

**DOCUMENTATION REQUIREMENTS****Integrated Pest Management (595)****Wyoming****DESIGN:**

Planning data shall be included on the report from WIN-PST. This report is a worksheet for planning management alternatives with the cooperator. Alternatives will include the prevention, eradication, monitoring, and suppression (PAMS) strategy. Non-chemical alternatives will be included in the report for an Integrated Pest Management approach.

The WIN-PST report details the soil/pesticide interactions for pesticides chosen for pest management.

WIN-PST Identified Hazard Ratings of “Intermediate, High, and Extra High” must include Pest Management Mitigation Techniques (Table 1) and/or Practices (Table 2) from Wyoming Agronomy Technical Note 30. Mitigate any hazard ratings higher than “Very Low or Low” until the hazards are at or below this threshold.

WIN-PST Identified Hazard Rating	Minimum Mitigation Score Level Needed
Very Low or Low	None Needed
Intermediate	20
High	40
Extra High	60 or don't apply

When mitigating pesticides or other pest control options, chose alternatives from the following references: University of Wyoming, County Weed and Pest Specialist, and/or the High Plains IPM website. Attach a photocopy or written reference to the WIN-PST report.

When decisions have been reached, the planner shall record decisions in the conservation assistance notes.

The WIN-PST report will show the selected decision(s). The producer, planner, and pest management specialist (if the planner is not certified) will sign the report. The planner will give a copy of the report to the cooperator and file the original in the conservation plan.

**MINIMUM SPECIFICATIONS:**

The following list of items shall be included in the integrated pest management plan.

- Location on conservation plan map of pest infestation and acres, sensitive areas, and setbacks (if applicable)
- Completed WEPS for Wind Erosion and/or RUSLE2 for Water Erosion Prediction Calculations
- Pest control references from Land Grant University, County Weed Control Specialist (written), or High Plains IPM (website) for mitigating low risk pesticides
- WIN-PST report: Interpretations of the environmental risk analysis and identification of appropriate mitigating practices and techniques, including “PAMS” strategy. Completed report will have producer, planner, and pest management specialist signatures and date.

**DOCUMENTATION REQUIREMENTS**

**Integrated Pest Management (595)**

**Wyoming**

**RECORDING PROCEDURE:**

The minimum specifications for this practice and purpose(s) of the conservation practice will be identified. They will be recorded in the conservation plan narrative, appropriate jobsheet and/or the conservation assistance notes.

**AS-BUILT PLANS:**

Completed WIN-PST report with producer, planner, and pest management specialist signatures.

Completed WEPS for Wind Erosion and/or RUSLE2 for Water Erosion Prediction Calculations and Soil Conditioning Index

**COMPLIANCE CHECKS:**

Minimum mitigation index score for each rating over “Low” was met for the appropriate WIN-PST hazard.

According to the location on the conservation plan map, the correct soil map unit was used in the WIN-PST report. A non-chemical alternative was provided for “Integrated Pest Management”

Used WIN-PST database and Erosion Prediction Models.