket to the streambank. The upstream end should be carefully checked to make sure flows are not getting behind the blanket.
Procedure for Erosion Control Fabric

**Step One: Seeding**

Seed the streambank with native herbaceous seed and rake in to ensure good seed-soil contact. Slope varies—See "How to Install".

**Step Two: Excavate Trench**

Excavate two trenches as shown.

**Step Three: Upstream Key Trench**

Excavate an upstream key trench perpendicular to flow.

**Step Four: Fabric Placement**

Place fabric on streambank and in trenches and secure with a wedge-shaped wooden stake.

**Step Five: Suggested Stake Layout**

After laying out the blanket, secure the fabric with wedge-shaped wooden stakes according to manufacturers specs or suggested pattern.

**Step Six: Backfill**

Backfill all trenches with excavated soil or small cobble and compact it.
Brush Layering

Materials:
- willow cuttings
- clothesline cord or wire
- chain saw or loppers (to harvest willows)
- shovel
- 1 person minimum

Description and Use

This technique uses bundles of willow cuttings (Salix spp.) in buried trenches along the slope of an eroding streambank. This willow "terrace" is used to reduce the length of slope of the streambank. The willow cuttings will sprout and take root, thus stabilizing the streambank with a dense matrix of roots. Some toe protection such as a wattle, fiberschine, or rock may be necessary with this technique.

How To Install

1. Harvest willow cuttings from a local, native stand that is in healthy condition taking no more than 2/3 of each plant. Cuttings should be at least a 1/2 inch diameter or larger to ensure an adequate supply of stored energy for rooting, but there should be a good mixture of various sizes. This is to ensure better entrapment of sediment which will promote better root growth.

Ideally, cuttings should be collected during the dormant season to ensure the highest success rate. Cuttings can be collected during the growing season if all the leaves are removed from the stem, although establishment success will be lowered. Spring plantings are more successful than fall plantings.

2. The cuttings may be tied into bundles to facilitate transportation to the project site. The terminal bud should be removed so that stem energy will be re-routed to the lateral buds for more efficient root and stem sprouting.

3. Soak the bundles for 4 to 5 days.

4. Toe protection if needed should be installed prior to excavation. Excavate a horizontal trench into the streambank along the length of the area to be treated. The trench should be located between the annual low and high water levels. The trench should be approximately 2 to 3 feet deep and the back portion must reach the permanent water table. The surface of the trench should be sloped 10 to 20 degrees such that the outside edge is higher than the inside.

5. Cut the twine on the bundles and place the cuttings in the trench. Make sure the basal cut ends reach the back of the trench. Spread the cuttings in the trench until desired thickness is achieved. In general, the thicker and denser the cuttings, the better the technique will work.

6. Slough the bank down on to the cuttings and pack the soil into the cuttings. To remove air pockets around the cuttings, water the soil when backfilling. The cuttings should extend no more than 12 to 18 inches from the bank to prevent them from being ripped out during high flows. Trim off the excess.

7. Create another terrace for cuttings behind the first layer as shown in the illustrations. Repeat the trenching and layering process until the streambank is sufficiently covered with brush layers. A brush trench can be installed at the top of the bank for overland flows (refer to Brush Trench Technique Sheet).
Brush Layering

Inventory & Planning Considerations

1. Coyote willow (*Salix exigua*) is a particularly good species for this method because of its dense rooting system. This technique can also be used with a mixture of redosier dogwood (*Cornus sericea*) and willow but to encourage rooting in the dogwood, the stems will need to be manually nicked or cut and treated with rooting hormone.

2. A critical inventory step is to determine the availability of moisture for the cuttings. This technique is best applied to areas with bank seepage to supply enough moisture for the cuttings. In our semi-arid to arid region, the upper portion of the streambank may not have enough permanent moisture to establish the cuttings, and thus, other techniques may be required.

3. Another critical step with this technique is to determine if toe protection is necessary. Analysis and calculations will provide some guidance (see Chapter 3 Streambank Bioengineering Guide). In many cases rock will be necessary to provide adequate protection. In addition to toe protection, erosion control fabric can be used to protect the soil.

4. Give careful attention to the upstream and downstream ends of the treatment area to prevent flows from getting behind the layers. Tying into existing features on site such as trees and rocks or the additional placement of brush and rocks are possible solutions.

Management

To ensure the highest success for the treated area, determine the land management practices that created the eroded streambanks and modify those practices as necessary.

If the area is grazed, restrict livestock from treated areas to allow the eroded section of streambank to heal. Exclosure fences are the most efficient means to accomplish this goal. Managers should resist the temptation to put the exclusion fences at the high water line. The exclusion areas should include enough of the riparian zone to allow the stream to shift naturally over time.

If the area is farmed, a riparian buffer strip should be established and maintained. A buffer strip on both sides of the stream should be set aside to allow for natural riparian vegetation and stream function. A wider buffer strip is strongly encouraged and will yield greater benefits.

Check with your local NRCS district conservationist for cost-share programs and volunteers for fencing, planting, and other restoration activities.

Finally, a stream is an interconnected system and land use practices both upstream and downstream will affect the success of your bioengineering work. Talk with your neighbors and work together to create a healthier riparian and stream system that can benefit everyone.

Monitoring & Maintenance

Do not ignore the project after it has been installed. Periodic monitoring of the project will provide valuable insight into the stabilization process and may offer important information for future projects.

Periodic maintenance includes making sure the streambank is not eroding close to the side of the trench. It may be determined that some additional protection is necessary to allow more time for the cuttings to take root and stabilize the streambank.
Procedure for Brush Layer

Harvest the willows and remove terminal bud.

Tie cuttings into bundles using 2 pieces of twine to facilitate transportation.

Soak the bundles for 5 to 7 days before planting. Remove from water before roots emerge.

Step One: Acquire Willow

Install the selected toe protection. Excavate the trench and make sure the trench slopes back.

Step Two: Soak Willow Bundles

Lay out the cuttings along the trench and slough the bank onto the cuttings. Work the soils into the cuttings.

Step Three: Excavate trench

Create another terrace as shown and place the cuttings. Slough the bank on to the cuttings.

Step Four: Layer Placement

Repeat the process until the final layer is placed.

Step Five: 2nd Layer Placement

Step Six: 3rd Layer Placement
Brush Mattress

Description and Use

This technique uses a mat of willow cuttings along the slope of an eroding streambank. The cut ends of the willows are placed in a trench at the toe of the slope and are anchored with a wattle (See other Technique Sheet). A grid of wire and wooden stakes is used to secure the mat to the slope. The willow cuttings will sprout and take root, thus stabilizing the streambank with a dense matrix of roots.

How To Install

See Willow Wattle/Fascine Technique Sheet for information on collecting willow cuttings for the wattle and brush mattress.

1. Prepare the slope of the streambank by clearing away large debris, however, do not remove woody debris from the stream channel because this provides important fish habitat. The brush mattress technique is probably most effective on slopes no steeper than 2H:1V. Excavate a horizontal trench, 8 to 12 inches deep, at the toe of the streambank along the length of the area to be treated.

2. Place willow cuttings in the trench. Make sure the cut ends reach the bottom of the trench. Spread the cuttings along the face of the slope until a thickness of 4 to 6 inches is achieved.

3. Pound in a grid of 24 to 36 inch long wooden stakes into the mattress every 3 to 4 foot centers (See illustrated procedure). Use longer stakes in less cohesive soil. Secure the brush mattress by using 10-12 gauge galvanized annealed wire or clothesline cord tied in horizontal runs and then diagonally between each row of stakes. Tie the wire to the stakes in such a manner that if the wire breaks between two stakes, the integrity of the remaining wiring is maintained.

4. After wiring the mattress, drive the stakes in further to compress the mattress tightly against the streambank.

5. Construct a wattle the length of the area to be treated (refer to Willow Wattle Technique Sheet). Make sure the wattle is tightly tied together. Place the wattle in the trench over the cut ends of the brush mattress. Secure the wattle with 18 to 48 inch long wedge-shaped wooden stakes every 5 feet as shown the illustrated sequence. Use longer stakes in less cohesive soil. In some instances, a rock toe may be used instead of a willow wattle to anchor the cut ends of the mattress.

6. Backfill around the wattle and mattress by using material excavated from the trench, making sure to work soil into the branches. Use buckets of water to wash the soil down into the stems. Key the upstream end of the mattress and wattle into the streambank to prevent high flows from getting behind the mattress. It is a good idea to protect this area with some revetment, large rocks, or tree trunks.
Inventory & Planning Considerations

1. Make sure the upstream end of the wattle and mattress is keyed back into the bank to prevent high flows from scouring behind the mattress. Brush revetment, rock barbs, large rocks, and tree trunks can be used in front of this area to protect the mattress.

2. Be sure to pound in the stakes after wiring the mattress in order to compress the mattress tightly against the streambank.

3. Coyote willow (*Salix exigua*) is a particularly good species for this method because of its dense rooting system.

4. Rooting hormones and fertilizers do not significantly improved success compared to the cost of the materials.

5. Never disturb the site unnecessarily. Remember the goal is to stabilize a site. The less it is disturbed, the easier it will be to restore.

Management

To ensure the highest success for the treated area, determine the land management practices that created the eroded streambanks and modify those practices as necessary.

If the area is grazed, restrict livestock from treated areas to allow the eroded section of streambank to heal. Exclosure fences are the most efficient means to accomplish this goal. Managers should resist the temptation to put the exclosure fences at the high water line. The exclosure area should include enough of the riparian zone to allow the stream to shift naturally over time.

If the area is farmed, a riparian buffer strip should be established and maintained. A buffer strip on both sides of the stream should be set aside to allow for natural riparian vegetation and stream function. A wider buffer strip is strongly encouraged and will yield greater benefits.

Check with your local NRCS district conservationist for cost-share programs and volunteers for fencing, planting, and other restoration activities.

Finally, a stream is an interconnected system. Land use practices both upstream and downstream will affect the success of your bioengineering work. Talk with your neighbors and work together to create a healthier riparian and stream system that can benefit everyone.

Monitoring & Maintenance

It is important to monitor the project after it has been installed. Periodic monitoring of the project will provide valuable insight into the stabilization process and may offer important information for future projects.

Periodic maintenance includes making sure the stakes and wire are still securing the mattress to the streambank. The upstream end should be carefully checked to make sure flows are not getting behind the mattress.
Procedure for Brush Mattress

Willow collection, soaking and wattle construction should occur prior to excavation of the trench. See "How to Install".

Step One: Excavate Trench

Place willows in the trench, making sure the cut ends reach the bottom.

Step Two: Mattress Placement

Establish a grid of wedge-shaped wooden stakes and wire as shown.

After wiring the mattress, drive the stakes in further to compress the mattress against the streambank.

Step Three: Stake Placement and Wiring

Step Four: Mattress Compression

Place wattle in trench. Secure wattle with 2 wedge-shaped wooden stakes as shown.

The mattress and wattle should be partially covered with soil, making sure to work the soil into the voids. Leave parts of the blanket exposed for sprouting.

Step Five: Secure Wattle

Step Six: Backfill
Pole Plantings

Description and Use

Pole plantings are cuttings from willow (*Salix* spp.) or cottonwood (*Populus* spp.) used to revegetate eroding streambanks. These cuttings will sprout and take root, stabilizing the streambank with a dense matrix of roots.

How To Install

1. Collect willow or cottonwood cuttings from a local, native stand that is in healthy condition. Thin no more than 2/3 of each total plant. Willow cuttings for pole plantings should generally be at least 1/2 inch in diameter or larger, depending upon the species.

   Larger diameter cuttings have a greater supply of stored energy for rooting than smaller diameter cuttings. Bigger diameter and longer lengths are better suited for severely eroded areas and fluctuating water levels.

   Ideally, cuttings should be collected during the dormant season to ensure the highest success rate. Cuttings can be collected during the growing season if all the leaves are removed from the stem, although establishment success will be lowered. Spring plantings are generally more successful than fall plantings.

2. Prepare cuttings by trimming off the top to remove the terminal bud, allowing a majority of the energy in the stem to be sent to the lateral buds for rooting and sprouting.

3. The cuttings can be tied into bundles for ease of transportation to the site.

4. Soak the bundles for 5 to 7 days. Cutting length is determined by site conditions. The cutting should extend several inches into the permanent water table to ensure adequate moisture for sprouting. At least 1/2 to 2/3's of the cutting should be below ground to prevent the cutting from being ripped out during high flows. Usually, at least 2 to 3 feet should be below ground. It should also be long enough to emerge above adjacent vegetation such that it will not be shaded out.

5. Pole plantings are usually planted with a power auger or a punch bar. It is critical to ensure the soil is packed around the cutting to prevent air pockets. "Mudding" (filling the hole with water and then adding soil to make a mud slurry) can remove air pockets.

6. It is often advisable to plant at least two rows of cuttings to cover the range in fluctuating water levels. The location of the cuttings will depend on the specific situation and hydrograph. In some cases where information is limited, one row can be planted at the low flow line and the other at the high flow line. Offset the rows to get better coverage (see illustration).

Materials:

- willow or cottonwood cuttings
- poly twine
- chain saw or loppers (to harvest)
- auger or planting bar
- 1 person minimum
Pole Plantings

Inventory & Planning Considerations

1. Shrub willows such as coyote willow (*Salix exigua*) are used for planting within channel banks. Willow tree species and cottonwoods are normally planted along the upper bank and floodplain areas. Tree species usually provide more shade.

2. If this method is used in a highly erodible area, some protection will be required in front of the pole plantings. In particular, the toe of the slope is very susceptible to erosive flows and scour. Analysis and calculations of forces will provide guidance for suitable toe protection (refer to Chapter 3 of the Streambank Bioengineering Guide). In some cases, brush revetment or fiber rolls may be adequate (see other Technique Sheets), while other situations may require rock. If rock is used, careful application is required. Improperly placed rock can result in erosion problems on the opposite streambank as well as downstream.

3. As with all techniques, give careful attention to the upstream and downstream ends of the treatment area to prevent flows from getting behind the treatment. The key is to divert flows away from these endpoints. Tying into existing on site features such as trees, rocks, etc., or using brush revetment and rock barbs are some possible solutions.

4. It is important that the cuttings be placed in water immediately following harvesting if they are going to be planted during the next week. The cuttings can also be kept in cold storage (32 to 35° F) for up to 6 months. After removal from cold storage, soak the cuttings for 5 to 7 days prior to planting.

5. Rooting hormones and fertilizers do not significantly improved success compared to the cost of the materials.

6. Cuttings will often require initial protection from beaver. Fine wire screen or mesh can be secured around the cuttings to offer protection.

7. Never disturb the site unnecessarily. Remember the goal is to stabilize a site. The less it is disturbed, the easier it will be to restore.

Management

To ensure the highest success for the treated area, determine the land management practices that created the eroded streambanks and modify those practices as necessary.

If the area is grazed, restrict livestock from treated areas to allow the eroded section of streambank to heal. Exclosure fences are the most efficient means to accomplish this goal. Managers should resist the temptation to put the exclosure fences at the high water line. The exclosure areas should include enough of the riparian zone to allow the stream to shift naturally over time.

If the area is farmed, a riparian buffer strip should be established and maintained. A buffer strip on both sides of the stream should be set aside to allow for natural riparian vegetation and stream function. A wider buffer strip is strongly encouraged and will yield greater benefits.

Check with your local NRCS district conservationist for cost-share programs and volunteers for fencing, planting, and other restoration activities.

Finally, a stream is an interconnected system. Land use practices both upstream and downstream will affect the success of your bioengineering work. Talk with your neighbors and work together to create a healthier riparian and stream system that can benefit everyone.

Monitoring & Maintenance

Do not ignore the project after it has been installed. Periodic monitoring of the project will provide valuable insight into the stabilization process and may offer important information for future projects.

Replanting will probably be necessary to fill in areas where plantings did not grow. It is not uncommon to have some cuttings die due to highly variable water flows from year to year or from wildlife predation. Flood debris lodged around the cuttings should be removed to prevent shading and to allow growth.
Procedure for Pole Plantings

Step One: Harvest Willow Cuttings

Soak bundles for 5 to 7 days. Remove them from water before roots emerge.

Step Two: Create Willow Bundles

Final cutting length will vary (See "How to Install"). Punch bars or augers can be used for creating the holes.

Step Three: Soak Willow Bundles

Plant the pole such that the end of the cuttings extends into the water table. Above ground height varies (See "How to Install").

Step Four: Planting Preparation

The following is a good spacing pattern to cover the variables of a fluctuating water level.

Step Five: Pole Planting

Step Six: Pole Placement

Pole cuttings can be collected from large willows and cottonwoods.

Trim off all side and terminal branches

Tie cuttings into 8-12" diameter bundles using 2 pieces of twine to facilitate transportation.
**Post Plantings**

**Materials:**
- cottonwood or willow posts
- metal cap (for pushing in posts)
- chain saw
- stinger & backhoe
- 2 person minimum

**Description and Use**

Post plantings use large diameter cuttings from cottonwood (*Populus spp.*) or willow (*Salix spp.*) to revegetate eroding streambanks and reservoir and lake edges. By using a stinger, posts may be planted into existing rip-rap. A stinger is a large metal punch bar mounted on a backhoe. These cuttings will sprout and take root, thus stabilizing the streambank with a dense matrix of roots.

Instructions for building a stinger can be obtained from:

USDA-NRCS Plant Materials Center  
Box 296  
Aberdeen, ID 83210  
(208) 397-4133

**How To Install**

1. Harvest cottonwood or willow posts from a local, native stand that is in healthy condition. Harvest only a few posts from each plant. Posts should be collected during the dormant season to ensure the highest success rate. Posts can be collected during the growing season if all leaves are removed from the stem, although establishment success will be lowered. Spring plantings are generally more successful than fall plantings.

2. The posts should range in size from 3 to 6 inches in diameter and 5 to 7 feet in length. Larger diameter cuttings have a greater supply of stored energy for rooting than smaller diameter cuttings.

   Bigger diameters and longer length are better suited for severely eroded areas and fluctuating water levels. Prepare the posts by trimming off all side branches and the top. The bottom can be sharpened into a point to facilitate planting.

3. Soak the posts for 5 to 7 days prior to planting.

4. Take posts and stinger to project area. Punch holes with the stinger at 1 foot above the high waterline. This can be difficult to determine at reservoirs and streams with widely fluctuating water levels from year to year. In this case, ensure that the ends of the posts reach the low waterline at the time of planting if possible. The stinger should be removed slowly from the hole to prevent it from caving in.

5. As the stinger is removed from the hole, a person should be ready to insert a post into the hole immediately. A metal cap should then be placed over the post to protect the cutting as it is being pushed into the hole with the stinger.

6. Remove metal cap. Approximately 3 to 8 inches of the post should be left above ground. Posts can be planted every 3 to 4 feet with the realization that even with a good success rate, every post will not survive to maturity.
Post Plantings

Inventory & Planning Considerations

1. Successful application of this technique requires a good backhoe operator. Creating the holes and pushing in the posts without breaking them demands care and skill with the backhoe controls.

2. If this method is used in a highly erodible area that is not already rip-rapped, some protection should be placed in front of the post plantings. In particular, the toe of the slope is very susceptible to erosive flows and scour. Analysis and calculations of forces will provide guidance for suitable toe protection (refer to Chapter 3 Streambank Bioengineering Guide). In some cases, brush revetment or fiber rolls may be adequate (See other Technique Sheets), while other situations may require rock. If rock is used, careful application is required. Improperly placed rock can result in erosion problems on the opposite streambank as well as downstream.

3. As with all techniques, give careful attention to the upstream and downstream ends of the treatment area to ensure flows do not get behind the treatment. The key is to divert flows away from these endpoints. Tying into existing on site features such as trees, rocks, etc. or using brush revetment are some possible solutions.

4. It is important the posts be placed in water immediately following harvesting if they are going to be planted during the next week. The cuttings can also be kept in cold storage (32 to 35° F) for up to 6 months. After removal from cold storage, soak the cuttings for 5 to 7 days prior to planting.

5. Rooting hormones and fertilizers do not significantly improved success compared to the cost of the materials unless the bark is heavily furrowed.

6. Cuttings will often require initial protection from beaver. Fine wire screen or mesh can be secured around the cuttings to offer protection.

7. Never disturb the site unnecessarily. Remember the goal is to stabilize a site. The less it is disturbed, the easier it will be to restore.

Management

To ensure the highest success for treated area, determine the land management practices that created the eroded streambanks and modify those practices as necessary.

If the area is grazed, restrict livestock from treated areas to allow the eroded section of streambank to heal. Exclosure fences are the most efficient means to accomplish this goal. Managers should resist the temptation to put exclosure fences at the high water line. The exclosure areas should include enough of the riparian zone to allow the stream to shift naturally over time.

If the area is farmed, a riparian buffer strip should be established and maintained. A buffer strip on both sides of the stream should be set aside to allow for natural riparian vegetation and function. A wider buffer strip is strongly encouraged and will yield greater benefits.

Check with your local NRCS district conservationist for cost-share programs and volunteers for fencing, planting, and other restoration activities.

Finally, a stream is an interconnected system. Land use practices both upstream and downstream will affect the success of your bioengineering work. Talk with your neighbors and work together to create a healthier riparian and stream system that can benefit everyone.

Monitoring & Maintenance

Do not ignore the project after it has been installed. Periodic monitoring of the project will provide valuable insight into the stabilization process and may offer important information for future projects.

Replanting will probably be necessary to fill in areas where plantings did not grow. It is not uncommon to have some cuttings die due to highly variable water flows from year to year or from wildlife predation. Flood debris lodged around the posts should be removed to prevent shading and to allow growth.
Procedure for Post Plantings

**Step One: Harvest Posts**
Soak posts for 5 to 7 days. Remove them from the water before roots emerge.

**Step Two: Post Specifications**
Prepare posts by trimming off side branches. Posts may be longer based on site conditions.

**Step Three: Soak Posts**

**Step Four: Punch Hole**
Punch hole with stinger at average water line.

**Step Five: Post Placement**
Place a metal cap over the post, then push the post into the hole until 12 to 18 inches extend above the rip-rap.

**Step Six: Remove Metal Cap**
Brush or Tree Revetment

Materials:
- dead/live brush or trees such as junipers or hawthorns
- 10-12 gauge wire
- poly rope
- 7-1/2’ metal t-posts
- wire cutters
- post pounder
- chain saw (for cutting brush)
- 2 people minimum

Description and Use

Brush or trees are secured to the streambanks slow excessive erosion by diverting the current away from the bank edge’s. The revetment also traps sediment from the stream and sloughing streambank and provides overhead cover for fish habitat. The revetment material does not need to sprout (most species used will not). Always plant live willows or other quickly sprouting species behind the revetment to provide permanent cover and roots.

How To Install

1. Collect trees or brush and stage at treatment area. Use trees with dense branching such as junipers, because they will collect more sediment. Place the first tree with the stump pointing upstream at the top of the treatment area along the top of the bank. Overlap the next tree trunk into the main branches of the first one. Continue this process until a linear row of brush the length of the treatment area is created.

2. Secure the revetment together by tightly wiring at the overlap sections. Overlap by about 1/3 at each end. Wire main trunks together, leaving branches loose.

3. Pound temporary t-posts along the top of the streambank behind the revetment every 12 to 15 feet. At each post, tie an 8 to 10 foot section of rope to the revetment and wrap it around the post.

4. Pound a permanent t-post at the toe of the slope of the streambank at the upstream end of the treatment area. Lower the upper end of the revetment and secure it to the post in stream with wire.

5. Lever the revetment into the stream, while using the rope at each of the posts to control placement and to secure it temporarily. Continue the process until revetment is placed along the streambank.

6. Pound t-posts on the outside edge (stream side) of the revetment at overlap areas. Secure the revetment to posts with wire. Remove rope and temporary posts on the top of the streambank.

7. Fill in the space between the streambank and revetment with additional branches or wattles to form a dense matrix of brush.

8. (Optional) To enhance recovery of treated area, knock down the sloughing streambank on the revetment to create a more gentle streambank slope. Make sure the revetment has enough brush material to catch the soil. If not, add additional brush before shaping the bank. Willow cuttings or other quickly sprouting species should then be planted on the new slope using techniques such as willow wattles, brush mattress, vertical bundles, or willow pole plantings (see other Technique Sheets).

NOTE: Illustrated procedure is shown on page 3. Revetment can be constructed in the water by permanently installing one tree at a
Inventory & Planning Considerations

1. Installation of brush or tree revetment can usually be accomplished throughout the year. For safety reasons, avoid high water periods.

2. Typically, the trunks of the revetment should be placed between the annual low and high water levels. In areas of extreme fluctuation in water levels, it may be necessary to place a second row of revetment at the high water line in order to prevent scouring behind the revetment during flood events.

3. It is critical that the revetment extend upstream and downstream at least 1 to 3 tree lengths past the eroded area being treated to prevent flows from getting behind the revetment. Key the upstream and downstream ends of the revetment into the bank and reinforced with additional brush or rock. These endpoints are the sections most likely to fail and require substantial protection.

4. Never disturb the site unnecessarily. Remember that the goal is to stabilize a site. The less it is disturbed, the easier it will be to restore.

Management

To ensure the highest success for the treated areas, determine the land management practices that created the eroded streambanks and modify those land use practices as necessary.

If the area is grazed, restrict livestock from treated areas to allow the eroded section of streambank to heal. Exclosure fences are the most efficient means to accomplish this goal. Managers should resist the temptation to put exclosure fences at the high water line. The exclosure areas should include enough of the riparian zone to allow the stream to shift naturally over time.

If the area is farmed, a riparian buffer strip should be established and maintained. A buffer strip on both sides of the stream should be set aside to allow for natural riparian vegetation and stream function. A wider buffer strip is strongly encouraged and will yield greater benefits for the streambanks and the riparian area as a whole.

Check with your local NRCS district conservationist for cost-share programs, volunteers for fencing, planting, and other restoration activities.

Finally, a stream is an interconnected system. Land use practices both upstream and downstream will affect the success of your bioengineering work. Talk with your neighbors and work together to create a healthier riparian and stream system that will benefit everyone.

Monitoring & Maintenance

Do not ignore the project after it has been installed. Periodic monitoring of the project will provide valuable insight into the stabilization process and may offer important information for future projects.

Periodic maintenance for brush or tree revetment includes checking the revetment to ensure that the posts and wire are holding it in place. If significant erosion is still occurring in sections of the treated area, additional brush should be added to the revetment.
Procedure for Brush or Tree Revetment-Option A

Step One: Harvest & Stage Material
Overlap the trunk of one tree into the main branches of the next tree.

Step Two: Fastening Revetment
Secure the trees together at the main trunks using wire. Place t-posts along the revetment and secure rope from the posts to the revetment.

Step Three: Begin Placement
Lower revetment into stream and fasten end of revetment to a t-post placed at toe of bank.

Step Four: Final Placement
Lever the rest of the revetment into the stream, temporarily securing the revetment to the t-posts.

Step Five: Final T-post Placement
Pound t-posts next to the revetment and secure revetment to posts with wire.

Step Six: Optional Bank Shaping
Streambank can be knocked down on to the revetment. Slope should be seeded with grass and planted with willows.

The slope can also be treated with techniques like brush mattress. See other technique sheets.
Procedure for Brush or Tree Revetment-Option B

Step One: Placement of First Tree

Pound a t-post near the second tree and secure tree to post. Continue placement of trees and posts till area is treated.

Step Two: Placement of Second Tree

Streambank can be knocked down on to the revetment. Slope should be seeded with grass and planted with willows.

The slope can also be treated with techniques like brush mattress. See other technique sheets.

Step Three: Continue Placement

Pound a t-post at the downstream end and secure the trunk of the first tree to the post using wire.

Step Four: Optional Bank Shaping

Overlap the second tree onto the first tree so that no large gaps exist. Wire the trunks together.

How To Install

1. Harvest the trees for the revetment and stage near site. Pound a t-post at the downstream end of the site. Secure the first tree to the post with the trunk pointing upstream.

2. Place the second tree so the branches overlap the trunk of the first tree. The goal is to provide for a continuous row of dense branches to protect the streambank. Wire the main trunks together, leaving the branches loose. Pound in another t-post to secure the trunk of the second tree.

3. Continue the process of placing and securing trees until area is treated. Fill in the space between the bank and the revetment with branches to create a dense matrix of brush or willow wattles.

4. (Optional) To enhance recovery of the treated area, knock down the sloughing streambank on to the revetment to create a more gentle streambank slope. Plant willow cuttings on the new slope using techniques such as willow wattles, vertical bundles or willow pole plantings (see other Technique Sheets).
Brush Trench

Materials:
- willow cuttings
- clothesline cord or wire
- chain saw or loppers (to harvest willows)
- shovel or pick-ax
- 1 person minimum

Description and Use

This technique uses bundles of willow cuttings (Salix spp.) in a buried trench along the top of an eroding streambank. This willow "fence" filters runoff before it enters the stream and is a good method for alleviation of piping problems. The willow cuttings will sprout and take root, thus stabilizing the streambank with a dense matrix of roots. This technique should be used in combination with toe and mid-bank protection methods such as wattles, fiberschines, brush revetment, brush mattress, rock., etc. See other Technique sheets.

How To Install

1. Harvest willow cuttings from a local, native stand that is in healthy condition taking no more than 2/3 of each plant.

Cuttings should be at least 1/2 inch in diameter or larger to ensure an adequate supply of stored energy for rooting, but there should be a good mixture of various sizes. This ensures better entrapment of sediment which will promote better root growth.

Ideally, cuttings should be collected during the dormant season to ensure the highest success rate. Cuttings can be collected during the growing season if all the leaves are removed from the stem, although establishment success will be lowered.

2. The cuttings can be tied into bundles to facilitate transportation to the project site. The terminal bud should be removed so that stem energy will be rerouted to the lateral buds for more efficient root and stem sprouting.

3. Soak the bundles for 5 to 7 days prior to planting.

4. Install the toe protection and mid-bank treatments if needed prior to constructing the brush trench. Excavate a 3-4 inch wide trench at the top of the streambank. Locate the trench about 12 inches from the edge of the top of the streambank. The trench should be at least 18 inches in depth. A pick-ax with a broad blade is useful in excavating the trench. Place the excavated material on the upslope side of the trench.

5. Cut the twine on the bundles and place the cuttings in the trench. Make sure the cut ends reach the bottom of the trench. Spread the cuttings in the trench until the desired thickness is achieved. In general, the thicker and denser the cuttings are, the better the technique will work. A good rule of thumb is that one 8 to 12 inch diameter bundle can be spread out over 1 linear foot. The height of the cuttings above ground should extend above the competing ground vegetation.

6. Backfill the trenches with the excavated soil. To remove air pockets around the cuttings, water the soil when backfilling.
Brush Trench

Inventory & Planning Considerations

1. Coyote willow (*Salix exigua*) is a particularly good species for this method because of its dense root system. This technique can also be used with rediser dogwood (*Cornus spp.*). However, to encourage rooting with dogwoods, the stems need to be manually nicked or cut and treated with rooting hormone.

2. A critical inventory step is to determine the availability of moisture for the cuttings. Either the cuttings will have to reach the capillary fringe of the permanent water table or there will need to be sufficient overland runoff or bank seepage to sustain the willows.

3. Another critical step with this technique is to determine if toe protection is necessary. Analysis and calculations will provide some guidance (see Chapter 3 Streambank Bioengineering Guide). In some cases, brush revetment or fiberschines may be adequate (See other Technique Sheets), while other instances may require rock. In addition to the toe protection, a treatment for the mid-bank may also be needed.

5. Give careful attention to both endpoints of the treatment to prevent flows from getting behind the trench. Tying into existing features on site such as trees or rocks or utilizing additional brush or rock are some possible solutions.

6. Never disturb the site unnecessarily. Remember the goal is to stabilize a site. The less it is disturbed, the easier it will be to restore.

Management

To ensure the highest success for the treated area, determine the land management practices that created the eroded streambanks and modify those practices as necessary.

If the area is grazed, restrict livestock from treated areas to allow the eroded section of streambank to heal. Exclosure fences are the most efficient means to accomplish this goal. Managers should resist the temptation to put the exclosure fences at the high water line. The exclosure areas should include enough of the riparian zone to allow the stream to shift naturally over time.

If the area is farmed, a riparian buffer strip should be established and maintained. A buffer strip on both sides of the stream should be set aside to allow for natural riparian vegetation and stream function. A wider buffer strip is strongly encouraged and will yield greater benefits.

Check with your local NRCS district conservationist for cost-share programs and volunteers for fencing, planting, and other restoration activities.

Finally, a stream is an interconnected system and land use practices both upstream and downstream will affect the success of your bioengineering work. Talk with your neighbors and work together to create a healthier riparian and stream system that can benefit everyone.

Monitoring & Maintenance

Do not ignore the project after it has been installed. Periodic monitoring of the project will provide valuable insight into the stabilization process and may offer important information for future projects.

Periodic maintenance includes making sure the streambank is not eroding close to the side of the trench. It may be determined that some additional protection is necessary to allow more time for the cuttings to take root and stabilize the streambank.
Procedure for Brush Trench

**Step One: Harvest Willow Cuttings**
Soak bundles for 5 to 7 days. Remove them from water before roots emerge.

**Step Two: Willow Bundles**
Make sure the bottom of the trench reaches the capillary fringe of the permanent water table.

**Step Three: Soak Willow Bundles**
Cut twine and place the cuttings in trench. Spread cuttings for desired thickness.

**Step Four: Excavate Trench**
Backfill soil and water into the trench to remove air pockets.

**Step Five: Cutting Placement**

**Step Six: Backfill**
Vertical Bundles

Description and Use

This technique uses bundles of willow cuttings (*Salix* spp.) placed in vertical trenches along an eroding streambank. The willow cuttings will sprout and take root, thus stabilizing the streambank with a dense matrix of roots. Revetment and/or erosion control fabric should be used to protect the bundles until they have become established. This technique is good for areas with fluctuating water levels.

How To Install

1. Harvest willow cuttings from a local willow stand that is in healthy condition taking no more than 2/3 of each plant. Cuttings should be at least 1/2 inch in diameter or larger to ensure an adequate supply of stored energy for rooting, but there should be a good mixture of various sizes. This is to ensure better entrapment of sediment that will promote better root growth.

Cuttings should be collected during the dormant season to ensure the highest success rate. Cuttings can be collected during the growing season if all the leaves are removed from the stem, although establishment success will be lowered. Spring plantings are generally more successful than fall plantings.

2. The cuttings should be tied into bundles approximately 3 to 18 inches in diameter depending upon use and position with all the growing tips orientated up. The terminal bud should be removed so that stem energy will be re-routed to the lateral buds for more efficient root and stem sprouting.

3. The bundles should be soaked for 5 to 7 days.

4. Excavate a vertical trench with a slope of 2:1 or more in the streambank. Make sure the bottom of the trench will still be under water during low flows.

5. The trenches should be excavated approximately 3 foot centers to ensure adequate protection of the streambank and to encourage rapid growth to fill in between the bundles.

6. Place the bundles in the trenches with the cut ends in the water and then secure them to the bank with wooden stakes. In tight soil, spud links or rebar can be used instead of wooden stakes. Partially backfill around the bundles with soil.

7. It may be desirable to cover the bundles with some type of erosion control fabric to hold the soil in place. See “Erosion Control Fabric Technique” sheets.

8. Brush revetment or other suitable toe protection should also be installed to protect the toe of the bank from scour. Be sure to protect both the upstream and downstream ends of the treatment area to prevent flows from getting behind the bundles.

Materials:

- willow cuttings
- clothesline cord or wire
- chain saw or loppers (to harvest willows)
- shovel
- 1 person minimum
Vertical Bundles

Inventory & Planning Considerations

1. Coyote willow (*Salix exigua*) is a particularly good species for this method because of its dense root system. This technique can also be used with a mixture of redosier dogwood (*Cornus spp.*) and willow, but to encourage dogwood rooting, the stems will need to be manually nicked or cut and treated with rooting hormone.

2. Some protection should always be placed in front of the bundles. In particular, the toe of the slope is very susceptible to erosive flows and scour. Analysis and calculations of forces will provide guidance for suitable toe protection (see Chapter 3 Streambank Bioengineering Guide). Careful attention must be given to both endpoints of the treatment to prevent flows from getting behind the bundles. Tying into existing features on site, such as trees, rocks, etc. or utilizing additional brush revetment are some possible solutions.

Management

To ensure the highest success for the treated area, determine the land management practices that created the eroded streambanks and modify those practices as necessary.

If the area is grazed, restrict livestock from treated areas to allow the eroded section of streambank to heal. Enclosure fences are the most efficient means to accomplish this goal. Managers should resist the temptation to put the enclosure fences at the high water line. The enclosure areas should include enough of the riparian zone to allow the stream to shift naturally over time.

If the area is farmed, a riparian buffer strip should be established and maintained. A buffer strip on both sides of the stream should be set aside to allow for natural riparian vegetation and stream function. A wider buffer strip is strongly encouraged and will yield greater benefits.

Check with your local NRCS district conservationist for cost-share programs and volunteers for fencing, planting, other restoration activities.

Finally, a stream is an interconnected system and land use practices both upstream and downstream will affect the success of your bioengineering work. Talk with your neighbors and work together to create a healthier riparian and stream system that can benefit everyone.

Monitoring & Maintenance:

Do not ignore the project after it has been installed. Periodic monitoring of the project will provide valuable insight into the stabilization process and may offer important information for future projects.

Periodic maintenance includes making sure the bundles are secured to the streambank and that some soil cover remains on the bundle. Additional plantings may be necessary between the vertical bundles to speed up the rate of vegetative establishment and spread.
**Procedure for Vertical Bundles**

**Step One: Acquire Willow Cuttings**
Harvest and tie cuttings into 3 to 18" diameter bundles depending upon application using 2 pieces of twine.

Soak the bundles for 5 to 7 days. Remove them from the water before roots emerge.

**Step Two: Excavate Trench**
Excavate a trench with a slope of 2H:1V.

Excavate trenches on 3 to 5 foot centers.

Secure bundles to trench with stakes and partially backfill. Make sure cut ends are in the water.

**Step Three: Horizontal Spacing**

**Option 1**: Secure erosion control fabric over the bundles. See "Erosion Control Fabric Technique Sheet". Stake on both sides of bundle to secure fabric.

**Final Step: Fabric Placement**

**Step Four: Bundle Placement**

**Option 2**: Secure brush revetment or other suitable toe protection at the toe of the slope.

**Final Step: Revetment Placement**
Willow Wattles or Fascines

Materials:
- willow cuttings
- clothesline cord or wire
- wood stakes
- chain saw or loppers (to harvest willows)
- shovel
- 1 person minimum

Description and Use

Willow wattles (*Salix* spp.) or live fascines are cigar or sausage-like bundles of live cuttings tied together and inserted into a shallow trench dug into the streambank. The willow bundles will sprout and take root, thus stabilizing the streambank with a dense matrix of roots. This is a good technique to break up slope length and minimize erosion.

How To Install

1. Harvest willow cuttings from a local stand that is in healthy condition taking no more than 2/3 of each plant.

Cuttings should be at least a 1/2 inch diameter or larger to ensure an adequate supply of stored energy for rooting, but there should be a good mixture of various sizes. This is to ensure better entrapment of sediment that will promote better root growth. Ideally cuttings should be collected during the dormant season to ensure the highest success rate. Cuttings can be collected during the growing season if all the leaves are removed from the stem, although establishment success will be lowered.

2. The cuttings can be tied into bundles to facilitate transportation to the project site. The terminal bud should be removed so that energy will be re-routed to the lateral buds for more efficient root and stem sprouting.

3. Soak the bundles for 5 to 7 days before planting.

4. After soaking, the bundles should be laid out in one, long sausage-shaped bundle with the cut ends placed in alternating directions. The bundle should be tied every 18 inches.

5. Excavate a horizontal trench 2/3 the diameter of the wattle in the streambank at approximately the low flow line.

6. Place the wattle in the trench and stake every 3 to 4 feet with 24 to 42 inch wedge-shaped wooden stakes. Stake length will depend on soil conditions. Place stakes on both sides of the wattle and wire across the bundle. Backfill around the wattle by knocking the top of the bank on to the wattle, making sure to work soil into the branches.

Often a second wattle is placed up the bank behind the first wattle. If the streambank consists of saturated soils for most of the growing season, a series of wattles can be established up the streambank. However, in the arid and semi-arid regions, there is normally not enough moisture near the surface to establish several layers of wattles. Pole plantings might be a good option behind the initial wattle since the poles will reach the permanent watertable. It should also be noted that some additional toe protection such as rock may be necessary for this technique.
Willow Wattles or Fascines

Inventory & Planning Considerations

1. Coyote willow (Salix exigua) is a particularly good species for this method because of its' dense root system. This technique can also be used with a mixture of redosier dogwood (Cornus spp) and willows. To encourage rooting in the dogwood, the stems need to be manually nicked or cut and treated with rooting hormone.

2. If this method is used in a highly erodible area, some protection should be placed in front of the wattles to prevent scour. Analysis and calculations of forces will provide guidance for suitable toe protection (see Chapter 3 Streambank Bioengineering Guide). In some cases, brush revetment or fiberschines may be adequate (See other Technique Sheets), while other situations may require rock. If no other protection is used, the wattle should be 12 to 24 inches in diameter.

3. Another variation of this technique is to cover the wattles with erosion control fabric to prevent the soil from being washed away from the wattles. Secure the fabric under the first wattle. Poles can be planted into the permanent water table between the wattles. The following illustration also shows the use of a rock toe to prevent scour.

   **WATTLES WITH FABRIC AND POLE PLANTINGS**

4. Rooting hormones and fertilizers do not significantly improved success for the cost of the materials.

5. Never disturb the site unnecessarily. Remember the goal is to stabilize a site. The less it is

Management

To ensure the highest success for the treated area, determine the land management practices that created the eroded streambanks and modify those practices as necessary.

If the area is grazed, restrict livestock from treated areas to allow the eroded section of streambank to heal. Exclosure fences are the most efficient means to accomplish this goal. Managers should resist the temptation to put the exclusion fences at the high water line. The exclusion areas should include enough of the riparian zone to allow the stream to shift naturally over time.

If the area is farmed, a riparian buffer strip should be established and maintained. A buffer strip on both sides of the stream should be set aside to allow for natural riparian vegetation and stream function. A wider buffer strip is strongly encouraged and will yield greater benefits.

Check with your local NRCS district conservationist for cost-share programs and volunteers for fencing, planting, and other restoration activities.

Finally, a stream is an interconnected system and land use practices both upstream and downstream will affect the success of your bioengineering work. Talk with your neighbors and work together to create a healthier riparian and stream system that can benefit everyone.

Monitoring & Maintenance

Do not ignore the project after it has been installed. Periodic monitoring of the project will provide valuable insight into the stabilization process and may offer important information for future projects.

Periodic maintenance includes making sure the wattle is secured to the streambank and that some soil cover remains on the wattle. Additional plantings may be necessary to speed up the rate of vegetative establishment and spread.
Procedure for Willow Wattles or Fascines

Step One: Harvest Willow Cuttings
Soak bundles for 5 to 7 days. Remove them from water before roots emerge.

Step Two: Create Willow Bundles
Build one long sausage-shaped bundle with the cut ends alternating directions. The bundle should be tied every 18 inches.

Step Three: Soak Willow Bundles

Step Four: Build Wattle
Place the wattle in the trench and stake with wedge-shaped stakes. Backfill around the wattle by knocking the top of the bank onto the wattle. Leave some of the branches exposed to sprout.

Step Five: Excavate Trench

Step Six: Place Wattle

Tie cuttings into bundles using 2 pieces of poly twine for ease of transportation.
The Practical Streambank Bioengineering Guide

Appendix B
Plant Datasheets and Illustrations

Fremont Cottonwood
*Populus fremontii*
Herbaceous Species
Plant Data Sheets

Threesquare Bulrush
*Scirpus pungens*
**Water Sedge  Carex aquatilis**

**Characteristics**

**Habit:**
A short to medium-sized perennial, 10 to 40 inches in height. Rhizomatous, usually occurring in large stands or turf.

**Stems:**
Slender and triangular.

**Leaves:**
Alternate, nearly flat and green.

**Spikes:**
Borne on spikes, usually 1 male spike above the 2 or 3 female spikes, all sessile.
Flowering period: June to August.

**Habitat**

**Distribution:**
A fairly common species that can be found at elevations from 5,000 to 10,000 feet. Normally occurs at higher elevations than Nebraska sedge. Often occurs with blue-joint reedgrass and beaked sedge.

**Community:**
Pond and stream edges, marshes, and wet meadows. Grows on a range of moist soils from loams to silt. Will grow on gravel/sand soils at low elevations.

**Hydrology:**
Usually found in areas with water depths of 1 to 3 inches season-long. Will decline in water that is 25 in deep. Needs moisture within 8 in of the soil surface.

**Wildlife Benefits**

**Food (seeds, rhizomes):**
Waterfowl, upland game birds, and songbirds.

**Food (plants):**
Livestock and other grazers. Fair to good palatability and high production.

**Cover:**
Nesting waterfowl and small mammal cover.

**Comments**

Moderate to good tolerance for acidic, saline, and alkaline soils. Biomass below ground is 4x the above ground growth. Can withstand fire without much damage because of the high watertable.
Propagating and Planting

**Fruits:**
Achenes are oval, tan to brown and approximately 1/16 inch long. Collect by cutting the seedheads with a clipper. A 1/2 to 3/4 grocery bag of bulk material will yield about 1 to 2 cups of seed.

**Propagation:**
Remove periygia with sandpaper box. Wet prechill seed for 30 days in a mixture of distilled water and sphagnum moss in a cooler (34 to 38°C). Sprinkle seed on top of soil and press in to ensure seed to soil contact. Keep moist during germination. Plants should be ready for outplanting in 2 to 3 months.

**Transplanting:**
This species has a slow rate of spread.

Suggested spacing:
For uniform ground cover

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Plant at:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>in 1 yr.</td>
<td>0.5 ft. o.c.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>in 2 yrs.</td>
<td>1 ft. o.c.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>in 3 yrs.</td>
<td>2 ft. o.c.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Characteristics

**Habit:**
A short to medium-sized robust perennial, 8 to 40 inches in height. Rhizomatous, usually occurring in dense, multispecies stands.

**Stems:**
Stout and triangular.

**Leaves:**
Flat, alternate, triangular, and ranging in color from green to blue.

**Spikes:**
Borne on spikes, sessile, usually with 1-2 male spikes above the 2-5 female spikes. Flowering period: May to August.

### Habitat

**Distribution:**
A common species that can be found at elevations from 3,500 to 10,000 feet.

**Community:**
Pond and stream edges, marshes, wet meadows, seeps, and ditches. Grows on a range of moist, fresh, fine textured soils. Generally does not establish well on gravel or clay soils.

**Hydrology:**
Usually found in areas with season-long saturated soils, although tolerant of a range of hydrologic regimes. It can tolerate total inundation for up to 3 months.

### Wildlife Benefits

**Food (seeds, rhizomes):**
Waterfowl, upland game birds, small mammals and songbirds.

**Food (plants):**
Muskrats, geese, livestock and other grazers. Palitability and production are high.

**Cover:**
Nesting waterfowl and small mammal cover.

### Comments

Tolerates alkaline soils. Excellent soil stabilizer. Forms dense deep root system with root biomasses up to 3000 g/m² in top 20 cm of soil. Used by native americans for mats, bedding, and food.
Nebraska Sedge - *Carex nebrascensis*

**Propagation and Planting**

**Fruits:**
Achenes are lenticular, tan to brown and approximately 1/16 of an inch long. Collect by cutting the seedheads with a clipper. A 1/2 to 3/4 grocery bag of bulk material will yield about 1 to 2 cups of seed.

**Propagation:**
Remove perigynia with sandpaper box. Wet prechill seeds for 30 days in a mixture of distilled water and sphagnum moss in a cooler (34 to 38°F). Sprinkle seeds on top of soils and press in to ensure seed to soil contact. Keep moist during germination. Plants should be ready for outplanting in 2 to 3 months.

**Transplanting:**
This species has a rapid rate of spread.

Suggested spacing:
For uniform ground cover

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Spacing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 yr.</td>
<td>0.5 ft. o.c.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 yrs.</td>
<td>1 ft. o.c.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 yrs.</td>
<td>2 ft. o.c.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Seed

10x

1x
# Beaked Sedge - *Carex utriculata*

**Synonym:** *Carex rostrata*

## Characteristics

**Habit:**
A medium-sized perennial, 10 to 50 inches in height. Rhizomatous, usually occurring in large, dense monotypic stands.

**Stems:**
Slender to stout and triangular.

**Leaves:**
Alternate, long, nearly flat, and yellowish green in color.

**Spikes:**
Borne on spikes, usually 2 or 3 male spikes above the 2 or 3 female spikes. Flowering period: June to August.

## Habitat

**Distribution:**
A fairly common species that can be found at elevations from 5,000 to 10,000 feet. Normally occurs at higher elevations than Nebraska sedge.

**Community:**
Pond and stream edges, marshes, wet meadows, and springs. Grows on a range of moist soils from loams to silt. Does not occur on gravel/sand or clay soils.

**Hydrology:**
Generally found in areas with season-long saturated soils, tolerates a range of hydrologic regimes. Can grow in water that is 15-30 in. deep.

## Wildlife Benefits

**Food (seeds, rhizomes):**
Waterfowl, upland game birds, small mammals, and songbirds.

**Food (plants):**
Big game and other grazers early in the season. Protein levels are low to moderate.

**Cover:**
Nesting waterfowl and small mammal cover.

## Comments

Moderate tolerance for acidic and alkaline soils. Can spread by fragmentation. Rhizomes and roots are 2-5x the biomass of the above ground growth. Excellent plant for creating overhanging banks on streams. Difficult to burn.
Propagation and Planting

**Fruits:**
Achenes are triangular, tan to brown and approximately 1/8 inch long. Collect by cutting the seedheads with a clipper. A 1/2 to 3/4 grocery bag of bulk material will yield about 1 to 2 cups of seed.

**Propagation:**
Remove perigynia with sandpaper box. Wet prechill seed for 30 days in a mixture of distilled water and sphagnum moss in a cooler (34 to 38°F). Sprinkle seeds on top of soil and press in to ensure seed to soil contact. Keep moist during germination. Plants should be ready for outplanting in 2 to 3 months.

**Transplanting:**
This species has a medium rate of spread.

Suggested spacing:
For uniform ground cover

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Plant at</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>in 1 yr.</td>
<td>0.5 ft. o.c.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>in 2 yrs.</td>
<td>1 ft. o.c.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>in 3 yrs.</td>
<td>2 ft. o.c.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Characteristics**

**Habit:**
An erect perennial, 4 to 40 inches in height. Rhizomatous, usually occurring in monotypic stands.

**Stems:**
Dark green, oval.

**Leaves:**
Small, with reddish basal sheath.

**Spikes:**
Solitary terminal spikelets, 0.25 to 0.75 inches long. Perfect flowers (contain both female and male parts). Flowering period: June to August.

---

**Habitat**

**Distribution:**
Very common species that can be found at elevations from 3,000 to 10,000 feet. Widespread over central and northern US.

**Community:**
Wet meadows, pond, and stream edges, lake basins, and stream margins. Grows on a range of moist, fine textured soils. Uncommon on gravel or clay soils.

**Hydrology:**
Generally found in areas with season-long saturated soils or standing water up to 6 inches deep, although it will tolerate long periods of flooding.

---

**Wildlife Benefits**

**Food (seeds, rhizomes):**
Ducks and geese.

**Food (plants):**
Rabbits, muskrats, big game, and other grazers. High spring protein content.

**Cover:**
Small mammal, nesting waterfowl, and songbird cover.

---

**Comments**

Excellent tolerance for alkaline and saline soils. Can fix atmospheric nitrogen. Good around troughs and ponds because it resists trampling.
Creeping Spikerush - *Eleocharis palustris*

**Propagation and Planting**

**Fruits:**
Achenes are flattened and approximately 1/16 inch long including the tubercle. Collect by cutting the tops with a grass clipper. A grocery bag of spikes will produce about 2/3 to 1 cup of seed.

**Propagation:**
Wet prechill seeds for 30 days in a mixture of distilled water and sphagnum moss in a cooler (34 to 38°F). Sprinkle seed on top of soil and press in to ensure seed to soil contact. Keep moist during germination. Plants should be ready for outplanting in 2 to 3 months.

**Transplanting:**
This is an excellent species for transplanting because of its dense rhizomes and high growth rate.

**Suggested spacing:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>For uniform ground cover</th>
<th>Plant at:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>in 1 yr.</td>
<td>1 ft. o.c.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>in 2 yrs.</td>
<td>2 ft. o.c.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>in 3 yrs.</td>
<td>3 ft. o.c.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

spikelet
4x

seed
15x
### Baltic Rush - *Juncus balticus*

#### Characteristics

**Habit:**
A medium-sized perennial, 18 to 30 inches in height. Rhizomatous, usually occurring in large, dense monotypic stands.

**Stems:**
Dark green, round and either straight or wiry.

**Leaves:**
Short, linear basal sheaths, very insignificant.

**Panicle:**
Loose to compact, sessile lateral panicles. Seeds held in a capsule. Flowering period: May to September.

#### Habitat

**Distribution:**
A very common species that can be found at elevations from 3,000 to 10,000 feet throughout the US.

**Community:**
Pond and stream edges, marshes, wet meadows, wet depressions, and springs. Grows on a range of moist soils from sand to silt, uncommon on very coarse substrates.

**Hydrology:**
Generally found in areas with season-long saturated soils. Will tolerate wide variety of flooding or drought conditions. Can survive water tables 3 m or more below the surface.

#### Wildlife Benefits

**Food (seeds, rhizomes):**
Waterfowl, upland game birds, small mammals, and songbirds.

**Food (plants):**
Waterfowl, big game and other grazers (early spring). Palatability declines over the season.

**Cover:**
Waterfowl, songbirds, and small mammal cover.

#### Comments

Good tolerance for alkaline and acidic soils. Excellent soil stabilizer with deep roots. Can be used around water troughs and ponds to decrease effects of trampling. Native Americans use it for mats and weaving baskets.
Baltic Rush - *Juncus balticus*

**Propagation and Planting**

**Fruits:**
Seeds range in color from gray to reddish or brown. Very, very small seeds. Collect by cutting the seedheads with a clipper. Care must be taken since the seeds are so small; many may be lost in the folds of paper bags.

**Propagation:**
Wet prechilling is not necessary for this species. Soak seeds in water for 3-5 days before seeding. Sprinkle seeds on top of soil and press in to ensure seed to soil contact. Keep moist during germination. Plants should be ready for outplanting in 2 to 3 months.

**Transplanting:**
This species has a rapid rate of spread.

*Suggested spacing:*
For uniform ground cover  
Plant at:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Spacing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>in 1 yr.</td>
<td>0.5 ft. o.c.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>in 2 yrs.</td>
<td>1 ft. o.c.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>in 3 yrs.</td>
<td>2 ft. o.c.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Capsule*  
6x

*Seed*  
30x
### Hardstem Bulrush - *Scirpus acutus*

**Synonym:** *Schoenoplectus acutus var. acutus*

#### Characteristics

**Habit:**
A tall, stout perennial, up to 9 feet in height. Rhizomatous, usually occurring in large, monotypic stands.

**Stems:**
Round and pithy, up to 2 inches in diameter at the base.

**Leaves:**
Short, linear basal sheaths, very insignificant.

**Spike:**
Terminal spike with up to 50 or more spikelets on a short pedicel. Flowering period: June to mid-August.

#### Habitat

**Distribution:**
Very common species that can be found at elevations from 3,000 to 9,000 feet. Widespread in the US, most common in the western US.

**Community:**
Pond and stream edges, marshes, and lake margins. Often occurs with cattails. Grows on moist silty soils. Usually not found on gravel/sand.

**Hydrology:**
Generally found in areas of standing water. Can tolerate water up to 5 feet deep. Requires standing or slow moving water.

#### Wildlife Benefits

**Food (seeds, rhizomes):**
Waterfowl, upland game birds, songbirds, beaver, and muskrats. It is a very important plant for Western Grebes.

**Food (plants):**
Muskrats.

**Cover:**
Nesting waterfowl, fish, and small mammal cover.

#### Comments

Excellent tolerance for alkaline, brackish, and saline soils. A very important wildlife species. Will recover readily after fire. Excellent protection from wave action.
**Propagation and Planting**

**Fruits:**
Achenes are lenticular, light green to dark brown and approximately 1/8 inch long. Collect by cutting the seedheads with a clipper. A grocery bag of bulk material will yield about 1 to 2 cups of seed.

**Propagation:**
Wet prechill seed for 30 days in a mixture of distilled water and sphagnum moss in a cooler (34 to 38°F). Sprinkle seed on top of soils and press in to ensure seed to soil contact. Keep moist during germination. Plants should be ready for outplanting in 2 months.

**Transplanting:**
This species has a rapid rate of spread.

Suggested spacing:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>For uniform ground cover</th>
<th>Plant at:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>in 1 yr.</td>
<td>1 ft. o.c.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>in 2 yrs.</td>
<td>2 ft. o.c.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>in 3 yrs.</td>
<td>3 ft. o.c.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

![Seed image]
Alkali Bulrush — *Scirpus maritimus*

**Synonym:** *Bolboschoenus maritimus*

### Characteristics

**Habit:**
A medium-sized, stout perennial, 8 to 60 inches in height. Rhizomatous, usually occurring in large stands with few other species.

**Stems:**
Sharply triangular often with concave sides.

**Leaves:**
Usually several well developed leaves that are borne below the midpoint of the stem.

**Spikelets:**
Terminal spikelets that are quite compact with 1-3 involucral bracts. Flowering period: July to September.

### Habitat

**Distribution:**
A common species that can be found at elevations from 3,000 to 8,000 feet. Widespread in the Northern Hemisphere.

**Community:**
Marshes, wet meadows, and pond edges. Often occurs with inland saltgrass. Grows on moist soils from fine clays to silty loams to sands.

**Hydrology:**
Generally found in areas with season-long saturated soils or standing water up to 3 ft deep. Can survive where the water table drops to 3 ft below the surface.

### Wildlife Benefits

**Food (seeds, rhizomes):**
Waterfowl, upland game birds, and songbirds.

**Food (plants):**
Muskrats and beaver. Grazers rarely use this species.

**Cover:**
Waterfowl, deer, and small mammal cover. Can provide fish spawning and rearing areas.

### Comments

High tolerance for alkaline and saline soils. Small bracts in the seedheads will cause severe irritation to hands and eyes when handling. A pioneering species that will be replaced by other species under good soil and water conditions.
Alkali Bulrush - *Scirpus maritimus*

**Propagation and Planting**

**Fruits:**
Achenes are tan to greenish brown and approximately 1/8 inch long. Collect by cutting the seedheads with a clipper, although care should be taken because of the sharp edges on the leaves and stems. A grocery bag of bulk material will yield about 2 cups of seed.

**Propagation:**
Wet prechill seed for 30 days in a mixture of distilled water and sphagnum moss in a cooler (34 to 38°F). Sprinkle seed on top of soils and press in to ensure seed to soil contact. Keep moist during germination. Plants should be ready for outplanting in 2 months.

**Transplanting:**
This species has a medium rate of spread.

Suggested spacing:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>For uniform ground cover</th>
<th>Plant at:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>in 1 yr.</td>
<td>0.5 ft. o.c.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>in 2 yrs.</td>
<td>1 ft. o.c.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>in 3 yrs.</td>
<td>2 ft. o.c.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

10x seed
### Threesquare Bulrush — *Scirpus pungens*

**Synonym:** *Schoenoplectus pungens*

#### Characteristics

**Habit:**
A medium-sized, erect perennial, 6 to 40 inches in height. Rhizomatous, usually occurring in large, monotypic stands.

**Stems:**
Upright, triangular with flat sides, rarely concave.

**Leaves:**
Borne near the base.

**Spikelets:**
Lateral cluster of 1-6 sessile spikelets. Subtended by an involucral bract that appears to be a continuation of the stem. Flowering period: June to September.

#### Habitat

**Distribution:**
Very common species that can be found at elevations from 3,000 to 6,500 feet. Common throughout the US.

**Community:**
Pond and stream edges, marshes, and lake fringes. Prefers fine silty clay loams, but grows on sandy-loam, uncommon on gravel/sand.

**Hydrology:**
Generally found in areas with season-long saturated soils or standing water up to 6 inches deep. It will tolerate long periods of drought.

#### Wildlife Benefits

**Food (seeds, rhizomes):**
Muskrats, geese, and other waterfowl.

**Food (plants):**
Grazers will use early growth. Palatability and production is low.

**Cover:**
Waterfowl, muskrats, and small mammal cover.

#### Comments

Excellent tolerance for saline and alkaline soils. Germination is primarily by seed. Will spread readily by rhizomes.
Threesquare Bulrush - *Scirpus pungens*

**Propagation and Planting**

**Fruits:**
Achenes are dark green to brown and approximately 1/16-1/8 inch long with slender beaks. Collect by cutting the seedheads with a clipper. A grocery bag of bulk material will yield about 1/2 to 2/3 cup of seed.

**Propagation:**
This is a difficult species to propagate from seed, thus transplanting may be the best option.

**Transplanting:**
This species has a medium to rapid rate of spread.

**Suggested spacing:**
For uniform ground cover  
Plant at:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plant at:</th>
<th>1 ft. o.c.</th>
<th>2 ft. o.c.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>in 1 yr.</td>
<td>1 ft. o.c.</td>
<td>2 ft. o.c.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>in 2 yrs.</td>
<td>1 ft. o.c.</td>
<td>2 ft. o.c.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

Seed
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Scale: 2x
### Common Cattail - *Typha latifolia*

#### Characteristics

**Habit:**
A tall, stout perennial, up to 6 feet in height. Rhizomatous, usually occurring in large, monotypic stands.

**Stems:**
Round and pithy, covered for much of the length by overlapping leaf sheaths.

**Leaves:**
Flat, ascending, and alternate.

**Flowers:**
Small on large, dense, brown terminal spikelets, male spike above and separate from the female spike. Flowering period: June to August.

#### Habitat

**Distribution:**
Very common species that can be found at elevations from 3,000 to 7,000 feet.

**Community:**
Marshes and pond edges. Often found with hardstem bulrush. Grows on a range of moist soils from gravel to clay, uncommon on heavy clay soils.

**Hydrology:**
Generally found in areas with season-long saturated soils or in standing or slow moving water up to 12 inches deep. It will tolerate long periods of flooding or drought.

#### Wildlife Benefits

**Food (seeds, rhizomes):**
Waterfowl and muskrats.

**Food (plants):**
Muskrats

**Cover:**
Waterfowl and small mammal cover.

#### Comments

High tolerance for saline soils. Excellent uptake capabilities of nutrients and heavy metals. Can be very invasive.
Common Cattail - *Typha latifolia*

**Propagation and Planting**

**Seeds:**
Nutlets are approximately 1/32-1/16 inch long with many long slender hairs that arise from the base. Collect by cutting the spikes with a clipper.

**Propagation:**
Wet prechill seed for 30 days in a mixture of distilled water and sphagnum moss in a cooler (34 to 38°F). Sprinkle seed on top of soil and press in to ensure seed to soil contact. Keep moist during germination. Plants should be ready for outplanting in 2 months.

**Transplanting:**
This is a good species for transplanting methods due to its dense rhizomes and high growth rate.

Suggested spacing:
For uniform ground cover

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plant at:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>in 1 yr.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>in 2 yrs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>in 3 yrs.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Planeleaf Willow
Salix planifolia
Narrowleaf Cottonwood - *Populus angustifolia*

**Characteristics**

**Habit:**
Medium-sized tree with narrow, rounded crown, up to 60 feet in height with trunk diameters from 1 to 2 feet.

**Twigs/Bark:**
Bark is shallowly fissured with broad, flat ridges. Bark on upper branches is whitish, becoming slightly darker with age.

**Leaves:**
Leaves are eglandular, lance shaped, broadest near the middle, tapering to a pointed tip with finely toothed margins, and bright yellowish green with a flattened leaf stalk.

**Habitat**

**Distribution:**
Throughout the region - 4,000 to 7,000 ft.

**Community:**
Usually occurs with redosier dogwood and alder. Occupies coarse, cobbly soils that flood frequently. Generally prefers wetter sites.

**Growth:**
Has good growth rate.

**Propagation**
Roots easily from hardwood cuttings. Use smooth bark sections.
**Fremont Cottonwood** – *Populus fremontii*

**Characteristics**

**Habit:**
Medium to tall tree with broad, open crown, usually 50 to 75 feet in height with trunk diameters of 1 to 4 feet.

**Twigs/Bark:**
Bark is thick, rough, dark gray-brown and splitting with age.

**Leaves:**
Triangular shaped leaf with broad base. Very irregularly and coarsely toothed. Thick, shiny, green, without glands. The leaf stalk is flattened.

**Habitat**

**Distribution:**
From 2,000 to 6,500 feet, mostly in the southern portion of the region.

**Community:**
Usually occurs with coyote willow. Prefers gravel to sandy soils that flood periodically.

**Growth:**
Good growth rate. Seems to do well in slightly saline areas.

**Propagation**
Will root from hardwood cuttings with medium ease.
Black Cottonwood – *Populus trichocarpa*

**Characteristics**

**Habit:**
Very tall tree with narrow, rounded, open to pointed crown, up to 160 feet in height. Trunk diameters from 2 to 5 feet.

**Twigs/Bark:**
Twigs are yellow-gray. Old bark is thick, grayish-brown, and deeply furrowed.

**Leaves:**
Alternate, smooth, thick, hairless, wedge shaped, and finely round-toothed. Dark green above and silvery with rust colored spots beneath. Leaf stalks are round; a pair of glands are at the base of the leaf.

**Habitat**

**Distribution:**
Common from 3,000 to 5,000 feet, more common in the northern part of the region.

**Community:**
Occurs with whiplash and yellow willow, grows well on coarse soils that are flooded, i.e. floodplains.

**Growth:**
Good growth rate.

**Propagation**
Roots easily along entire stem. Use smooth bark sections rather than older,
Peachleaf Willow - *Salix amygdaloides*

**Characteristics**

**Habit:**
Tree sometimes up to 90 feet in height with trunks 3 feet in diameter. Often smaller, occurring in clumps and having 1 1/2 to 2 feet diameter trunks.

**Twigs/Bark:**
Twigs are smooth, shiny gray to red-brown to orange. Bark is grayish brown, shallowly furrowed, and shaggy.

**Leaves:**
Alternate, simple, lanceolate, finely toothed, somewhat leathery, yellowish green above and pale beneath without any glands or hairs on the petiole.

**Habitat**

**Distribution:**
Throughout the region, primarily at low elevations from 2,000 to 6,000 feet.

**Community:**
Associated with cottonwoods and coyote willow. Often on loamy soils that are saturated seasonally.

**Growth:**
Tends to have a low growth rate.

**Propagation**
Good rooting ability, roots up and down the entire stem. Use smooth bark sections rather than deep furrowed sections.
Characteristics

Habit:
Shrub to small tree, 10 to 30 feet in height with main stems up to 8 inches in diameter.

Twigs/Bark:
Short, reddish brown branches with long hairs.

Leaves:
Leaves narrower at the base and slightly wider at the tip, generally tapering at both ends, dull green above and pale with a waxy bloom beneath, and sparsely hairy. Margin is either entire or coarsely toothed.

Habitat

Distribution:
Common from 3,300 to 7,900 feet, rarely dominant.

Community:
Usually found with aspen and black cottonwood. At low elevations, it grows in moist soils while at higher elevations it will grow in coarser, well drained soils.

Growth:
Tends to have a low growth rate.

Propagation

Difficult to propagate.
Characteristics

**Habit:**
Many branched shrub with a rounded top. Generally reaches 6 to 10 (20) feet in height

**Twigs/Bark:**
Numerous basal stems less than 2 inches in diameter, usually with bright yellow bark.

**Leaves:**
Green on both sides and slightly toothed. Distinctive feature: Leaves lack a waxy bloom and have few if any hairs.

Habitat

**Distribution:**
Most common willow from 4,500 to 8,000 feet.

**Community:**
Usually found with geyer and drummond willow. Most common on wet, coarse soil. Does grow on fine-textured soils.

**Growth:**
Good growth rate.

**Propagation**
Roots well from hardwood cuttings, easy to propagate.
Barrenground Willow - *Salix brachycarpa*

**Characteristics**

**Habit:**
Small rounded, compact shrub up to 3 feet in height.

**Twigs/Bark:**
Reddish under a dense covering of hairs.

**Leaves:**
Small, oval-shaped with entire margins. Older leaves with fine wooly hairs on both sides.

**Habitat**

**Distribution:**
Throughout the region from 4,500 to sometimes as high as 9,000 ft.

**Community:**
Occurs on a wide range of sites and soils. Needs wetter sites at lower elevations than at higher elevations. Can tolerate saline conditions.

**Growth:**
Slow to moderate growth.

**Propagation**
Roots along entire stem, moderate to good rooting.
**Drummond Willow - *Salix drummondiana***

### Characteristics

**Habit:**
Shrub with open growth form, up to 6 to 12 feet in height.

**Twigs/Bark:**
Green to reddish purple twigs that are covered with a whitish waxy bloom.

**Leaves:**
Leaves narrow at the base, widening out at the middle and rounded at the apex. Dark green on top. Underside has a pubescence that appears to be a waxy bloom, but won't rub off. Edges of the leaves are rolled under.

### Habitat

**Distribution:**
Throughout region from 4,500 to 9,000 ft, abundant at higher elevations.

**Community:**
Usually associated with Engelmann spruce and subalpine fir on coarse textured soils that are moist and well aerated.

**Growth:**
Moderate growth rate.

**Propagation**
Roots along entire stem with good to excellent rooting.
**Coyote Willow - *Salix exigua***

### Characteristics

**Habit:**
Shrub 3 to 15 (27) feet in height, thicket forming with numerous slender stems.

**Twigs/Bark:**
New twigs are reddish brown turning to ashy gray when older.

**Leaves:**
Long and narrow with short petioles, generally green above and pale below. The silvery pubescence on young leaves wears off becoming dull, grayish green.

### Habit

**Distribution:**
Very common species from 2,000 to 7,000 ft.

**Community:**
Associated with cottonwood, whiplash and yellow willow. Grows on moist soils, from gravel to silt.

**Growth:**
Grows rapidly.

**Propagation**
Roots freely from cuttings, easiest species to propagate.

---

**Synonymy:** Sometimes referred to as sandbar or dusky willow. Two major subspecies are recognized, *Salix exigua* ssp. *exigua* and *Salix exigua* ssp. *melanopsis*. *Salix exigua* ssp. *exigua* is often referred to as gray sandbar willow. *S. exigua* ssp. *melanopsis* is a smaller shrub with wider, darker, greener leaves. Within the ssp. *melanopsis*, a variety *tenerrima* is recognized by its extremely narrow, predominantly toothed leaves. All of the recognized subspecies and varieties are rhizomatous, thicket-forming willows. For more information refer to Brunsfeld and Johnson (1985).
Geyer Willow - *Salix geyeriana*

**Characteristics**

**Habit:**
Shrub with numerous straight branches, up to 10 to 15 (20) feet in height arising from a tight basal cluster.

**Twigs/Bark:**
Green and covered with a white waxy coating.

**Leaves:**
Leaves are dark green and hairy above, with a waxy bloom underneath that can be rubbed off.

*Note: Closely related to Lemmon willow and may be synonymous.*

**Habitat**

**Distribution:**
Throughout this region from 4,000 to 8,000 feet. Often found on side drainages.

**Community:**
Usually found with booth willow which will occupy wetter zones while geyer willow will occupy drier sites. Most common on deep, fine textured soils.

**Growth:**
Good growth rate.

**Propagation**
Roots along entire stem and has good rooting abilities.
**Arroyo Willow - *Salix lasiolepis***

**Characteristics**

**Habit:**
Shrub or tree from 12 to 30 feet in height.

**Twigs/Bark:**
Yellow to reddish dark brown; softly hairy when young.

**Leaves:**
Seldom more than 3 times longer than wide, margins entire. Lower surface hairy with a waxy bloom.

**Habitat**

**Distribution:**
Found at low elevations. Most common in the southern portion of our region, uncommon elsewhere.

**Community:**
Sometimes with Fremont cottonwood. Grows in coarse, rocky soils.

**Growth:**
Moderate growth rate.

**Propagation**

Roots erratically and is difficult to propagate successfully.
Pacific Willow – Salix lasiandra

Habitat

Distribution:
Common in the region from 2,000 to 6,000 ft (below 6,500).

Community:
Often occurs with black cottonwood and yellow willow. Likes moist sandy to gravelly soils.

Growth:
Medium growth rate.

Propagation
Easy to propagate from 2- to 4-year old stems, older stems root more slowly.

Characteristics

Habit:
Tree with several main stems and a dense green crown, up to 50 feet in height. Stems often 4 to 12 inches in diameter.

Twigs/Bark:
Twigs covered with a fine pubescence, Bark is rough and brown.

Leaves:
Long, lanceolate with finely toothed margins, green on both sides, lacking a waxy bloom. Apex of leaf has a curving point, hence the name whiplash. Distinctive glands are found on the petioles at the base of the leaf.
**Yellow Willow - *Salix lutea***

### Characteristics

**Habit:**
Rounded shrub, occasionally becoming a multi-stemmed tree, up to 20 feet in height.

**Twigs/Bark:**
Twigs yellowish white to gray, not hairy.

**Leaves:**
Green above and pale with a waxy bloom beneath, margins are finely toothed especially near the apex. Older leaves lack hairs. The stipules are somewhat persistent.

### Habitat

**Distribution:**
Very common from 2,000 to 4,500 feet.

**Community:**
Commonly found with coyote and pacific willow. In a variety of sites from coarse cobble along streams to moist terraces with deep, fine textured soils.

**Growth:**
Grows at good rate.

**Propagation**

Roots easily along the entire stem from hardwood cuttings.
### Planeleaf Willow - *Salix planifolia*

**Characteristics**

**Habit:**
Low, compact and rounded shrub, up to 3 to 4 feet in height.

**Twigs/Bark:**
Older twigs are dark red without hairs.

**Leaves:**
Dark, shiny green above with a waxy bloom underneath; margins are entire or slightly toothed; lateral veins are prominent.

### Habitat

**Distribution:**
Throughout area at upper mid elevations to 7,000 ft.

**Community:**
Occurs with wolf willow at higher elevations and booth willow at lower elevations. Grows in continually saturated, high organic soils.

**Growth:**
Slow growth.

**Propagation**

Roots along the entire stem; fair rooting
**Scouler Willow** - *Salix scouleriana*

**Characteristics**

**Habit:**
Large shrub to small multi-stemmed tree 20 to 35 feet in height. Stems often 4 to 8 inches in diameter.

**Twigs/Bark:**
Reddish orange to dull yellow and dotted with corky spots. Bark stripped from second year twigs smells skunky.

**Leaves:**
Wider at the top than at the base. Dark, shiny green above, covered with dense reddish hairs below.

**Habitat**

**Distribution:**
Throughout the region from 3,000 to 8,000 ft.

**Community:**
Often grows with Bebb willow. Small tree height at low elevations. At higher elevations, it occupies drier slopes. Only "upland willow" in this region.

**Growth:**
Very slow growth rate.

**Propagation**
Roots from cuts with a rooting hormone. Difficult to propagate; low success rate.
Wolf Willow - *Salix wolfii*

**Characteristics**

**Habit:**
Low rounded shrub, rarely over 2 feet in height.

**Twigs/Bark:**
Covered with a pubescence.

**Leaves:**
Gray-green, covered with densely silvery pubescence and hairy at maturity.

**Habitat**

**Distribution:**
Found from 6,500 to 9,000 ft.

**Community:**
Occurs with Geyer and lemmon willow on wetter sites at mid elevations. Occupies drier sites at higher elevations. Occurs on sandy, well-drained and aerated soils.

**Growth:**
Very slow growth rate.

**Propagation**

Roots along entire stem, though rooting is erratic. Definitely treat with a rooting hormone.
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Appendix C
Sample Monitoring Sheet
Bioengineering Monitoring Sheet

Project Name: ____________________________  Project Location: ____________________________
Monitoring Team: ________________________  Date: ____________________________

Bioengineering Technique: ____________________  Riparian Species Present: ____________________

Total # of poles or post plantings: ________________  Average # of live stems per linear foot: ________________
# of dead: ________________  # of live: ________________
% survival: ________________  Average height of stems per linear foot: ________________
Average height: ________________  Stem density per unit area: ________________

Notes:
______________________________________________________________________________
______________________________________________________________________________
______________________________________________________________________________
______________________________________________________________________________
______________________________________________________________________________

STREAM CROSS-SECTION

ID#: __________  Measuring Tape Height: x ______ y ______

Scale: ______ ______

(Blank grid for measurement and mapping)