NATURAL RESOURCES CONSERVATION SERVICE
CONSERVATION PRACTICE STANDARD

STREAMBANK AND SHORELINE PROTECTION
(Ft.)

CODE 580

DEFINITION

Treatment(s) used to stabilize and protect banks of streams or constructed channels, and shorelines of lakes, reservoirs, or estuaries.

PURPOSE

- To prevent the loss of land or damage to land uses, or facilities adjacent to the banks of streams or constructed channels, shoreline of lakes, reservoirs, or estuaries including the protection of known historical, archeological, and traditional cultural properties.
- To maintain the flow capacity of streams or channels.
- Reduce the offsite or downstream effects of sediment resulting from bank erosion.
- To improve or enhance the stream corridor for fish and wildlife habitat, aesthetics, recreation.

CONDITIONS WHERE PRACTICE APPLIES

This practice applies to streambanks of natural or constructed channels and shorelines of lakes, reservoirs, or estuaries where they are susceptible to erosion. It does not apply to erosion problems on main ocean fronts, beaches or similar areas of complexity.

CRITERIA

General Criteria Applicable to All Purposes

Treatments shall be in accordance with all applicable local, state and federal laws and regulations ***governing activities in or along streams, pollution abatement, health, and safety***.

Federal, State and Local Laws and Permits

Permits may be required from the following agencies:

- ***U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE).***
- ***WV Department of Natural Resources (WVDNR) – Public Land Corporation (PLC) – Stream Access Application***
- ***West Virginia Department of Environmental Protection (WVDEP) – Division of Water and Waste Management (DWWM)***
  - ***Dam Safety (Non-Coal)***
  - ***Stormwater Program***
- ***WV Department of Agriculture (WVDA)***
- ***WV Public Lands Corporation (WVPLC)***
- ***US Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS)***
- ***WV Division of Forestry (WVDOF)***
- ***Local, state and county ordinances***

If applicable, proposed projects must comply with the West Virginia State Water Quality Standards including the Antidegradation Implementation Rule. Permits or licenses issued by a federal agency (such as the USACE) may require a WVDEP Section 401 Water Quality Certification to ensure that projects will not violate the state's water quality standards or stream designated uses.

Work in waters where there is a presence or possible presence of threatened/endangered species requires notification and collaboration with the USFWS.

The owner or operator is responsible for securing all permits or approvals and for performing in accordance with such laws and regulations. NRCS employees do not procure permits, rights, or approvals, or enforce laws and regulations. NRCS may provide the landowner or operator with technical information needed to obtain the required rights (or approvals) to construct, operate, and maintain the practice.

Obtain all required permits before starting construction.

Treatments applied shall seek to avoid adverse effects to endangered, threatened, and candidate species and their habitats, whenever possible.

Treatments applied shall seek to avoid adverse effects to archaeological, historic, structural, and traditional cultural properties, whenever possible.

An assessment of unstable streambank or shoreline sites shall be conducted in sufficient detail to identify the causes contributing to the instability (e.g. livestock access, watershed alterations resulting in significant modifications of discharge or sediment production, in channel modifications such as gravel mining, head cutting, water level fluctuations, boat-generated waves, etc.).

Proposed protective treatments to be applied shall be compatible with improvements being planned or installed by others.

Protective treatments shall be compatible with the bank or shoreline materials, water chemistry, channel or lake hydraulics, and slope characteristics above and below the water line.

End sections of treatment areas shall be adequately anchored to existing treatments, terminate in stable areas, or be otherwise stabilized to prevent flanking of the treatment.

Protective treatments shall be installed that result in stable slopes. Design limitations of the bank or shoreline materials and type of measure installed shall determine steepest permissible slopes.

Designs will provide for protection of installed treatments from overbank flows resulting from upslope runoff and flood return flows.

Internal drainage for bank seepage shall be provided when needed. Geotextiles or properly designed filter bedding shall be incorporated with structural measures where there is the potential for migration of material from behind the measure.

Treatments shall be designed to account for any anticipated ice action, wave action, and fluctuating water levels.

All disturbed areas around protective treatments shall be protected from erosion. Disturbed areas that are not to be cultivated shall be protected as soon as practical after construction.

Vegetation shall be selected that is best suited for the site conditions and achieves the intended purpose(s).

In order to ensure plant community establishment and integrity, a vegetative management plan shall be prepared in accordance with NRCS Conservation Practice Standard Critical Area Planting, Code 342.

Additional Criteria for Streambanks

Stream segments to be protected shall be classified according to a system deemed appropriate by the state. ***The preferred system in West Virginia is the Rosgen Stream Classification System.*** Segments that are incised or that contain the 5-year return period (20 percent probability) or greater flows shall be evaluated for further degradation or aggradation.

A site assessment shall be performed to determine if the causes of instability are local (e.g. poor soils, high water table in banks, alignment, obstructions deflecting flows into

bank, etc.) or systemic in nature (e.g. aggradation due to increased sediment from the watershed, increased runoff due to urban development in the watershed, degradation due to channel modifications, etc.). The assessment need**s** only be of the extent and detail necessary to provide a basis for design of the bank treatments and reasonable confidence that the treatments will perform adequately for the design life of the measure. ***The Stream Visual Assessment Protocol Version 2 (WVENGWS580-1) or other appropriate stream assessment procedures shall be used to conduct and document the assessment.***

Changes in channel alignment shall not be made without an assessment of both upstream and downstream fluvial geomorphology that evaluates the affects of the proposed alignment. The current and future discharge-sediment regime shall be based on an assessment of the watershed above the proposed channel alignment.

Bank protection treatment shall not be installed in channel systems undergoing rapid and extensive changes in bottom grade and/or alignment unless the treatments are designed to control or accommodate the changes. Bank treatment shall be constructed to a depth at or below the anticipated lowest depth of streambed scour. ***If streambed degradation is active or has occurred, refer to Conservation Practice Standard Channel Bed Stabilization, Code 584.***

If the failure mechanism is a result of the degradation or removal of riparian vegetation, stream corridor restoration shall be implemented, where feasible, (see Additional Criteria for Stream Corridor Improvement) as well as treating the banks.

Toe erosion shall be stabilized by ***natural stream design or structural*** treatments that redirect the stream flow away from the toe or by structural treatments that armor the toe. Additional design guidance is found in the EFH Part 650, Chapter 16, Streambank and Shoreline Protection ***and NEH Part 654, Stream Restoration Design.***

Where toe protection alone is inadequate to stabilize the bank, the upper bank shall be shaped to a stable slope and vegetated, or shall be stabilized with structural, ***natural stream design, and/***or soil-bioengineering treatments.

Channel clearing to remove stumps, fallen trees, debris, and sediment bars shall only be performed when they are causing or could cause unacceptable bank erosion, flow restriction, or damage to structures. Habitat forming elements that provide cover, food, pools, and water turbulence shall be retained or replaced to the extent possible.

Treatments shall be functional and stable for the design flow and sustainable for higher flow conditions. ***Bankfull flow shall be the design flow unless regulations dictate a greater design flow. Bankfull discharge is a substitute for theoretical effective discharge. It is the flow that fills a natural alluvial channel up to the elevation where water begins to overflow onto the active floodplain. The active floodplain is the flat area adjacent to the channel.***

Treatments shall not induce an increase in natural erosion.

Treatments shall not limit stream flow access to the floodplain.

Where flooding is a concern, the effects of protective treatments shall not increase flow levels above those that existed prior to installation.

Additional Criteria for Shorelines

All revetments, bulkheads or groins are to be no higher than 3 feet (1 meter) above mean high tide, or mean high water in non-tidal areas Structural shoreline protective treatments shall be keyed to a depth to prevent scour during low water.

For the design of structural treatments, the site characteristics below the waterline shall be evaluated for a minimum of 50 feet (15 meters) horizontal distance from the shoreline measured at the design water surface.

The height of the protection shall be based on the design water surface plus the computed wave height and freeboard. The design water surface in tidal areas shall be mean high tide.

When vegetation is selected as the protective treatment, a temporary breakwater shall be used during establishment when wave run up would damage the vegetation.

Additional Criteria for Stream Corridor Improvement

Stream corridor vegetative components shall be established as necessary for ecosystem functioning and stability. The appropriate composition of vegetative components is a key element in preventing excess long-term channel migration in re-established stream corridors. The establishment of vegetation on channel banks and associated areas shall also be in accordance with Conservation Practice Standard Critical Area Planting, Code 342.

Treatments shall be designed to achieve habitat and population objectives for fish and wildlife species or communities of concern as determined by a site-specific assessment or management plan. Objectives shall be based on the survival and reproductive needs of populations and communities, which include habitat diversity, habitat linkages, daily and seasonal habitat ranges, limiting factors and native plant communities. The type, amount, and distribution of vegetation shall be based on the requirements of the fish and wildlife species or communities of concern to the extent possible.

Treatments shall be designed to meet aesthetic objectives as determined by a site-specific assessment or management plan. Aesthetic objectives shall be based on human needs, including visual quality, noise control, and microclimate control. Construction materials, grading practices, and other site development elements shall be selected and designed to be compatible with adjacent land uses.

Treatments shall be designed to achieve recreation objectives as determined by a site-specific assessment or management plan. Safety requirements shall be based on type of human use and recreation objectives.

CONSIDERATIONS

When designing protective treatments, consideration should be given to the changes that may occur in the watershed hydrology and sedimentation over the design life of the treatments.

Consider utilizing debris removed from the channel or streambank into the treatment design when it is compatible with the intended purpose to improve benefits for fish, wildlife and aquatic systems.

Use construction materials, grading practices, vegetation, and other site development elements that minimize visual impacts and maintain or complement existing landscape uses such as pedestrian paths, climate controls, buffers, etc. Avoid excessive disturbance and compaction of the site during installation.

Utilize vegetative species that are native and/or compatible with local ecosystems. Avoid introduced, invasive, noxious or exotic species that could become nuisances. Consider species that have multiple values such as those suited for biomass, nuts, fruit, browse, nesting, aesthetics and tolerance to locally used herbicides. Avoid species that may be alternate hosts to disease or undesirable pests. Species diversity should be considered to avoid loss of function due to species-specific pests. Species on noxious plant lists should not be used.

Select plant materials that provide habitat requirements for desirable wildlife and pollinators. The addition of native forbs and legumes to grass mixes will increase the value of plantings for both wildlife and pollinators. Treatments that promote beneficial sediment deposition and the filtering of sediment, sediment-attached, and dissolved substances should be considered.

Consider maintaining or improving the habitat value for fish and wildlife by including treatments that provide aquatic habitat in the treatment design and that may lower or moderate water temperature and improve water quality.

Consider the need to stabilize side channel inlets and outlets and outlets of tributary streams from erosion.

Consider aquatic habitat when selecting the type of toe stabilization.

Consider maximizing adjacent wetland functions and values with the project design and minimize

adverse effects to existing wetland functions and values.

Livestock exclusion shall be considered during establishment of vegetative treatments and appropriate grazing practices applied after establishment to maintain plant community integrity. Wildlife may also need to be controlled during establishment of vegetative treatments. Temporary and local population control methods should be used with caution and within state and local regulations.

When appropriate, establish a ***riparian forest buffer,*** buffer strip and/or diversion at the top of the bank or shoreline protection zone to help maintain and protect installed treatments, improve their function, filter out sediments, nutrients, and pollutants from runoff, and provide additional wildlife habitat. ***When establishing a riparian forest buffer, refer to Conservation Practice Standard Riparian Forest Buffer, Code 391.***

Consider conservation and stabilization of archeological, historic, structural and traditional cultural properties when applicable.

Consider safety hazards to boaters, swimmers, or people using the shoreline or streambank when designing treatments.

Protective treatments should be self-sustaining or require minimum maintenance.

PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS

Plans and specifications for streambank and shoreline protection shall be prepared for specific field sites and based on this standard and shall describe the requirements for applying the practice to achieve its intended purpose. Plans shall include treatments to minimize erosion and sediment production during construction and provisions necessary to comply with conditions of any environmental agreements, biological opinions or other terms of applicable permits.

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE

An operation and maintenance plan shall be prepared for use by the owner or others responsible for operating and maintaining the system. The plan shall provide specific instructions for operating and maintaining the system to insure that it functions properly ***for the life of the practice***. It shall also provide for periodic inspections and prompt repair or replacement of damaged components or erosion.

REFERENCES

NEH Part 650, Chapter 16, Streambank and Shoreline Protection. NRCS eDirectives - Part 650 - Engineering Field Handbook

National Biology Handbook, Subpart B – Conservation Planning, Part 614, Stream Visual Assessment Protocol Version 2. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/Internet/FSE_DOCUMENTS/stelprdb1043252.pdf

NEH Part 653, Stream Corridor Restoration: Principles, Processes, and Practices. NRCS eDirectives - Part 653 - Stream Corridor Restoration: Principles, Processes, and Practices

NEH Part 654, Stream Restoration Design. NRCS eDirectives - Part 654 - Stream Restoration Design

Bunte, Kristin; Abt, Steven R. 2001. Sampling surface and subsurface particle-size distributions in wadable gravel-and cobble-bed streams for analyses in sediment transport, hydraulics, and streambed monitoring. Gen. Tech. Rep. RMRS-GTR-74. Fort Collins, CO: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station. 428 p. http://www.fs.fed.us/rm/pubs/rmrs_gtr74.html

Harrelson, Cheryl C; Rawlins, C. L.; Potyondy, John P, 1994. Stream channel reference sites: an illustrated guide to field technique. Gen. Tech. Rep. RM-245. Fort Collins, CO: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Forest and Range Experiment Station. 61 p. http://www.stream.fs.fed.us/publications/PDFs/RM245E.PDF

Messinger, Terence, 2009, Regional Curves for Bankfull Channel Characteristics in the Appalachian Plateaus, West Virginia: USGS

Scientific Investigations Report 2009-5242, 43 p. http://pubs.usgs.gov/sir/2009/5242/

Keaton, Jefferson N., Messinger, T., Doheny, E.J., 2005, Development and Analysis of Regional Curves for Streams in the Non-Urban Valley and Ridge Physiographic Province, Maryland, Virginia, and West Virginia: USGS, Scientific Investigations Report 2005-5076, 109 p. http://pubs.usgs.gov/sir/2005/5076/

McCandless, Tamara L., 2003, Maryland Stream Survey: Bankfull Discharge and Channel Characteristics of Streams in the Allegheny Plateau and the Valley and Ridge Hydrologic Regions: USFWS, Chesapeake Bay Field Office, CBFO-S03-01, 33 p. http://www.fws.gov/chesapeakebay/pdf/Plateau.pdf

Chaplin, Jeffrey J., 2005, Development of Regional Curves Relating Bankfull-Channel Geometry and Discharge to Drainage Area for Streams in Pennsylvania and Selected Areas of Maryland: USGS, Scientific Investigations Report 2005-5147, 34 p. http://pubs.usgs.gov/sir/2005/5147/SIR2005-5147.pdf

Cinotto, Peter J., 2003, Development of Regional Curves of Bankfull-Channel Geometry and Discharge for Streams in the Non-Urban, Piedmont Physiographic Province, Pennsylvania and Maryland: USGS, Water-Resources Investigations Report 03-4014, 27 p. http://pubs.er.usgs.gov/usgspubs/wri/wri034014

Wiley, J.B., and Atkins, J.T., 2010, Estimation of Flood-Frequency Discharges for Rural, Unregulated Streams in West Virginia: USGS, Scientific Investigations Report 2010-5033, 78 p. http://pubs.usgs.gov/sir/2010/5033/

Atkins, J.T., Jr., Wiley, J.B., and Paybins, K.S., 2009, Generalized Skew Coefficients of Annual Peak Flows for Rural, Unregulated Streams in West Virginia: USGS, Open-File Report 2008-1304, 13 p. http://pubs.usgs.gov/of/2008/1304/

Messinger, Terence, and Wiley, J.B., 2004, Regional Relations in Bankfull Channel Characteristics Determined from Flow Measurements at Selected Stream-Gaging Stations in West Virginia, 1911-2002: USGS Water-Resources Investigations Report 03-4276, 43 p. http://pubs.usgs.gov/wri/wri034276/pdf/wri03-4276.pdf